



CITY COMMISSION MEETING AGENDA

CITY COMMISSION

DAYTON, OHIO

AUGUST 19, 2020

6:00 P.M.

I. AGENDA SCHEDULE

Please register to speak on items 9, 11 and 13 with the Clerk of the Commission.

(Sign-up sheets at entrance of Commission Chambers.)

1. Call Meeting to Order
2. Invocation – **COMMISSIONER MIMS**
3. Pledge of Allegiance
4. Roll Call
5. Approval of Minutes
6. Communications and Petitions Distribution (if any)
7. Special Awards/Recognition
8. Discussion of City Manager's Recommendations (See Section II)
9. Citizen Comments on City Manager's Recommendations
10. City Commission Action on City Manager's Recommendations
11. Public Hearing: N/A
12. Discussion Item: N/A
13. Comments by Citizens - Please register to speak with the Clerk of Commission (Non - Calendar items) sign-up sheets at entrance of Commission Chambers
14. Comments by City Manager
15. Comments by City Commission
16. Work Session: **Finance Committee Briefing – (D. Shannon) – 5:00 p.m.**
View on Spectrum Cable Channel 6 or
www.daytonohio.gov/govtv
17. Miscellaneous (See Section VI)

II. CITY MANAGER RECOMMENDATIONS (Item #8 above)

The following recommendations are offered for City Commission approval.

A. Purchase Orders, Agreements and Contracts:

(All contracts are valid until delivery is complete or through December 31st of the current year).

1. Purchase Orders:

AVIATION

- A1. Global ARFF Services LLC (repair and emergency repair services for an Aircraft Rescue fire truck) **\$26,000.00****

1. (Cont'd):

A2. **Security Fence Group, Inc.** (fencing products, repairs and emergency services as needed through 12-31-20) **\$25,000.00**

WATER

B1. **Avalon Technologies, Inc.** (Dell servers, disk storage, related hardware and professional services as needed through 12-31-20) **543,315.00**

B2. **CHWR, Inc. dba CHW Mechanical Services** (heating, ventilation, and air conditioning preventative maintenance and repairs as needed through 04-30-21) **5,500.00**

B3. **Allied Builders, Inc.** (fencing with removal and installation services through 12-31-21) **37,575.00**

B4. **Roby Services Ltd.** (janitorial supplies as needed through 01-31-21) **15,000.00**

-Depts. of Aviation and Water. **Total: \$652,390.00**

IV. LEGISLATION:

Emergency Resolution – First and Second Reading:

2. No. **6524-20** Authorizing the Acceptance of a Grant Award from the United States Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services in the Amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$100,000.00) on Behalf of the City of Dayton, and Declaring an Emergency.

**COMMISSIONER
SHAW**

Resolution – First Reading:

3. No. **6525-20** Concurring with the Adoption of the Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision Plan.

VI. MISCELLANEOUS:

ORDINANCE NO. 31830-20

RESOLUTION NO. 6526-20

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION NO. 3598-20

INFORMAL RESOLUTION NO. 979-20



City Manager's Report

From 2730 – PMB/Procurement

Date August 19, 2020

Expense Type Purchase Order

Total Amount \$652,390.00

Supplier, Vendor, Company, Individual

Name See Below

Address See Below

2020 Purchase Orders

Fund Source(s)	Fund Code(s)	Fund Amount(s)
See below	See below	See below
Includes Revenue to the City <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Affirmative Action Program <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A		

Description

AVIATION

(A1) P0200934 – GLOBAL ARFF SERVICES LLC, WAXAHACHIE, TX

- Repair and emergency repair services for an Aircraft Rescue fire truck.
- These services are required to repair an Airport rescue firefighting vehicle.
- Global ARFF Services LLC is recommended based upon proven past performance; therefore, this purchase was negotiated.
- Pursuant to Section 86 of the City of Dayton Charter, the City has declared an emergency, the necessary funds have been encumbered, and the supplier has been notified to proceed.
- This amendment increases the previously authorized amount of \$23,413.60 by \$26,000.00 for a total not to exceed \$49,413.60 and therefore requires City Commission approval.
- The Department of Aviation recommends approval of this order.

Fiscal Year	Fund Source(s)	Fund Code(s)	Fund Amount(s)
2020	Supplies and Materials	51000-3223-1301-43	\$26,000.00

Signatures/Approval

Approved by City Commission

Clerk

Date

Melissa A. Wilson, CPPB

Division

David J. Proctor 8.11.2020

Department

[Signature]

City Manager

AVIATION (CONTINUED)(A2) P0200583 – SECURITY FENCE GROUP, INC., DAYTON, OH

- Fencing products, repairs, and emergency services as needed through 12/31/2020.
- These goods and services are required to maintain fence lines at the Dayton International Airport.
- Pursuant to Section 86 of the City of Dayton Charter, the City has declared an emergency, the necessary funds have been encumbered, and the supplier has been notified to proceed.
- This amendment increases the previously authorized amount of \$10,000.00 by \$25,000.00 for a total not to exceed \$35,000.00 and therefore requires City Commission approval.
- Security Fence Group, Inc. qualifies as a Dayton local and certified entity.
- The Department of Aviation recommends approval of this order.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Fund Source(s)</u>	<u>Fund Code(s)</u>	<u>Fund Amount(s)</u>
2020	Other Maintenance of Facilities	51000-3221-1172-43	\$25,000.00

WATER – WATER ENGINEERING(B1) P0201030 – AVALON TECHNOLOGIES, INC., BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI

- Dell servers, disk storage, related hardware and professional services as needed through 12/31/2020.
- These goods and services are required to replace the existing and soon to be end-of-life Dell servers and to aid in migrating from our existing servers to the new replacement servers.
- Rates are in accordance with the State of Ohio Term Schedule Contract #534109 and Index #STS033.
- The Department of Water recommends approval of this order.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Fund Source(s)</u>	<u>Fund Code(s)</u>	<u>Fund Amount(s)</u>
2020	Computer Hardware	53100-3421-1413-54-TF2001	\$217,326.00
2020	Computer Hardware	55100-3421-1413-54-TF2001	\$217,326.00
2020	Computer Hardware	58100-3421-1413-54-TF2001	\$108,663.00

WATER – WATER RECLAMATION(B2) P0200628 – CHWR, INC. dba CHW MECHANICAL SERVICES, SPRING VALLEY, OH

- Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning preventative maintenance and repairs as needed through 12/31/2020.
- These services are required for repairs at the Water Reclamation plant.
- Rates are in accordance with the City of Dayton’s existing price agreement IFB 17021D with firm pricing extended through 4/30/2021.
- This amendment increases the previously authorized amount of \$7,000.00 by \$5,500.00 for a total not to exceed \$12,500.00 and therefore requires City Commission approval.
- The Department of Water recommends approval of this order.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Fund Source(s)</u>	<u>Fund Code(s)</u>	<u>Fund Amount(s)</u>
2020	Other Equipment Maintenance	55000-3460-1167-54	\$5,500.00

WATER – WATER SUPPLY AND TREATMENT(B3) P0201027 – ALLIED BUILDERS, INC., DAYTON, OH

- Fencing with removal and installation services.
- These goods and services are required to secure City property surrounding the Kittyhawk Golf Course.
- Rates are in accordance with the City of Dayton’s existing price agreement IFB 20010D with pricing through 12/31/2021.
- Allied Builders, Inc. qualifies as a Dayton local entity.
- The Department of Water recommends approval of this order.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Fund Source(s)</u>	<u>Fund Code(s)</u>	<u>Fund Amount(s)</u>
2020	Security Services	53000-3430-1157-54	\$37,575.00

WATER – WATER UTILITY FIELD OPERATIONS(B4) P0200384 – ROBY SERVICES LTD, DAYTON, OH

- Janitorial supplies as needed through 12/31/2020.
- These goods are required to replenish inventories used in daily operations.
- Rates are in accordance with the City of Dayton’s existing price agreement IFB 18003D with firm pricing extended through 1/31/2021.
- This amendment increases the previously authorized amount of \$20,000.00 by \$15,000.00 for a total not to exceed \$35,000.00 and therefore requires City Commission approval.
- The Department of Water recommends approval of this order.

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Fund Source(s)</u>	<u>Fund Code(s)</u>	<u>Fund Amount(s)</u>
2020	Inventory	53998-3445-1350-54	\$15,000.00

The aforementioned departments recommend approval of this order.

1st and 2nd Reading 2.
6524-20

By..... No.....

A RESOLUTION

Authorizing the Acceptance of a Grant Award from the United States Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services in the Amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$100,000.00) on Behalf of the City of Dayton, and Declaring an Emergency.

WHEREAS, The City of Dayton has been negatively impacted by violent crime, especially gun crime; and

WHEREAS, The United States Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) administers the Community Policing Development Micro Grants II (CPD-MG2) program to provide funding to develop the capacity of law enforcement to implement community policing strategies; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 36.10 of the Revised Code of General Ordinances of the City of Dayton, the City Manager executed all documents and directed the electronic submission of a grant application to the federal fiscal year (FFY) 2020 CPD-MG2 program on behalf of the City of Dayton; and

WHEREAS, The United States Department of Justice, COPS through the FFY 2020 CPD-MG2 has provided grant award number 2020CKWX0006 to the City in the amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$100,000.00); and

WHEREAS, The Dayton Police Department will use grant funding to integrate and enhance crime analysis and implement best practice strategies while engaging the community and other partners to reduce violent gun crime; and

WHEREAS, The grant must be accepted by the Dayton City Commission and contract documents must be electronically signed and submitted before the close of business on August 31, 2020; and

WHEREAS, To provide for the timely development and implementation of the grant program and for the immediate preservation of the public peace, property, health and safety, it is necessary that this resolution take effect at the earliest possible date; now, therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF DAYTON:

Section 1. The City Manager or designee is authorized to accept the grant award from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, FFY 2020 Community Policing Development Micro Grants II program for a total amount

of One Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$100,000.00) on behalf of the City of Dayton and is directed to execute any and all documents and agreements to secure the grant award.

Section 2. That for the reasons set forth in the preamble hereof, the Dayton City Commission declares this resolution to be an emergency measure which shall take effect immediately upon its adoption.

ADOPTED BY THE COMMISSION....., 2020

SIGNED BY THE MAYOR....., 2020

Mayor of the City of Dayton, Ohio

Attest:

Clerk of Commission

Approved as to Form:

City Attorney

August 5, 2020

TO: Shelley Dickstein
City Manager 

FROM: Richard S. Biehl
Director and Chief of Police

**SUBJECT: COPS CPD Micro Grant Award No. 2020CKWX0006 –
Resolution to Accept**

Attached for your approval is a resolution to accept a \$100,000 grant from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) 2020 Community Policing Development Micro Grants II Program. The DOJ COPS CPD Micro Grant program provides funding to develop the capacity of law enforcement to develop and support new and creative approaches to preventing crime. The Dayton Police Department (DPD) will use grant funds to support a demonstration project to develop a process of integrated crime analysis and new technologies for enhancing crime analysis, with the implementation of evidence-based best practice strategies that reduce violent gun crime. The grant performance period is 12 months. The City is required to accept and sign the award documents electronically by August 31, 2020.

Please have your staff contact me or Lt. Col. Matt Carper at ext. 1082 with any questions.

Attachments

RSB:sm

cc: Lt. Col. Carper (w/o Attachments)
Maj. Saunders (w/o Attachments)
Ms. Moyer (w/o Attachments)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
OFFICE OF COMMUNITY ORIENTED POLICING SERVICES
145 N Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20530

COPS

Chief of Police Richard Biehl
City Manager Shelley Dickstein
Dayton, City of
335 West Third Street
Dayton, OH 45402

Re: COPS Office Community Policing Development Micro Grants II (CPD-MG2)
Award Number: 2020CKWX0006
Project Title: Dayton Violent Crime Project
ORI: OH05702

Dear Chief of Police Biehl and City Manager Dickstein:

On behalf of the Attorney General of the United States, William Barr, and the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS Office), it is my pleasure to inform you that we have approved your proposal for the Community Policing Development Micro Grants II (CPD-MG2) program in the amount of \$100,000.00. The COPS Office recognizes and appreciates your commitment to this very important initiative.

Contained in this packet is the Award Document, which shows an official award start date of 08/01/2020. The Award Document contains terms, conditions, and requirements for your award. Be sure to familiarize yourself with all terms, conditions, and requirements before signing and accepting your award. A limited number of agencies may be subject to an Additional Award Notification as a result of an ongoing federal civil rights investigation, other award review, or audit of your agency by the Department of Justice. If applicable to your agency, the Additional Award Notification is included at the end of this letter and is incorporated by reference as part of this letter. In addition, a limited number of agencies may be subject to Special Conditions as a result of high risk designation or other unique circumstances. If applicable to your agency, these Special Conditions will be found in an Award Document Supplement in your award package. **To officially accept the award, electronically sign the Award Document with the Award Terms and Conditions; if applicable, the Cooperative Agreement that is incorporated by reference into the Award Document; and, if applicable, the Special Award Conditions and/or High Risk Conditions in the Award Document Supplement within 45 days of the date shown on this letter.** Failure to sign your original Award Document within the 45-day award acceptance period may result in your CPD-MG2 award being withdrawn and the funds deobligated without additional notification.

A supplemental online award package for FY 2020 CPD-MG2 award recipients can be found at <https://cops.usdoj.gov/CPD-MG2>. We strongly encourage you to visit this site immediately to access a variety of important and helpful documents associated with your award, including the CPD-MG2 Award Owner's Manual which applies to all CPD-MG2 awards and specifies the terms, conditions, and requirements of your award. If you have any questions about your award, please do not hesitate to call your Program Manager, Billie Coleman at 202-353-1706 or billie.coleman@usdoj.gov.

I want to extend my personal appreciation for your efforts and congratulate you on this award. On behalf of the staff at the COPS Office, we look forward to your progress on this important project.

ADVANCING PUBLIC SAFETY THROUGH COMMUNITY POLICING





Phillip E. Keith, Director,

Date: 07/17/2020

Additional Award Notification



Award Document

COPS Office Community Policing Development Micro Grants II (CPD-MG2) Program CFDA - 16.710 - Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants Treasury Account Symbol (TAS) 15X0406

Award Number: 2020CKWX0006
ORI Number: OH05702
OJP Vendor Number: 316000175
DUNS Number: 0044781940000
Applicant Organization's Legal Name: Dayton, City of
DUNS Registered Name: City Of Dayton
Project Title: Dayton Violent Crime Project
Law Enforcement Executive / Agency Executive: Chief of Police Richard Biehl
Government Executive / Financial Official: City Manager Shelley Dickstein

Award Start Date: 08/01/2020
Award End Date: 07/31/2021
Award Amount: \$100,000.00

The FY 2020 Community Policing Development Micro Grants II (CPD-MG2) Program provides funding to develop the capacity of law enforcement to implement community policing strategies by providing guidance on promising practices through the development and testing of innovative strategies; building knowledge about effective practices and outcomes; and supporting new, creative approaches to preventing crime and promoting safe communities.

The Financial Clearance Memorandum (FCM) and, if applicable, the Cooperative Agreement included in your award package are incorporated by reference in their entirety and shall become part of this Award Document. By signing this Award Document, the recipient agrees to abide by all FY 2020 Community Policing Development Micro Grants II Program (CPD-MG2) Award Terms and Conditions; the approved budget in the FCM; if applicable, all requirements in the Cooperative Agreement; and, if applicable, the Special Award Conditions and/or High Risk Conditions in the Award Document Supplement.

Phillip E. Keith, Director,

Date: 07/17/2020

(Signature Pending)
*Signature of the Program Official with the Authority to
Accept this Grant Award*

(Date Pending)
Date

(Signature Pending)
*Signature of the Financial Official with the Authority to
Accept this Grant Award*

(Date Pending)
Date

False statements or claims made in connection with COPS office awards may result in fines, imprisonment, debarment from participating in federal awards or contracts, and/or any remedy available by law to the Federal Government.

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Community Oriented Policing Services

**2020 Community Policing Development Micro Grants II Program
Award Terms and Conditions**

By signing the Award Document to accept this Community Policing Development Micro Grants II award, your agency agrees to abide by the following award terms and conditions:

1. Award Owner's Manual. The recipient agrees to comply with the terms and conditions in the applicable 2020 COPS Office Program Award Owner's Manual; COPS Office statute (34 U.S.C. § 10381, et seq.); the requirements of 2 C.F.R. Part 200 (Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards) as adopted by the U.S. Department of Justice in 2 C.F.R. § 2800.101; 48 C.F.R. Part 31 (FAR Part 31) as applicable (Contract Cost Principles and Procedures); the Cooperative Agreement as applicable; representations made in the application; and all other applicable program requirements, laws, orders, regulations, or circulars.

2. Assurances and Certifications. The recipient acknowledges its agreement to comply with the Assurances and Certifications forms that were signed as part of its application.

3. Allowable Costs. The funding under this award is for the payment of approved costs for program-specific purposes. The allowable costs approved for your agency's award are limited to those listed in the Financial Clearance Memorandum (FCM), which is included in your agency's award package. The FCM specifies the costs that your agency is allowed to fund with your award. In accordance with 2 C.F.R. § 200.400(g), the recipient must forgo any profit or management fee. Your agency may not use award funds for any costs not identified as allowable in the FCM.

4. Supplementing, Not Supplanting. State, local, and tribal government recipients must use award funds to supplement, and not supplant, state, local, or Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) funds that are already committed or otherwise would have been committed for award purposes (hiring, training, purchases, and/or activities) during the award period. In other words, state, local, and tribal government recipients may not use COPS Office funds to supplant (replace) state, local, or BIA funds that would have been dedicated to the COPS Office-funded item(s) in the absence of the COPS Office award. 34 U.S.C. § 10384(a).

5. Extensions. Your agency may request an extension of the award period to receive additional time to implement your award program. Such extensions do not provide additional funding. Only those recipients that can provide a reasonable justification for delays will be granted no-cost extensions. Extension requests must be received prior to the end date of the award. 2 C.F.R. § 200.308(d)(2).

6. Modifications. Award modifications are evaluated on a case-by-case basis in accordance with 2 C.F.R. § 200.308. For federal awards in excess of \$250,000, any modification request involving the reallocation of funding between budget categories that exceed or are expected to exceed 10 percent (10%) of the total approved budget requires prior written approval by the COPS Office. Regardless of the federal award amount or budget modification percentage, any reallocation of funding is limited to approved budget categories. In addition, any budget modification that changes the scope of the project requires prior written approval by the COPS Office.

7. Evaluations. The COPS Office may conduct monitoring or sponsor national evaluations of its award programs. The recipient agrees to cooperate with the monitors and evaluators. 34 U.S.C. § 10385(b).

8. Reports/Performance Goals. To assist the COPS Office in monitoring and tracking the performance of your award, your agency will be responsible for submitting semi-annual programmatic progress reports that describe project activities during the reporting period and quarterly Federal Financial Reports using Standard Form 425 (SF-425). 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.327 – 200.328. The progress report is used to track your agency's progress toward

implementing community policing strategies and to collect data to gauge the effectiveness of increasing your agency's community policing capacity through COPS Office funding. The Federal Financial Report is used to track the expenditures of the recipient's award funds on a cumulative basis throughout the life of the award.

9. Award Monitoring Activities. Federal law requires that recipients receiving federal funding from the COPS Office must be monitored to ensure compliance with their award conditions and other applicable statutes and regulations. The COPS Office is also interested in tracking the progress of our programs and the advancement of community policing. Both aspects of award implementation—compliance and programmatic benefits—are part of the monitoring process coordinated by the U.S. Department of Justice. Award monitoring activities conducted by the COPS Office may include site visits, office-based grant reviews, alleged noncompliance reviews, financial and programmatic reporting, and audit resolution. As a COPS Office award recipient, you agree to cooperate with and respond to any requests for information pertaining to your award. This includes all financial records, such as general accounting ledgers and all supporting documents. All information pertinent to the implementation of the award is subject to agency review throughout the life of the award, during the close-out process and for three years after submission of the final expenditure report. 34 U.S.C. § 10385(a) and 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.333 and 200.336.

10. Federal Civil Rights. The Applicant understands that the federal statutes and regulations applicable to the award (if any) made by the Department based on the application specifically include statutes and regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination, and, in addition —

- a. the Applicant understands that the applicable statutes pertaining to civil rights will include section 601 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d); section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794); section 901 of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. § 1681); and section 303 of the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. § 6102);
- b. the Applicant understands that the applicable statutes pertaining to nondiscrimination may include section 809(c) of Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. § 10228(c)); section 1407(e) of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (34 U.S.C. § 20110(e)); section 299A(b) of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 2002 (34 U.S.C. § 11182(b)); and that the grant condition set out at section 40002(b)(13) of the Violence Against Women Act (34 U.S.C. § 12291(b) (13)), which will apply to all awards made by the Office on Violence Against Women, also may apply to an award made otherwise;
- c. the Applicant understands that it must require any subrecipient to comply with all such applicable statutes (and associated regulations); and
- d. on behalf of the Applicant, I make the specific assurances set out in 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.105 and 42.204.

The Applicant also understands that (in addition to any applicable program-specific regulations and to applicable federal regulations that pertain to civil rights and nondiscrimination) the federal regulations applicable to the award (if any) made by the Department based on the application may include, but are not limited to, 2 C.F.R. Part 2800 (the DOJ "Part 200 Uniform Requirements") and 28 C.F.R. Parts 22 (confidentiality - research and statistical information), 23 (criminal intelligence systems), 38 (regarding faith-based or religious organizations participating in federal financial assistance programs), and 46 (human subjects protection).

11. Equal Employment Opportunity Plan (EEOP). All recipients of funding from the COPS Office must comply with the federal regulations pertaining to the development and implementation of an Equal Employment Opportunity Plan. 28 C.F.R. Part 42 subpart E.

12. False Statements. False statements or claims made in connection with COPS Office awards may result in fines, imprisonment, debarment from participating in federal awards or contracts, and/or any other remedy available by law.

13. Duplicative Funding. The recipient understands and agrees to notify the COPS Office if it receives, from any other source, funding for the same item or service also funded under this award.

14. Additional High-Risk Recipient Requirements. The recipient agrees to comply with any additional requirements that may be imposed during the award performance period if the awarding agency determines that the recipient is a high-risk recipient. 2 C.F.R. § 200.207.

15. System for Award Management (SAM) and Universal Identifier Requirements. The recipient agrees to comply with the following requirements of 2 C.F.R. Part 25, Appendix A to Part 25 – Award Term:

I. System for Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements

A. *Requirement for System for Award Management*

Unless you are exempted from this requirement under 2 C.F.R. § 25.110, you as the recipient must maintain the currency of your information in the SAM until you submit the final financial report required under this award or receive the final payment, whichever is later. This requires that you review and update the information at least annually after the initial registration, and more frequently if required by changes in your information or another award term.

B. *Requirement for unique entity identifier*

If you are authorized to make subawards under this award, you:

1. Must notify potential subrecipients that no entity (see definition in paragraph C of this award term) may receive a subaward from you unless the entity has provided its unique entity identifier to you.
2. May not make a subaward to an entity unless the entity has provided its unique entity identifier to you.

C. *Definitions*

For purposes of this award term:

1. *System for Award Management (SAM)* means the Federal repository into which an entity must provide information required for the conduct of business as a recipient. Additional information about registration procedures may be found at the SAM Internet site (currently at <https://www.sam.gov>).
2. *Unique entity identifier* means the identifier required for SAM registration to uniquely identify business entities.
3. *Entity*, as it is used in this award term, means all of the following, as defined at 2 C.F.R. Part 25, subpart C:
 - a. A Governmental organization, which is a State, local government, or Indian Tribe;

- b. A foreign public entity;
 - c. A domestic or foreign nonprofit organization;
 - d. A domestic or foreign for-profit organization; and
 - e. A Federal agency, but only as a subrecipient under an award or subaward to a non-Federal entity.
4. *Subaward:*
- a. This term means a legal instrument to provide support for the performance of any portion of the substantive project or program for which you received this award and that you as the recipient award to an eligible subrecipient.
 - b. The term does not include your procurement of property and services needed to carry out the project or program (for further explanation, see 2 C.F.R. § 200.330).
 - c. A subaward may be provided through any legal agreement, including an agreement that you consider a contract.
5. *Subrecipient* means an entity that:
- a. Receives a subaward from you under this award; and
 - b. *Is accountable to you for the use of the Federal funds provided by the subaward.*

16. Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation. The recipient agrees to comply with the following requirements of 2 C.F.R. Part 170, Appendix A to Part 170 – Award Term:

- I. Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation.
 - a. *Reporting of first-tier subawards.*
 - 1. *Applicability.* Unless you are exempt as provided in paragraph d. of this award term, you must report each action that obligates \$25,000 or more in Federal funds that does not include Recovery funds (as defined in section 1512(a)(2) of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, Pub. L. 111-5) for a subaward to an entity (see definitions in paragraph e. of this award term).
 - 2. *Where and when to report.*
 - i. You must report each obligating action described in paragraph a.1. of this award term to <https://www.frs.gov>.
 - ii. For subaward information, report no later than the end of the month following the month in which the obligation was made. (For example, if the obligation was made on November 7, 2010, the obligation must be reported by no later than December 31, 2010.)

3. *What to report.* You must report the information about each obligating action that the submission instructions posted at <https://www.fsrs.gov> specify.
- b. *Reporting Total Compensation of Recipient Executives.*
1. *Applicability and what to report.* You must report total compensation for each of your five most highly compensated executives for the preceding completed fiscal year, if —
 - i. the total Federal funding authorized to date under this award is \$25,000 or more;
 - ii. in the preceding fiscal year, you received —
 - (A) 80 percent or more of your annual gross revenues from Federal procurement contracts (and subcontracts) and Federal financial assistance subject to the Transparency Act, as defined at 2 C.F.R. § 170.320 (and subawards); and
 - (B) \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal procurement contracts (and subcontracts) and Federal financial assistance subject to the Transparency Act, as defined at 2 C.F.R. § 170.320 (and subawards); and
 - iii. The public does not have access to information about the compensation of the executives through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. (To determine if the public has access to the compensation information, see the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission total compensation filings at <https://www.sec.gov/answers/excomp.htm>.)
 2. *Where and when to report.* You must report executive total compensation described in paragraph b.1. of this award term:
 - i. As part of your registration profile at <https://www.sam.gov>.
 - ii. By the end of the month following the month in which this award is made, and annually thereafter.
- c. *Reporting of Total Compensation of Subrecipient Executives.*
1. *Applicability and what to report.* Unless you are exempt as provided in paragraph d. of this award term, for each first-tier subrecipient under this award, you shall report the names and total compensation of each of the subrecipient's five most highly compensated executives for the subrecipient's preceding completed fiscal year, if —
 - i. in the subrecipient's preceding fiscal year, the subrecipient received —
 - (A) 80 percent or more of its annual gross revenues from Federal procurement contracts (and subcontracts) and Federal financial assistance subject to the Transparency Act, as defined at 2 C.F.R. §

170.320 (and subawards); and

(B) \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal procurement contracts (and subcontracts), and Federal financial assistance subject to the Transparency Act (and subawards); and

ii. The public does not have access to information about the compensation of the executives through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. (To determine if the public has access to the compensation information, see the U.S. Security and Exchange Commission total compensation filings at <https://www.sec.gov/answers/execomp.htm>.)

2. Where and when to report. You must report subrecipient executive total compensation described in paragraph c.1. of this award term:

i. To the recipient.

ii. By the end of the month following the month during which you make the subaward. For example, if a subaward is obligated on any date during the month of October of a given year (i.e., between October 1 and 31), you must report any required compensation information of the subrecipient by November 30 of that year.

d. *Exemptions*

If, in the previous tax year, you had gross income, from all sources, under \$300,000, you are exempt from the requirements to report:

i. Subawards, and

ii. The total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of any subrecipient.

e. *Definitions*. For purposes of this award term:

1. Entity means all of the following, as defined in 2 C.F.R. Part 25:

i. A Governmental organization, which is a State, local government, or Indian tribe;

ii. A foreign public entity;

iii. A domestic or foreign nonprofit organization;

iv. A domestic or foreign for-profit organization;

v. A Federal agency, but only as a subrecipient under an award or subaward to a non-Federal entity.

2. *Executive* means officers, managing partners, or any other employees in management positions.

3. *Subaward:*
 - i. This term means a legal instrument to provide support for the performance of any portion of the substantive project or program for which you received this award and that you as the recipient award to an eligible subrecipient.
 - ii. The term does not include your procurement of property and services needed to carry out the project or program (for further explanation, see Sec. 210 of the attachment to OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations").
 - iii. A subaward may be provided through any legal agreement, including an agreement that you or a subrecipient considers a contract.
4. *Subrecipient* means an entity that:
 - i. Receives a subaward from you (the recipient) under this award; and
 - ii. Is accountable to you for the use of the Federal funds provided by the subaward.
5. *Total compensation* means the cash and noncash dollar value earned by the executive during the recipient's or subrecipient's preceding fiscal year and includes the following (for more information see 17 C.F.R. § 229.402(c)(2)):
 - i. *Salary and bonus.*
 - ii. *Awards of stock, stock options, and stock appreciation rights.* Use the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the fiscal year in accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004) (FAS 123R), Shared Based Payments.
 - iii. *Earnings for services under non-equity incentive plans.* This does not include group life, health, hospitalization or medical reimbursement plans that do not discriminate in favor of executives, and are available generally to all salaried employees.
 - iv. *Change in pension value.* This is the change in present value of defined benefit and actuarial pension plans.
 - v. *Above-market earnings on deferred compensation which is not tax-qualified.*
 - vi. Other compensation, if the aggregate value of all such other compensation (e.g. severance, termination payments, value of life insurance paid on behalf of the employee, perquisites or property) for the executive exceeds \$10,000.

17. Debarment and Suspension. The recipient agrees not to award federal funds under this program to any party which is debarred or suspended from participation in Federal assistance programs. 2 C.F.R. Part 180 (Governmentwide Nonprocurement Debarment and Suspension) and 2 C.F.R. Part 2867 (DOJ Nonprocurement Debarment and Suspension).

18. Employment Eligibility. The recipient agrees to complete and keep on file, as appropriate, the Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) Employment Eligibility Verification Form (I-

9). This form is to be used by recipients of federal funds to verify that persons are eligible to work in the United States. Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986 (IRCA), Public Law 99-603.

19. Enhancement of Contractor Protection from Reprisal for Disclosure of Certain Information. The recipient agrees not to discharge, demote, or otherwise discriminate against an employee as reprisal for the employee disclosing information that he or she reasonably believes is evidence of gross mismanagement of a federal contract or award; a gross waste of federal funds; an abuse of authority relating to a federal contract or award; a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to a Federal contract (including the competition for or negotiation of a contract) or award. The recipient also agrees to provide to their employees in writing (in the predominant native language of the workforce) of the rights and remedies provided in 41 U.S.C. § 4712. Please see appendices in the Award Owner's Manual for a full text of the statute.

20. Mandatory Disclosure. Recipients and subrecipients must timely disclose in writing to the COPS Office or pass-through entity, as applicable, all federal criminal law violations involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity that may potentially affect the awarded federal funding. Recipients that receive an award over \$500,000 must also report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings in SAM, and are required to comply with the Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters as set out in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, Appendix XII to Part 200. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies, including suspension and debarment, described in 2 C.F.R. § 200.338.

21. Conflict of Interest. Recipients and subrecipients must disclose in writing to the COPS Office or pass-through entity, as applicable, any potential conflict of interest affecting the awarded federal funding in accordance with 2 C.F.R. § 200.112.

22. Contract Provision. All contracts made by the award recipients under the federal award must contain the provisions required under 2 C.F.R. Part 200, Appendix II to Part 200—Contract Provisions for Non-Federal Entity Contracts Under Federal Awards. Please see appendices in the Award Owner's Manual for a full text of the contract provisions.

23. Restrictions on Internal Confidentiality Agreements. No recipient or subrecipient under this award, or entity that receives a contract or subcontract with any funds under this award, may require any employee or contractor to sign an internal confidentiality agreement or statement that prohibits or otherwise restricts the lawful reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse to an investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information. Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020, Public Law 116-93, Division C, Title VII, Section 742.

24. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters. For awards over \$500,000, the recipient agrees to comply with the following requirements of 2 C.F.R. Part 200, Appendix XII to Part 200 – Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters:

A. Reporting of Matters Related to Recipient Integrity and Performance

1. *General Reporting Requirement*

If the total value of your currently active awards, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts from all Federal awarding agencies exceeds \$10,000,000 for any period of time during the period of performance of this Federal award, then you as the recipient during that period of time must maintain the currency of information reported to the System for Award Management (SAM) that is made available in the designated integrity and performance system (currently the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity

Information System (FAPIS) about civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings described in paragraph 2. of this award term and condition. This is a statutory requirement under section 872 of Public Law 110-417, as amended (41 U.S.C. 2313). As required by section 3010 of Public Law 111-212, all information posted in the designated integrity and performance system on or after April 15, 2011, except past performance reviews required for Federal procurement contracts, will be publicly available.

2. *Proceedings About Which You Must Report*

Submit the information required about each proceeding that:

- a. Is in connection with the award or performance of an award, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the Federal Government;
- b. Reached its final disposition during the most recent five year period; and
- c. Is one of the following:
 - (1) A criminal proceeding that resulted in a conviction, as defined in paragraph 5. of this award term and condition;
 - (2) A civil proceeding that resulted in a finding of fault and liability and payment of a monetary fine, penalty, reimbursement, restitution, or damages of \$5,000 or more;
 - (3) An administrative proceeding, as defined in paragraph 5. of this award term and condition, that resulted in a finding of fault and liability and your payment of either a monetary fine or penalty of \$5,000 or more or reimbursement, restitution, or damages in excess of \$100,000; or
 - (4) Any other criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding if:
 - i. It could have led to an outcome described in paragraph 2.c.(1), (2), or (3) of this award term and condition;
 - ii. It had a different disposition arrived at by consent or compromise with an acknowledgment of fault on your part; and
 - iii. The requirement in this award term and condition to disclose information about the proceeding does not conflict with applicable laws and regulations.

3. *Reporting Procedures*

Enter in the SAM Entity Management area the information that SAM requires about each proceeding described in paragraph 2. of this award term and condition. You do not need to submit the information a second time under assistance awards that you received if you already provided the information through SAM because you were required to do so under Federal procurement

contracts that you were awarded.

4. Reporting Frequency

During any period of time when you are subject to the requirement in paragraph 1. of this award term and condition, you must report proceedings information through SAM for the most recent five year period, either to report new information about any proceeding(s) that you have not reported previously or affirm that there is no new information to report. Recipients that have Federal contract, award, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than \$10,000,000 must disclose semiannually any information about the criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings.

5. Definitions

For purposes of this award term and condition:

- a. Administrative proceeding means a non-judicial process that is adjudicatory in nature in order to make a determination of fault or liability (e.g., Securities and Exchange Commission Administrative proceedings, Civilian Board of Contract Appeals proceedings, and Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals proceedings). This includes proceedings at the Federal and State level but only in connection with performance of a Federal contract or award. It does not include audits, site visits, corrective plans, or inspection of deliverables.
- b. Conviction, for purposes of this award term and condition, means a judgment or conviction of a criminal offense by any court of competent jurisdiction, whether entered upon a verdict or a plea, and includes a conviction entered upon a plea of nolo contendere.
- c. Total value of currently active awards, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts includes —
 - (1) Only the Federal share of the funding under any Federal award with a recipient cost share or match; and
 - (2) The value of all expected funding increments under a Federal award and options, even if not yet exercised.

25. Citizenship and Immigration Status Communications

Authority to obligate or expend contingent on compliance with this condition.

NOTE: This grant condition is established under the COPS Office's broad authority and discretion to award and administer grants. See, e.g., 34 U.S.C. § 10381, et seq. This condition applies only to state or local government entities or to non-state or local government entities that make subawards with these funds to a state or local government entity.

State or local government entity recipients of this award, and any subrecipient of this award at any tier that is an entity of a State or of a unit of local government, may not obligate or expend award funds if – at the time of the obligation or expenditure – the “program or activity” of the recipient funded in whole or in part with the award

funds (which includes any such program or activity of any subrecipient at any tier) is subject to any prohibitions or restrictions on sending to, requesting or receiving from, maintaining, or exchanging information regarding citizenship or immigration status with components of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security or any federal, state or local government entity, as generally described in 8 U.S.C. 1373(a) or (b). This includes any prohibitions or restrictions imposed or established by a state or local government entity or official.

A subrecipient of this award (at any tier) that is an entity of a State or of a unit of local government may not obligate or expend award funds if – at the time of the obligation or expenditure – the “program or activity” of the subrecipient (which includes any such program or activity of any subrecipient at any further tier) funded (in whole or in part) with award funds is subject to any prohibitions or restrictions on sending to, requesting or receiving from, maintaining, or exchanging information regarding citizenship or immigration status with components of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security or any federal, state or local government entity, as generally described in 8 U.S.C. 1373(a) or (b). This includes any prohibitions or restrictions imposed by a state or local government entity or official.

Any obligations or expenditures of a recipient or subrecipient that are impermissible under this condition shall be unallowable costs for purposes of this award.

Rules of Construction. For purposes of this condition, “program or activity” means what it means under section 606 of title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. 2000d-4a).

References to the Immigration and Naturalization Service in 8 U.S.C. 1373 are to be read, as a legal matter, as references to particular components of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

Should any provision of a condition of this award be held to be invalid or unenforceable by its terms, then that provision shall first be applied with a limited construction so as to give it the maximum effect permitted by law (to any person or circumstance) under this award. Should it be held, instead, that a condition (or a provision thereof) is of utter invalidity or unenforceability, such condition (or such provision) shall be deemed severable from this award.

Any questions about the meaning or scope of this condition should be directed, prior to acceptance of this award, to the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services Legal Division at 202-514-3750.[neac1]

26. Computer Network Requirement. The recipient understands and agrees that no award funds may be used to maintain or establish a computer network unless such network blocks the viewing, downloading, and exchanging of pornography. Nothing in this requirement limits the use of funds necessary for any federal, state, tribal, or local law enforcement agency or any other entity carrying out criminal investigations, prosecution, or adjudication activities. Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020, Public Law 116-93, Division B, Title V, Section 527.

27. Travel Costs. Travel costs for transportation, lodging and subsistence, and related items are allowable with prior approval from the COPS Office. Payment for allowable travel costs will be in accordance with 2 C.F.R. § 200.474.

28. Sole Source Justification. Recipients who have been awarded funding for the procurement of an item (or group of items) or service in excess of \$250,000 and who plan to seek approval for use of a noncompetitive procurement process must provide a written sole source justification to the COPS Office for approval prior to obligating, expending, or drawing down award funds for that item or service. 2 C.F.R. § 200.324(b)(2).

29. Public Release Information. The recipient agrees to submit one copy of all reports and proposed publications resulting from this award ninety (90) days prior to public release. Any publications (written, curricula, visual, sound, reports, or websites) or computer programs, whether or not published at government expense, shall contain the following statement:

“This project was supported, in whole or in part, by federal award number 2020CKWX0006 awarded to Dayton, City of by the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services. The opinions contained herein are those of the author(s) or contributor(s) and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice. References to specific individuals, agencies, companies, products, or services should not be considered an endorsement by the author(s), contributor(s), or the U.S. Department of Justice. Rather, the references are illustrations to supplement discussion of the issues.

The internet references cited in this publication were valid as of the date of publication. Given that URLs and websites are in constant flux, neither the author(s) nor the COPS Office can vouch for their current validity.”

30. News Media. The recipient agrees to comply with the COPS Office policy on contact with the news media. The policy establishes the COPS Office Communications Division as the principal point of contact for the news media for issues relevant to the COPS Office or parameters of this award. The recipient agrees to refer all media inquiries on these topics directly to the COPS Office Communications Division at 202-514-9079.

31. Paperwork Reduction Act Clearance and Privacy Act Review. The recipient agrees, if required, to submit all surveys, interview protocols, and other information collections to the COPS Office for submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA). Before submission to OMB, all information collections that request personally identifiable information must be reviewed by the COPS Office to ensure compliance with the Privacy Act. The Privacy Act compliance review and the PRA clearance process may take several months to complete. 44 U.S.C. §§ 3501-3520 and 5 U.S.C. § 552a.

32. Human Subjects Research. The recipient agrees to comply with the provisions of the U.S. Department of Justice’s common rule regarding Protection of Human Subjects, 28 C.F.R. Part 46, prior to the expenditure of Federal funds to perform such activities, if applicable. The recipient also agrees to comply with 28 C.F.R. Part 22 regarding the safeguarding of individually identifiable information collected from research participants.

33. Copyright. If applicable, the recipient may copyright any work that is subject to copyright and was developed, or for which ownership was acquired, under this award in accordance with 2 C.F.R. § 200.315(b). The COPS Office reserves a royalty-free, nonexclusive and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use the work, in whole or in part (including create derivative works), for Federal Government purposes, and to authorize others to do so. The COPS Office also reserves the right, at its discretion, not to publish deliverables and other materials developed under this award as a U.S. Department of Justice resource.

Products and deliverables developed with award funds and published as a U.S. Department of Justice resource will contain the following copyright notice:

“This resource was developed under a federal award and may be subject to copyright. The U.S. Department of Justice reserves a royalty-free, nonexclusive, and irrevocable license to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use the work for Federal Government purposes and to authorize others to do so. This resource may be freely distributed and used for noncommercial and educational purposes only.”



Financial Clearance Memorandum

COPS Office Community Policing Development Micro Grants II (CPD-MG2) Program

To: Chief of Police Biehl and City Manager Dickstein:

Re: Financial Clearance Memorandum

A financial analysis of budgeted costs has been completed. Costs under this award appear reasonable, allowable, and consistent with existing guidelines. Exceptions/Adjustments are noted below.

ORI#: OH05702 Award #: 2020CKWX0006

Budget Category	Proposed	Approved	Change
B. Civilian and Non-Sworn Personnel	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
C. Equipment and Technology	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$0.00
D. Supplies	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
E. Travel, Training, and Conferences	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
F. Contracts and Consultants	\$65,000.00	\$65,000.00	\$0.00
G. Other Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
H. Indirect Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

B. Civilian and Non-Sworn Personnel

Item	Cost	Qty	Total	Change	Reason
------	------	-----	-------	--------	--------

C. Equipment and Technology

Item	Cost	Qty	Total	Change	Reason
Crime analysis software	\$35,000.00	1	\$35,000.00	\$0.00	

D. Supplies

Item	Cost	Qty	Total	Change	Reason
------	------	-----	-------	--------	--------

E. Travel, Training, and Conferences

Item	Cost	Qty	Total	Change	Reason
------	------	-----	-------	--------	--------

F. Contracts and Consultants

Item	Cost	Qty	Total	Change	Reason
------	------	-----	-------	--------	--------

TBD-Project Director	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$30,000.00	- • Incorrect budget category
TBD-Community Outreach Specialists	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	\$25,000.00	- • Incorrect budget category
TBD-Project Evaluation Contractor	\$10,000.00	1	\$10,000.00	\$0.00	
TBD - Project Director	\$30,000.00	1	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	
TBD-Community Outreach Specialists	\$12,500.00	2	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	

G. Other Costs

Item	Cost	Qty	Total	Change	Reason
------	------	-----	-------	--------	--------

H. Indirect Costs

Item	Cost	Qty	Total	Change	Reason
------	------	-----	-------	--------	--------

Notes:

N/A

Total Budget Costs: 100,000.00	Federal Share: 100,000.00	Applicant Share: 0.00
-----------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------

Budget Cleared Date: 07/22/2020

Overall Comments:

All costs listed in this budget were programmatically approved based on the final Budget Detail Worksheets submitted by your agency to the COPS Office. Maintenance agreements (if applicable) must be purchased and paid in full within the award period. Prior to the obligation, expenditure or drawdown of award funds for non-competitive contracts in excess of \$250,000, the recipient must submit a sole source justification to the COPS Office for review and approval. Prior to the obligation, expenditure, or drawdown of award funds for consultant fees in excess of \$650 per day when the consultant is hired through a noncompetitive bidding process, approval must be obtained from the COPS Office. If the vendor number on this form differs from the EIN number included in your application, then for administrative purposes only, we are assigning a different vendor number to your agency. The reason for this administrative change is that your original EIN number has been assigned to another agency. If this applies to your agency, please use the new vendor number on all financial documents related to this award. The vendor number should not be used for IRS purposes and only applies to this award.

Additional Comments:

N/A

GRANT APPLICATION APPROVAL FORM

Date: 3/11/2020

Department/Division
Submitting Application: **Police/Director's Office**

Project Title: **2020 COPS Community Policing Development Mircrogrant - Violent Crime**

CFDA Title and Number: **16.710 Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants**

(CFDA = Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance. This information is required by the Department of Finance if the original source of the money is from the federal government, even if the application is going to a state or local authority.)

Brief Description of Project:

The Police Department is partnering with the HRC to submit an application to address and reduce violent gun crime in specific neighborhoods. The project will develop a process to integrate crime analysis and new technologies while implementing best practice strategies to reduce violent gun crime and engage the community and partners.

The application is being submitted electronically to the agency.

The City Manager and Director of Police approve electronic signature of online documents to be submitted in support of this grant application. The City Manager agrees to permit Police staff to make administrative updates and changes necessary to finalize the application in anticipation of a grant award.

Name and phone of staff person to be called when signed application is ready: Sheelah Moyer ext. 1045

Name of staff person responsible for this grant: Sheelah Moyer ext. 1045

Deadline for submission to funding agency: March 11, 2020


When will grant award decision be made? (Estimate if necessary) September 2020

LEVEL	AGENCY/FUNDING SOURCE	AMOUNT
Fed	U.S. DOJ COPS Office	\$ 100,000.00
State		\$ 0.00
City of Dayton		\$ 0.00
Other		\$ 0.00
TOTAL		\$ 100,000.00

(Note: City of Dayton funds committed to a grant must be accompanied by a Certificate of Funds.)

I have reviewed this material and believe it to be correctly completed and believe the project proposed is appropriate for the City of Dayton.

Director's Signature: _____



3/11/2020

Date

Review and Approval

We have reviewed this material and believe it to be correctly completed and believe the project proposed is appropriate for the City of Dayton.

Director, Department of Procurement, Management & Budget _____

Diane Q. Phalen 3.11.2020

Date

Director of Finance (IF CASH MATCH IS REQUIRED) _____

Date

City Manager's Office _____



Date

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424		
* 1. Type of Submission: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Preapplication <input type="checkbox"/> Application <input type="checkbox"/> Changed/Corrected Application	* 2. Type of Application: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation <input type="checkbox"/> Revision	* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s): _____ * Other (Specify): _____
* 3. Date Received: Completed by Grants.gov upon submission.	4. Applicant Identifier: _____	
5a. Federal Entity Identifier: 316000175	5b. Federal Award Identifier: _____	
State Use Only:		
6. Date Received by State: _____	7. State Application Identifier: _____	
8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:		
* a. Legal Name: City of Dayton, Ohio		
* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN): 31-6000175	* c. Organizational DUNS: 0044781940000	
d. Address:		
* Street1: 101 W Third Street	_____	
Street2:	_____	
* City: Dayton	_____	
County/Parish: Montgomery	_____	
* State: OH: Ohio	_____	
Province:	_____	
* Country: USA: UNITED STATES	_____	
* Zip / Postal Code: 45402-1814	_____	
e. Organizational Unit:		
Department Name: Police Department	Division Name: Director's Office	
f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:		
Prefix: Ms.	* First Name: Sheelah	_____
Middle Name:	_____	
* Last Name: Moyer	_____	
Suffix:	_____	
Title: Budget & Grant Administrator		
Organizational Affiliation: Police Department		
* Telephone Number: 937-333-1045	Fax Number: _____	
* Email: sheelah.moyer@daytonohio.gov		

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

*** 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:**

C: City or Township Government

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

* Other (specify):

*** 10. Name of Federal Agency:**

Community Oriented Policing Services

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

16.710

CFDA Title:

Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants

*** 12. Funding Opportunity Number:**

COPS-CPD-MICROGRANTS-2020

* Title:

COPS-CPD-MICROGRANTS-2020

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

*** 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:**

Greater Dayton, Ohio Safety Planning Commission Violence Reduction Strategy

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Add Attachments

Delete Attachments

View Attachments

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

16. Congressional Districts Of:

* a. Applicant

* b. Program/Project

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

17. Proposed Project:

* a. Start Date:

* b. End Date:

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

* a. Federal	<input type="text" value="100,000.00"/>
* b. Applicant	<input type="text"/>
* c. State	<input type="text"/>
* d. Local	<input type="text"/>
* e. Other	<input type="text"/>
* f. Program Income	<input type="text"/>
* g. TOTAL	<input type="text" value="100,000.00"/>

*** 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on
- b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

*** 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.)**

Yes No

If "Yes", provide explanation and attach

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)

** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix: * First Name:

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Suffix: *Shelley Dickstein 3-11-2020*

* Title:

* Telephone Number: Fax Number:

* Email:

* Signature of Authorized Representative: * Date Signed:

The Dayton Police Department (DPD) proposes a demonstration project for addressing and reducing violent gun crime in specific neighborhoods that allows for the identification and expansion of promising practices and produces knowledge products that follow the principles of good guidance through the integration of multiple technologies of crime analysis to determine specific geographic locations of gun violent crime. This demonstration project seeks a \$100,000 grant, in order to develop a process of integrated crime analysis and new technologies for enhancing crime analysis, with the implementation of evidence-based best practice strategies that reduce violent gun crime, engage the community and other partners, and are easily replicated in adjacent neighborhoods in Dayton, Ohio and adjacent communities.



COPS Office Award Application

OH05702

Dayton, City of

Project Title:

Greater Dayton, Ohio Safety Planning Commission



COPS

Community Oriented Policing Services
U.S. Department of Justice

SECTION 1: COPS OFFICE AWARD PROGRAM REQUEST

The program you have selected is: Community Policing Development.

CPD Microgrant Topic Areas:

9. Violent Crime ▼

B. Research & Development (R&D)

B1) Could any portion of your project be considered research and development (R&D) as defined by 2 C.F.R. §200.87? (See definition below)

No ▼

R&D means all research activities, both basic and applied, and all development activities that are performed by nonfederal entities. The term *research* also includes activities involving the training of individuals in research techniques where such activities utilize the same facilities as other research and

development activities and where such activities are not included in the instruction function. "Research" is defined as a systematic study directed toward fuller scientific knowledge or understanding of the subject studied. "Development" is the systematic use of knowledge and understanding gained from research directed toward the production of useful materials, devices, systems, or methods, including design and development of prototypes and processes.

SECTION 2: AGENCY ELIGIBILITY INFORMATION

This section is pre-populated from the information listed in your COPS Office Agency Portal account. If this information is incorrect, you must log into your COPS Office Agency Portal account and make the necessary changes before proceeding with this application. For assistance, please contact the COPS Office Response Center at AskCOPSRC@usdoj.gov or 800-421-6770.

Agency Designation: *Law Enforcement*

Agency Type Description: *Police*

Please indicate if your jurisdiction is primarily considered rural, urban or suburban.

Urban ▼

2A. CPD Microgrants Eligibility Questions

In this section, we will ask you several questions about your law enforcement agency operations and authority to determine your eligibility to apply for a Community Policing Development Microgrants award. Please note that Microgrant applicants must have a law enforcement agency that is operational as of January 09, 2020, or receive services through a new or existing contract for law enforcement services. Applicants must also maintain primary law enforcement authority for the population to be served.

Part I. Law Enforcement Agency Operations

A law enforcement agency is established and operational if the jurisdiction has passed authorizing legislation and it has a current operating budget.

Q1) Is your agency established and currently operational?

Yes ▼

Part II. Law Enforcement Agency Authority

An agency with primary law enforcement authority is defined as the first responder to calls for service for all types of criminal incidents within its jurisdiction. Agencies are not considered to have primary law enforcement authority if they only: respond to or investigate specific type(s) of crime(s), respond to or investigate crimes within a correctional institution, serve warrants, provide courthouse security, transport prisoners, have cases referred to them for investigation or investigational support or only some combination of these.

Q1) Based on the definition above, does your agency have primary law enforcement authority? [Or, if contracting to receive services, does the agency that will be providing law enforcement services have primary law enforcement authority for the population to be served?]

Yes ▼

Q2) Is your agency the first responder to all types of criminal incidents within your jurisdiction?

Yes ▼

Q3) Agencies with jurisdiction limited only to correctional institutes and/or courthouse settings are not eligible under this program. Is your agency the first responder to citizen-initiated calls for service outside of a correctional institute and/or courthouse setting?

Yes ▼

SECTION 3: GENERAL AGENCY INFORMATION

A. Applicant ORI Number OH05702

The ORI number is assigned by the FBI and is your agency's unique identifier. The COPS Office uses the first seven characters of this number. The first two letters are your state abbreviation, the next three numbers are your county's code, and the next two numbers identify your jurisdiction within your county. If you do not currently have an ORI number, the COPS Office will assign one to your agency for the purpose of tracking your award. ORI numbers assigned to agencies by the COPS Office may end in "ZZ."

B. Applicant Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number: 004478194000

A Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number is required prior to submitting this application. A DUNS number is a unique nine or thirteen digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and keeping track of entities receiving federal funds. For more information about how to obtain a DUNS number, please refer to the "How to Apply" section of the COPS Office Application Guide.

Applicant Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Name:

City of Dayton, Ohio

If your registered name in DUNS is different from your COPS Office legal name, please enter the registered name. For more information about how to obtain a DUNS name, please refer to <https://www.dnb.com/duns-number/lookup.html>.

C. System for Award Management (SAM)

The System for Award Management (SAM) replaces the Central Contractor Registration (CCR) database as the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and sub recipients. The U.S. Department of Justice requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Please note that applicants must update or renew their SAM registration at least once a year to maintain active status.

Applicants that were previously registered in the CCR database must, at a minimum:

Create a SAM account

Log into SAM and migrate permissions to the SAM account (all the entity registrations and records should already have been migrated).

Applicants that were not previously registered in the CCR database must register in SAM prior to registering in Grants.gov. Information about SAM Registration procedures can be accessed at <https://www.sam.gov>.

For more information about how to register with SAM, please refer to the "How to Apply" section of the COPS Office Application Guide.

Your SAM Registration is set to expire on: 02/12/2021

Please enter date in MM/DD/YYYY format.

Note: If your SAM registration is set to expire prior to September 30, 2020, please renew your SAM Registration prior to completing this application. Contact

the SAM Service Desk at 866-606-8220 or view/update your registration information at <https://www.sam.gov>

E. Cognizant Federal Agency: Department of Transportation

Select the legal applicant's Cognizant Federal Agency. A Cognizant Federal Agency, generally, is the federal agency from which your jurisdiction receives the most federal funding. Your Cognizant Federal Agency also may have been previously designated by the Office of Management and Budget. Applicants that have never received federal funding should select "Department of Justice" as the Cognizant Federal Agency.

F. Please enter the month, day, and year of the legal applicant's current fiscal year (MM/DD/YYYY format). From 01/01/2020 to 12/31/2020

G. U.S. Attorney's Office District

a. Indicate your U.S. Attorney's Office District by selecting your state and then selecting U.S. Attorney's Office District in b.

Ohio

b. Indicate your U.S. Attorney's Office District by selecting from the drop-down.

Ohio, Southern

I. U.S. Department of Justice and Other Federal Funding

Applicants are required to disclose whether they have pending applications for federally funded assistance or active federal awards that support the same or similar activities or services for which funding is being requested under this application.

Be advised that as a general rule COPS Office funding may not be used for the same item or service funded through another funding source. However, leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate. To aid the COPS Office in the prevention of awarding potentially duplicative funding, please indicate whether your agency has a pending application and/or an active award with any other federal funding source (e.g. direct federal funding or indirect federal funding through State sub-awarded federal funds) which supports the same or similar activities or services as being proposed in this COPS Office application. (complete the tables below):

Summary of Current/Active Non-COPS Office Awards that Support the Same or Similar Activities or Services as being Proposed in the COPS Office Application

Table with 7 columns: Federal Awarding Agency or State Agency for Sub-Awarding, Award Number, Program Name, Award Start Date (mm/dd/yyyy), Award End Date (mm/dd/yyyy), Award Amount, Describe How This Project Differs From The Application For COPS Office Funding. The table contains multiple empty rows for data entry.

The COPS Office has completed the development of a comprehensive community policing self-assessment tool for use by law enforcement agencies. Based on this work, we have developed the following list of primary sub elements of community policing. Please refer to the COPS Office web site (<https://cops.usdoj.gov>) for further information regarding these sub elements.

Community Partnerships:

Collaborative partnerships between the law enforcement agency and the individuals and organizations they serve to both develop solutions to problems and increase trust in police.

Other Government Agencies
 Community Members/Groups
 Non-Profits/Service Providers
 Private Businesses
 Media

Organizational Transformation:

The alignment of organizational management, structure, personnel and information systems to support community partnerships and proactive problem-solving efforts.

Agency Management

Climate and culture
 Leadership
 Labor relations
 Decision making
 Strategic planning
 Policies
 Organizational evaluations
 Transparency

Organizational Structure

Geographic assignment of officers
 Despecialization
 Resources and finances

Personnel

Recruitment, hiring and selection
 Personnel supervision/evaluations
 Training

Information Systems (Technology)

Communication/access to data
 Quality and accuracy of data

Problem Solving:

The process of engaging in the proactive and systematic examination of identified problems to develop effective responses that are rigorously evaluated.

Scanning: Identifying and prioritizing problems

Analysis: Analyzing problems

Response: Responding to problems

Assessment: Assessing problem-solving initiatives

Using the Crime Triangle to focus on immediate conditions (Victim/Offender/Location)

CP1) To what extent is there community support in your jurisdiction for implementing the proposed award activities?

- a) High level of support
- b) Moderate support
- c) Minimal support

CP2) If awarded, to what extent will the award activities impact the other components of the criminal justice system in your jurisdiction?

- a) Potentially decreased impact
- b) No change in impact
- c) Potentially increased impact

SECTION 7: NEED FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE

A. Explanation of Need for Federal Assistance

All applicants are required to explain their inability to address the need for this award without federal assistance. Please do so in the space below. [Please limit your response to a maximum of 1,000 characters.]

Dayton is a Midwest city struggling to provide city services to a population of roughly 140,640. Dayton has suffered from the loss of its manufacturing base with staff and community members working to attract living wage jobs to the urban core. The City made a commitment to its citizens to increase the number of Police Officers, which represents a large increase in funding to the department. An increase in Officers is effective for enforcement, but DPD needs to explore other ways to combat violent crime, especially after experiencing a mass shooting in 2019. This demonstration project seeks a \$100,000 grant, in order to develop a process of integrated crime analysis and new technologies for enhancing crime analysis, with the implementation of evidence-based best practice strategies that reduce violent gun crime, engage the community and other partners, and are easily replicated in adjacent neighborhoods in Dayton, Ohio and adjacent communities.

SECTION 8: CONTINUATION OF PROJECT AFTER FEDERAL FUNDING ENDS

If you are applying for a COPS Office award with a post-award retention plan requirement, please complete A.

Please complete these questions to indicate any plans you may have to continue this program, project, or activity after the conclusion of federal funding.

1. Does your agency plan to obtain necessary support and continue the program, project, or activity following the conclusion of federal support?

Yes

No

2. Please identify the source(s) of funding that your agency plans to utilize to continue the program, project, or activity following the conclusion of federal support: (check all that apply)

- General funds
- Raise bond/tax issue
- Private sources/donations
- Non-federal asset forfeiture funds (subject to approval from the state or local oversight agency)
- State, local, or other non federal award funding
- Fundraising efforts
- Other

If other, please provide a brief description of the source(s) of funding not to exceed 500 characters.

SECTION 10: PROJECT ABSTRACT

Applicants are required to provide a brief, high-level project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 2000 characters or less. Project abstracts should explain to the reader about the projects' purpose, scope, activities, and key partners, if applicable. An abstract should be coherent, concise, and able to stand alone as a summary of the project. Project abstracts should be written for a general public audience.

The Dayton Police Department (DPD) proposes a demonstration project for addressing and reducing violent gun crime in specific neighborhoods that allows for the identification and expansion of promising practices and produces knowledge products that follow the principles of good guidance through the integration of multiple technologies of crime analysis to determine specific geographic locations of gun violent crime. This demonstration project seeks a \$100,000 grant, in order to develop a process of integrated crime analysis and new technologies for enhancing crime analysis, with the implementation of evidence-based best practice strategies that reduce violent gun crime, engage the community and other partners, and are easily replicated in adjacent neighborhoods in Dayton, Ohio and adjacent communities. Current partners from the City are DPD and the Human Relations Council, both of whom have extensive relationships with local social service providers, civic organizations, and faith-based organizations. These partnerships will work with affected communities to articulate norms and expectations and develop and implement a system that insures permanence and quality assurance. DPD anticipates that increased community engagement will also enhance relationships between the community and the police department.

SECTION 11: PROJECT DESCRIPTION (NARRATIVE)

F. Project Description (Narrative) Attachment:

Please include in your application an in-depth narrative response detailing your proposed project. Please refer to the COPS Office program-specific application guide: "How to Apply" for information on what should be included in your response, as well as any additional formatting requirements and page length limitations. Note: Community Policing Development (CPD) Microgrants award applicants must submit their entire project description as an attachment in section 13 of this application.

1. Project Title:

SECTION 12: OFFICIAL PARTNER(S) CONTACT INFORMATION

An official "partner" under the award may be a governmental, private, school district, or other applicable entity that has established a legal, contractual, or other agreement with the applicant for the purpose of supporting and working together for mutual benefits of the award. Please see the COPS Office application guide for more information on official partners that may be required.

First Name	Last Name	Agency Name	Action
Title: <input type="text"/>			
First Name: <input type="text"/>	Middle Name: <input type="text"/>	Last Name: <input type="text"/>	Suffix: <input type="text" value="Select One..."/>
Name of Partner Agency (e.g., Smithville High School): <input type="text"/>			
Type of Partner Agency (e.g., School District): <input type="text"/>			
Street1: <input type="text"/>			
Street2: <input type="text"/>			
City: <input type="text"/>	State: <input type="text" value="Select One..."/>	Zip/ Postal Code: <input type="text"/>	
Telephone Number: <input type="text"/>	Fax: <input type="text"/>	Email: <input type="text"/>	

Important! Please click "**Add Partner**" below to add a partner to the list.

Reminder! If you have modified (edit, update, or delete) the list of partners in any way, please click "**Save**" to store your changes before moving to the next section.

SECTION 13: APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS

This section should be used to submit the required Project Description described in section 11.

Each application must have a cover page that includes the title of the application and identifies the solicitation topic/sub-topic for which the applicant is applying. The solicitation topic identified on the cover page must match the topic identified in Section 1 of the COPS Office Online Application System. The page requirements are the following: no more than 10 pages and no fewer than 2 pages for the project narrative. Table of contents and cover page will not count toward the overall page limit. Executive summaries, abstracts, timelines, graphs, and charts (regardless of pagination in front matter) will count toward overall page limit. Appendices are strongly discouraged.

Up to three Resumes/Vita, the Budget Narrative (see Community Policing Development (CPD) Microgrants, COPS Office FY2020 Application Guide), Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable), Sole Source Justification (if applicable), budget justification documentation (pay scales, travel policies, etc.), and documentation justifying consultant rates over \$650 per day if the consultant is hired through a noncompetitive bidding process (if applicable) should also be uploaded in this section.

Applicants should attach the Vita/resumes of up to three key project staff detailing work and educational history, and highlighting any experience that is relevant to their ability to successfully carry out the proposed project. Vita and Resumes of Key Project Personnel attachments do not count toward the page limit. All CPD applicants must attach a Budget Narrative. Your agency must create and attach a document that (1) describes each item requested or group of similar items requested; and (2) links each item or group of items to the proposed project. All items will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and in context of the allowable and unallowable costs lists. Budget narratives do not count toward the page limit. See section 14: Budget Detail Worksheets and Budget Narrative for instructions and sample information.

If the program for which you are applying requires a memorandum of understanding (MOU), this document should define the roles and responsibilities of the individuals and partner(s) involved in your proposed project. Please refer to the program-specific application guide to determine if an MOU or other application attachments are required. The guide will also specify if optional attachments are permitted for submission.

Please use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative, Memoranda of Understanding, Resumes) for all attachments.

Please do not submit executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: .com, .bat, .exe, .vbs, .cfg, .dat, .db, .dbf, .dll, .ini, .log, .ora, .sys, and .zip. The system may reject applications with files that use these extensions.

Templates: To download the Microsoft Word documents for the templates below, please click the appropriate link. These templates are voluntary tools to assist your organization in developing these documents. Instructions are provided in italics. If you choose to use these templates, please make sure to delete the instructions before submitting so that it does not count against you in the page count. After downloading the template, complete it and upload it in the attachments section below.

- **Project Description (Narrative) Template:** http://cops.usdoj.gov/pdf/2020AwardDocs/cpd/FY20_CPD_Microgrants_Template_Project_Narrative.docx
- **Budget Narrative Template:** http://cops.usdoj.gov/pdf/2020AwardDocs/cpd/FY20_CPD_Microgrants_Template_Budget_Narrative.docx
- **List of Subaward(s) Template:** http://cops.usdoj.gov/pdf/2020AwardDocs/cpd/FY20_CPD_Microgrants_Template_List_of_Subaward.docx

Current Attachments

Upload attachments using "Browse..." and "Upload...". File names may only contain:

- a-z
- 0-9
- period(.), underscore(_), hyphen(-)
- Characters other than these will be replaced by a hyphen(-).
- After clicking the "Upload..." button, please wait for the page to refresh. The uploaded file will automatically appear in the file list.

Resume JGrandy 1019.pdf	Resume	Delete
Form COPS_Sh_ApplicationAttachment_2_5-V2.5.pdf	SF-424	Delete
WS00435289-SF424_2_1-V2.1-1-.pdf	SF-424	Delete
FY20_CPD_Microgrants_Budget_Narrative -4-.pdf	Budget Narrative	Delete
ltr of support HRC signed.pdf	Letters of Support	Delete
COPS CPD Microgrant Abstract.pdf	Project Description (Narrative)	Delete
Project Narrative.pdf	Project Description (Narrative)	Delete
GDSPC Deliverables timeline.pdf	Project Description (Narrative)	Delete

No file chosen

SECTION 14: BUDGET DETAIL WORKSHEETS

Instructions for Completing the Budget Detail Worksheets

The following Budget Detail Worksheets are designed to allow all COPS Office award and cooperative agreement applicants to use the same budget forms to request funding. Allowable and unallowable costs vary widely and depend upon the type of COPS Office program. The maximum federal funds that can be requested and the federal/local share breakdown requirements also vary.

Please refer to the program-specific application guide to determine the allowable/unallowable costs, the maximum amount of federal funds that can be requested, and the federal/local share requirements for the COPS Office program for which your agency is applying (See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants>). To assist you, sample Budget Detail Worksheets are included in each application guide.

Please complete each section of the Budget Detail Worksheets applicable to the program for which you are applying (see <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for requirements). If you are not requesting anything under a particular budget category, please check the appropriate box in that category indicating that no positions or items are requested.

Applicants must submit reasonable budgets based on the resources needed to implement their proposed projects. The budget should display a clear link between the specific project activities and the proposed budget items. It should not contain any items that are not detailed in the project narrative.

The budget narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe every category of expense listed in the budget detail worksheets contained in section 14 of the application. The COPS Office expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).

Final calculations will be rounded to the nearest whole dollar. Once the budget for your proposal has been completed, a budget summary page will reflect the total amounts requested in each category, the total project costs, and the total federal and local shares.

In addition to the Budget Detail Worksheet, it is a requirement of the CPD program to attach a separate budget narrative under Section 13. Failure to attach the detailed budget narrative, as outlined in the application guides, will eliminate your application from consideration.

If you need assistance in completing the Budget Detail Worksheets, please call the COPS Office Response Center at 800-421-6770.

B. BASE SALARY AND FRINGE BENEFITS FOR CIVILIAN/NON-SWORN PERSONNEL

Instructions: Please complete the questions below for one non-sworn position salary and benefits package. As applicable per the program-specific application guide, you may also be required to project year 2 and year 3 salaries.

Please refer to <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for information about allowable and unallowable fringe benefits for civilian/non-sworn personnel requested under the program to which your agency is applying. **If requesting Civilian/Non-Sworn Personnel in this section, applicants must indicate the number of positions under D. Total Salary and Benefits for Years 1 and 2 before saving or moving to the next section. If the number of positions is left at zero and does not reflect the actual number of positions, this page will revert to a blank page.**

B. CIVILIAN POSITIONS

A. Base Salary Information		Year 1 Salary	Year 2 Salary
Position Title	<input type="text" value="Type here to create 1st position"/>	Enter the first year entry-level base salary for this civilian/non-sworn position.	Enter the second year entry-level base salary for this civilian/non-sworn position.
Description		<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
		X <input type="text" value="100.00"/> % of time on project	X <input type="text" value="100.00"/> % of time on project
		<input type="text" value="0"/>	<input type="text" value="0"/>
		<input type="button" value="Select One..."/> Does the base salary include Vacation costs?	<input type="button" value="Select One..."/> Does the base salary include Vacation costs?

Please select Yes or No.

Please select Yes or No.

Select One... ▼ Does the base salary include Sick Leave costs? Please select Yes or No.

Select One... ▼ Does the base salary include Sick Leave costs? Please select Yes or No.

B. Fringe Benefit costs should be calculated for each year of the award term.

FRINGE BENEFITS:

			Year 1 Fringe Benefits		Year 2 Fringe Benefits	
			COST BASE	% OF SALARY	COST BASE	% OF SALARY
Social security expenses cannot exceed 6.2%	Exempt ✓ 6.2%	Fixed Rate	0	6.2	0	6.2
Medicare expenses cannot exceed 1.45%	Exempt ✓ 1.45%	Fixed Rate	0	1.45	0	1.45
Health insurance			0	0	0	0
Life Insurance			0	0	0	0
Vacation	Number of Hours Annually: 0		0	0	0	0
Sick Leave	Number of Hours Annually: 0		0	0	0	0
Retirement			0	0	0	0
Worker's Compensation	Exempt		0	0	0	0
Unemployment Insurance	Exempt		0	0	0	0
Other	Select One ▼		0	0	0	0
Other	Select One ▼		0	0	0	0
Other	Select One ▼		0	0	0	0
Benefits Sub-Total Per Year (1 Position)			0.0000		0.0000	
C. Total Salary + Benefits Per Year (1 Position)			0.0000		0.0000	
D. Total Salary and Benefits for Years 1 and 2 (1 Position):			0.0000		X 0 # of Positions	0.0000

C. EQUIPMENT/TECHNOLOGY

No Equipment/Technology Requested

Instructions: List non expendable items that are to be purchased. Provide a specific description for each item in the description boxes below and explain how the item supports the project goals and objectives as outlined in your application. Non expendable equipment is tangible property (e.g., information technology systems) having a useful life of more than one year and an acquisition cost of \$5,000 or more per unit. Expendable items should be included either in the "SUPPLIES" or "OTHER" categories. Applicants should analyze the cost benefits of purchasing versus leasing equipment, especially for high-price items and those subject to rapid technical advances. Rented or leased equipment costs should be listed in the "CONTRACTS / CONSULTANTS" category.

Please be advised that, to the greatest extent practical, all equipment and products purchased with these funds must be American-made.

For agencies purchasing items related to enhanced communications systems, the COPS Office expects and encourages that, wherever feasible, such voice or data communications equipment should be incorporated into an intra- or inter-jurisdictional strategy for communications interoperability among federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies.

See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for this program. Please limit your descriptions to 1000 characters.

Item Name

Name	Cost	QTY	Sub Total	Description	
Crime analysis software	Base cost: 35000.0000	1	35000.0000		Delete

DPD will purchase crime analysis software that will upgrade/replace existing software and provide training to sworn and crime analysis personnel to operate software and create reports/analyses. Cost is estimated to be \$35,000 for all time, materials and training to implement new software package.

Total : 35000.0000

Add Item

D. SUPPLIES

☞ No Supplies Requested

Instructions: List items by type (office supplies; postage; training materials; copying paper; books; hand-held tape recorders; computing devices costing less than \$5,000; etc). Provide a specific description for each item in the description boxes below and explain how it supports the project goals and objectives outlined in your application. Generally, supplies include any materials that are expendable or consumed during the course of the project, costing less than \$5,000.

See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for this program. Please limit your descriptions to 1000 characters.

E. TRAVEL/TRAINING/CONFERENCES

☞ No Travel/Training/Conferences Requested

Instructions: Travel costs are the expenses for transportation, lodging, subsistence, temporary dependent care, and related items incurred by employees who are in travel status on official business of the nonfederal entity. Official travel can include attendance at an award-related meeting or conferences when travel is further than 50 miles from the program location. The only individuals traveling that should be included in this section are ones listed in "Section 14.B. Base Salary and Fringe Benefits for Civilian/Non-Sworn Personnel." All other individuals traveling for this project including participant and consultant travel should be listed under "Section 14.F. Contracts/Consultants." Applicants without a written travel policy must follow the established federal rates found at (<https://www.gsa.gov>) for lodging, meals, and per diem. For all applicants (with or without a written travel policy), airfare travel costs must be one of the following: the lowest discount commercial airfare, standard coach airfare, or the Federal Government contract airfare (if authorized and available).
Instructions: Itemize award-related travel expenses of recipient personnel (excluding consultants, whose expenses are listed in section F) by event (e.g., mandatory training, staff to training, field interviews, advisory group meetings). Identify the location of travel whenever possible, and show the number of staff expected to attend each event. Registration/training fees, transportation (including airfare, rental cars, parking, and/or baggage fees), lodging and per diem rates for individuals should be listed as separate travel items. Recipient travel costs specific to the award project may be based on the applicant's written travel policy, assuming the costs are reasonable.

See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for this program. Provide a specific description in the description boxes below for each item and explain how the item supports the project goals and objectives as outlined in your application. Please limit your descriptions to 1000 characters.

F. CONTRACTS/CONSULTANTS

No Contract Costs Requested

Instructions: See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for the particular program to which you are applying. Provide a specific description in the description boxes below for each item and explain how the item supports project goals and objectives as outlined in your application. Please limit your descriptions to 1000 characters.

Contracts: Provide a cost estimate for the product or service to be procured by contract. Applicants are encouraged to promote free and open competition in awarding contracts. If awarded, requests for sole source procurements of equipment, technology, or services in excess of \$250,000 must be submitted to the COPS Office for prior approval. (See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for more information on the required submission.)

F1. Contract Costs

Name	Cos	Qty	Sub To	Description	
TBD-Project Evaluation Contract	Base cost: 10000.0000	1	10000.0000		Delete

Contractor hired to conduct an evaluation of the project, identify strengths and weaknesses and suggest improvements or changes to increase effectiveness. Cost is 1 Evaluation entity/contractor X \$25-60/hour X 300-500 hours = \$10,000

Total : 10000.0000

Add Item

No Consultant Fees Requested

Instructions: See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for the particular program to which you are applying. Provide a specific description in the description boxes below for each item and explain how the item supports the project goals and objectives as outlined in your application. Please limit your descriptions to 1000 characters.

Consultant Fees: For each consultant enter the name (if known), service to be provided, hourly or daily fee (based upon an 8-hour day), and estimated length of time on the project. Unless otherwise approved by the COPS Office, approved consultant rates will be based on the salary a consultant receives from his or her primary employer. Consultant fees in excess of \$650 per day require additional written justification and must be pre-approved in writing by the COPS Office if the consultant is hired via a noncompetitive bidding process.

F2. Consultant Fees

name	Cost		Sub Tot	Description
------	------	--	---------	-------------

TBD-Project Director	30000.0000	Base cost: 1	30000.0000	\$30,000 salary is for salary of person who will be staff for the GDSPC convene meetings, facilitate processes and coordinate community engagement, and support review of data and policies. Contract cost includes travel expenses to/from meeting sites. Cost is 1 position at \$25-40/hour X 1,000-1,250 hours = \$30,000.
----------------------	------------	--------------	------------	---

Delete

TBD-Community Outreach Special	12500.0000	Base cost: 2	25000.0000	2 part-time outreach specialists who will organize and survey the targeted communities to collect qualitative data about community concerns and serve as liaisons between GDSPC and residents of the targeted area(s). Contract cost includes travel expenses to/from meeting sites. Cost is 2 positions at \$12-20/hour X 1,000-1,250 hours = \$25,000
--------------------------------	------------	--------------	------------	---

Delete

Total : 50000.0000

Add Item

✓ No Consultant Travel Requested

Instructions: See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for the particular program to which you are applying. Provide a specific description in the description boxes below for each item and explain how the item supports the project goals and objectives as outlined in your application. Please limit your descriptions to 1000 characters.

Consultant Travel: List all travel-related expenses to be paid from the award to the individual consultants (e.g., transportation, meals, lodging) separate from their consultant fees.

✔ No Consultant Expenses Requested

Instructions: See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for the particular program to which you are applying. Provide a specific description in the description boxes below for each item and explain how the item supports the project goals and objectives as outlined in your application. Please limit your descriptions to 1000 characters.

Consultant Expenses: List all other expenses to be paid from the award to the individual consultants separate from their consultant fees and travel expenses (e.g., computer equipment and office supplies).

G. OTHER COSTS

✔ No Other Costs Requested

Instructions: List other requested items that will support the project goals and objectives as outlined in your application. **Provide a specific description for each item in the description boxes below and explain how the item supports the project goals and objectives as outlined in your application.**

Please be advised that, to the greatest extent practical, all equipment and products purchased with these funds must be American-made.

See <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for this program. Please limit your descriptions to 1000 characters.

H. INDIRECT COSTS

✔ No Indirect Costs Requested

Instructions: In the description box, please provide the following information: Type of rate (provisional, final, predetermined, fixed, etc.), the indirect cost rate base, the rate percentage for each location, the effective period, and the cognizant agency name. Indirect costs are allowed under a very limited number of specialized COPS Office programs. Please see <https://cops.usdoj.gov/grants> for a list of allowable/unallowable costs for the particular program to which you are applying.

If your organization is requesting indirect costs for this project, please include a copy of your current, signed federally approved Indirect Cost Rate Negotiated Agreement as an attachment in Section 13. If the applicant does not have an approved rate, a rate can be requested by contacting the applicant's cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization. Please limit your description to 1000 characters.

S. BUDGET SUMMARY

Instructions: Please review the category totals and the total project costs below. If the category totals and project amounts shown are correct, please continue with the submission of your application. Should you need to make revisions to a budget category, please return to the Budget Detail Worksheet.

Section

Budget Category	Category Total	
A. Sworn Officers	\$0.0000	
B. Civilian and Non-Sworn Personnel	\$0.0000	
C. Equipment and Technology	\$35000.0000	
D. Supplies	\$0.0000	
E. Travel, Training, and Conferences	\$0.0000	
F. Contracts and Consultants	\$65000.0000	
G. Other Costs	\$0.0000	
H. Indirect Costs	\$0.0000	
Total Project Amount:	\$100000.0000	
Total Federal Share Amount: (Total Project Amount X Federal Share Percentage Allowable)	\$100000	100.0000%
Total Local Share Amount (If applicable): (Total Project Amount - Total Federal Share Amount)	\$0	0.0000%

Contact Information for Budget Questions

For any questions related to your budget submission, the COPS Office has auto-populated your organizations financial official. **If you prefer the COPS Office reach out to someone else regarding budget questions, please make the changes to your financial official via the Agency Portal.**

First Name:

Shelley

Last Name:

Dickstein

Title:

City Manager

Telephone Number:

9373333611

Fax:

9373334298

Email Address:

shelley.dickstein@daytonohio.gov

SECTION 15A: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CERTIFIED STANDARD ASSURANCES

On behalf of the Applicant, and in support of this application for a grant or cooperative agreement, I certify under penalty of perjury to the U.S. Department of Justice ("Department"), that all of the following are true and correct:

- (1) I have the authority to make the following representations on behalf of myself and the Applicant. I understand that these representations will be relied upon as material in any Department decision to make an award to the Applicant based on its application.
- (2) I certify that the Applicant has the legal authority to apply for the federal assistance sought by the application, and that it has the institutional, managerial, and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay any required non-federal share of project costs) to plan, manage, and complete the project described in the application properly.
- (3) I assure that, throughout the period of performance for the award (if any) made by the Department based on the application--
- the Applicant will comply with all award requirements and all federal statutes and regulations applicable to the award;
 - the Applicant will require all subrecipients to comply with all applicable award requirements and all applicable federal statutes and regulations; and
 - the Applicant will maintain safeguards to address and prevent any organizational conflict of interest, and also to prohibit employees from using their positions in any manner that poses, or appears to pose, a personal or financial conflict of interest.
- (4) The Applicant understands that the federal statutes and regulations applicable to the award (if any) made by the Department based on the application specifically include statutes and regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination, and, in addition--
- the Applicant understands that the applicable statutes pertaining to civil rights will include section 601 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d); section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794); section 901 of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. § 1681); and section 303 of the Age Discrimination Act of 1975 (42 U.S.C. § 6102);
 - the Applicant understands that the applicable statutes pertaining to nondiscrimination may include section 809(c) of Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (34 U.S.C. § 10228(c)); section 1407(e) of the Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (34 U.S.C. § 20110(e)); section 299A(b) of the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 2002 (34 U.S.C. § 11182(b)); and that the grant condition set out at section 40002(b)(13) of the Violence Against Women Act (34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(13)), which will apply to all awards made by the Office on Violence Against Women, also may apply to an award made otherwise;
 - the Applicant understands that it must require any subrecipient to comply with all such applicable statutes (and associated regulations); and;
 - on behalf of the Applicant, I make the specific assurances set out in 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.105 and 42.204.
- (5) The Applicant also understands that (in addition to any applicable program-specific regulations and to applicable federal regulations that pertain to civil rights and nondiscrimination) the federal regulations applicable to the award (if any) made by the Department based on the application may include, but are not limited to, 2 C.F.R. Part 2800 (the DOJ "Part 200 Uniform Requirements") and 28 C.F.R. Parts 22 (confidentiality - research and statistical information), 23 (criminal intelligence systems), 38 (regarding faith-based or religious organizations participating in federal financial assistance programs), and 46 (human subjects protection).
- (6) I assure that the Applicant will assist the Department as necessary (and will require subrecipients and contractors to assist as necessary) with the Department's compliance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (54 U.S.C. § 306108), the Archeological and Historical Preservation Act of 1974 (54 U.S.C. §§ 312501-312508), and the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. §§ 4321-4335), and 28 C.F.R. Parts 61 (NEPA) and 63 (floodplains and wetlands).
- (7) I assure that the Applicant will give the Department and the Government Accountability Office, through any authorized representative, access to, and opportunity to examine, all paper or electronic records related to the award (if any) made by the Department based on the application.
- (8) I assure that, if the Applicant is a governmental entity, with respect to the award (if any) made by the Department based on the application--
- it will comply with the requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisitions Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§ 4601-4655), which govern the treatment of persons displaced as a result of federal and federally-assisted programs; and
 - it will comply with requirements of 5 U.S.C. §§ 1501-1508 and 7324-7328, which limit certain political activities of State or local government employees whose principal employment is in connection with an activity financed in whole or in part by federal assistance.
- (9) If the Applicant applies for and receives an award from the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS Office), I assure that as required by 34 U.S.C. § 10382(c)(11), it will, to the extent practicable and consistent with applicable law—including, but not limited to, the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act—seek, recruit, and hire qualified members of racial and ethnic minority groups and qualified women in order to further effective law enforcement by increasing their ranks within the sworn positions, as provided under 34 U.S.C. § 10382(c)(11).
- (10) If the Applicant applies for and receives a DOJ award under the STOP School Violence Act program, I assure as required by 34 U.S.C. § 10552(a)(3), that it will maintain and report such data, records, and information (programmatic and financial) as DOJ may reasonably require.

I acknowledge that a materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement (or concealment or omission of a material fact) in this certification, or in the application that it supports, may be the subject of criminal prosecution (including under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and/or 1621, and/or 34 U.S.C. §§ 10271-10273), and also may subject me and the Applicant to civil penalties and administrative remedies for false claims or otherwise (including under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3730 and 3801-3812). I also acknowledge that the Department's awards, including certifications provided in connection with such awards, are subject to review by the Department, including by its Office of the Inspector General.

Signature of Law Enforcement Executive/Agency Executive (For your electronic signature, please type in your name) Richard Biehl

Richard Biehl

Date:

03/11/2020

Signature of Government Executive/Financial Official (For your electronic signature, please type in your name) Shelley Dickstein

Shelley Dickstein

Date:

03/11/2020

SECTION 15B: U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CERTIFICATIONS REGARDING LOBBYING; DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS; AND DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE REQUIREMENTS

Applicants should refer to the regulations cited below to determine the certification to which they are required to attest. Applicants should also review the instructions for certification included in the regulations before completing this form. The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the U.S. Department of Justice ("Department") determines to award the covered transaction, grant, or cooperative agreement.

1. Lobbying

As required by 31 U.S.C. § 1352, as implemented by 28 C.F.R. Part 69, the Applicant certifies and assures (to the extent applicable) the following:

- (a) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the Applicant, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the making of any Federal grant, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, or the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal grant or cooperative agreement;
- (b) If the Applicant's request for Federal funds is in excess of \$100,000, and any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a member of Congress in connection with this Federal grant or cooperative agreement, the Applicant shall complete and submit Standard Form - LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities" in accordance with its (and any DOJ awarding agency's) instructions; and
- (c) The Applicant shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subgrants and procurement contracts (and their subcontracts) funded with Federal award funds and shall ensure that any certifications or lobbying disclosures required of recipients of such subgrants and procurement contracts (or their subcontractors) are made and filed in accordance with 31 U.S.C. § 1352.

2. DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION, AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS

A. Pursuant to Department regulations on nonprocurement debarment and suspension implemented at 2 C.F.R. Part 2867, and to other related requirements, the Applicant certifies, with respect to prospective participants in a primary tier "covered transaction," as defined at 2 C.F.R. § 2867.20(a), that neither it nor any of its principals—

- (a) is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, sentenced to a denial of Federal benefits by a State or Federal court, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions by any Federal department or agency;
- (b) has within a three-year period preceding this application been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law, or been convicted or had a civil judgment rendered against it for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State, tribal, or local) transaction or private agreement or transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, tax evasion or receiving stolen property, making false claims, or obstruction of justice, or commission of any offense indicating a lack of business integrity or business honesty that seriously and directly affects its (or its principals') present responsibility;
- (c) is presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State, tribal, or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (b) of this certification; and/or
- (d) has within a three-year period preceding this application had one or more public transactions (Federal, State, tribal, or local) terminated for cause or default.

B. Where the Applicant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, it shall attach an explanation to this application. Where the Applicant or any of its principals was convicted, within a three-year period preceding this application, of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law, the Applicant also must disclose such felony criminal conviction in writing to the Department (for OJP Applicants, to OJP at Ojpcompliancereporting@usdoj.gov; for OVW Applicants, to OVW at OVW.GFMD@usdoj.gov; or for COPS Applicants, to COPS at AskCOPSRC@usdoj.gov), unless such disclosure has already been made.

3. FEDERAL TAXES

A. If the Applicant is a corporation, it certifies either that (1) the corporation has no unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability, or (2) the corporation has provided written notice of such an unpaid tax liability (or liabilities) to the Department (for OJP Applicants, to OJP at Ojpcompliancereporting@usdoj.gov; for OVW Applicants, to OVW at OVW.GFMD@usdoj.gov; or for COPS Applicants, to COPS at AskCOPSRC@usdoj.gov).

B. Where the Applicant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, it shall attach an explanation to this application.

4. DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE (GRANTEES OTHER THAN INDIVIDUALS)

As required by the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988, as implemented at 28 C.F.R. Part 83, Subpart F, for grantees, as defined at 28 C.F.R. §§ 83.620 and 83.650:

A. The Applicant certifies and assures that it will, or will continue to, provide a drug-free workplace by—

- (a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in its workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
- (b) Establishing an on-going drug-free awareness program to inform employees about—
 - (1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
 - (2) The Applicant's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
 - (3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and
 - (4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;
- (c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the award be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);
- (d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the award, the employee will—
 - (1) Abide by the terms of the statement; and
 - (2) Notify the employer in writing of the employee's conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar

days after such conviction;

(e) Notifying the Department, in writing, within 10 calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title of any such convicted employee to the Department, as follows:

For COPS award recipients - COPS Office, 145 N Street, NE, Washington, DC, 20530;

For OJP and OVV award recipients - U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, ATTN: Control Desk, 810 7th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20531.

Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected award;

(f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted:

(1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended;
or

(2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency; and

(g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f).

5. COORDINATION REQUIRED UNDER PUBLIC SAFETY AND COMMUNITY POLICING PROGRAMS

As required by the Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Act of 1994, at 34 U.S.C. § 10382(c)(5), if this application is for a COPS award, the Applicant certifies that there has been appropriate coordination with all agencies that may be affected by its award. Affected agencies may include, among others, Offices of the United States Attorneys; State, local, or tribal prosecutors; or correctional agencies.

I acknowledge that a materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement (or concealment or omission of a material fact) in this certification, or in the application that it supports, may be the subject of criminal prosecution (including under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and/or 1621, and/or 34 U.S.C. §§ 10271-10273), and also may subject me and the Applicant to civil penalties and administrative remedies for false claims or otherwise (including under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3730 and 3801-3812). I also acknowledge that the Department's awards, including certifications provided in connection with such awards, are subject to review by the Department, including by its Office of the Inspector General.

Signature of Law Enforcement Executive/Agency Executive (For your electronic signature, please type in your name) Richard Biehl

Richard Biehl

Date:

03/11/2020

Signature of Government Executive/Financial Official (For your electronic signature, please type in your name) Shelley Dickstein

Shelley Dickstein

Date:

03/11/2020

Where the Applicant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, it shall attach an explanation to this application in Section 13.

SECTION 16A: DISCLOSURE OF LOBBYING ACTIVITIES

This section duplicates OMB's Instructions for Completion of SF-LLL, Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

This disclosure form shall be completed by the reporting entity, whether subawardee or prime Federal recipient, at the initiation or receipt of a covered Federal action, or a material change to a previous filing, pursuant to title 31 U.S.C. § 1352. The filing of a form is required for each payment or agreement to make payment to any lobbying entity for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with a covered Federal action. Complete all items that apply for both the initial filing and material change report. Refer to the implementing guidance published by the Office of Management and Budget for additional information.

1. Identify the type of covered Federal action for which lobbying activity is and/or has been secured to influence the outcome of a covered Federal action.
2. Identify the status of the covered Federal action.
3. Identify the appropriate classification of this report. If this is a follow-up report caused by a material change to the information previously reported, enter the year and quarter in which the change occurred. Enter the date of the last previously submitted report by this reporting entity for this covered Federal action.
4. Enter the full name, address, city, state and zip code of the reporting entity. Include Congressional District number, if known. Check the appropriate classification of the reporting entity that designates if it is, or expects to be, a prime or subaward recipient. Identify the tier of the subawardee, e.g., the first subawardee of the prime is the 1st tier. Subawards include but are not limited to subcontracts, subgrants and contract awards under awards.
5. If the organization filing the report in item 4 checks "Subawardee," then enter the full name, address, city, state and zip code of the prime Federal recipient. Include Congressional District, if known.
6. Enter the name of the Federal agency making the award or loan commitment. Include at least one organizational level below agency name, if known. For example, U.S. Department of Transportation, United States Coast Guard.
7. Enter the Federal program name or description for the covered Federal action (item 1). If known, enter the full Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number for grants, cooperative agreements, loans and loan commitments.
8. Enter the most appropriate Federal identifying number available for the Federal action identified in item 1 (e.g., Request for Proposal (RFP) number; Invitation for Bid (IFB) number; award announcement number; the contract, grant, or loan award number; the application/proposal control number assigned by the Federal agency). Include prefixes, e.g., "RFPD E-90-001."
9. For a covered Federal action where there has been an award or loan commitment by the Federal agency, enter the Federal amount of the award/loan commitment for the prime entity identified in item 4 or 5.
10. (a) Enter the full name, address, city, state and zip code of the lobbying entity engaged by the reporting registrant identified in item 4 to influence the covered Federal action.
(b) Enter the full name(s) of the individual(s) performing services, and include full address if different from 10 (a). Enter Last Name, First Name, and Middle

Initial (MI).

11. The certifying official shall sign and date the form, print his/her name, title and telephone number.

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is OMB No. 4040-0013 Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (4040-0013), Washington, DC 20503.

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Complete this form to disclose lobbying activities pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 1352.

Not Applicable

SECTION 16 C: CERTIFICATION OF 287(G) PARTNERSHIP AND CERTIFICATION OF ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION COOPERATION

State and local law enforcement agency applicants have the opportunity to receive additional points based on their cooperation with federal immigration officials to address illegal immigration.

A. State or local law enforcement agency applicants may receive additional points if the agency has a 287(g) partnership, defined for this purpose as a partnership with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") under 8 U.S.C. § 1357(g)(1) whereby officers of the applicant entity are delegated limited immigration officer authority to identify and process for removal aliens in the custody of the entity named below under the direction and supervision of DHS, documented with the official 287(g) Memorandum of Agreement with the Department of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement. To receive these additional points, please complete the following:

By checking this box I certify that this law enforcement agency applicant has a 287(g) Partnership documented with the official 287(g) Memorandum of Agreement with the Department of Homeland Security Immigration and Customs Enforcement as of the date that this application is submitted.

B. State and local law enforcement agency applicants may be eligible to receive additional points by certifying willingness to cooperate with federal immigration officials within their detention or correctional facilities. To be eligible to receive these additional points, your agency must operate a detention or correctional facility, defined for this purpose as a prison or jail in which individuals are fingerprinted and detained for periods of 24 hours or longer. To determine whether your agency is eligible to receive these additional points, please complete the following:

Yes, my agency operates its own detention or correctional facility (a prison or jail in which individuals are fingerprinted and detained for periods of 24 hours or longer).

No, my agency does not operate a detention or correctional facility (a prison or jail in which individuals are fingerprinted and detained for periods of 24 hours or longer).

SECTION 17: REVIEWS AND CERTIFICATIONS

1) Federal Civil Rights and Award Reviews:

Please be advised that an application may not be funded and, if awarded, a hold may be placed on the award if it is deemed that the applicant is not in compliance with federal civil rights laws, and/or is not cooperating with an ongoing federal civil rights investigation, and/or is not cooperating with a U.S. Department of Justice award review or audit.

2) Certification of Review of 28 C.F.R. Part 23/Criminal Intelligence Systems:

Please review the COPS Office application guide: Legal Requirements Section for additional information.

Please check one of the following, as applicable to your agency's intended use of this award:

No, my agency will not use these COPS Office funds (if awarded) to operate an interjurisdictional criminal intelligence system.

Yes, my agency will use these COPS Office funds (if awarded) to operate an interjurisdictional criminal intelligence system. By signing below, we assure that our agency will comply with the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 23.

3) Certification of Review and Representation of Compliance with Requirements:

The signatures of the Law Enforcement Executive/Agency Executive, Government Executive/Financial Official, and the Person Submitting this Application on the Reviews and Certifications represent to the COPS Office that:

- the signatories have been legally and officially authorized by the appropriate governing body to submit this application and act on behalf of the applicant entity;
- the applicant will comply with all legal, administrative, and programmatic requirements that govern the applicant for acceptance and use of federal funds as outlined in the applicable COPS Office application guide, the COPS Office award owner's manual, Assurances, Certifications and all other applicable program regulations, laws, orders, and circulars;
- the applicant understands that false statements or claims made in connection with COPS Office programs may result in fines, imprisonment, debarment from participating in federal awards, cooperative agreements, or contracts, or any other remedy available by law to the Federal Government;
- the information provided in this application, including any amendments, shall be treated as material representations of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the U.S. Department of Justice determines to fund the covered award;
- the applicant understands that as a general rule COPS Office funding may not be used for the same item or service funded through another funding source; and

f) the applicant and any required or identified official partner(s) listed in section 12 are partners in this award project and mutually agreed to this partnership prior to this application.

The signatures of the Law Enforcement Executive/Agency Executive and the Government Executive/Financial Official on this application must be the same as those identified in Section 4 of this application. Applications with missing, incomplete, or inaccurate signatories or responses may not be considered for funding.

Signature of Law Enforcement Executive/Agency Executive (For your electronic signature, please type in your name) Richard Biehl

Richard Biehl

Date:

03/11/2020

Signature of Government Executive/Financial Official (For your electronic signature, please type in your name) Shelley Dickstein

Shelley Dickstein

Date:

03/11/2020

Signature of Person Submitting This Application (For your electronic signature, please type in your name)

Sheelah Moyer

Date:

03/11/2020

By clicking this box, the applicant understands that the use of typed names in this application and the required forms, including the Assurances, Certifications, and Disclosure of Lobbying Activities form, constitute electronic signatures and that the electronic signatures are the legal equivalent of handwritten signatures.

APPLICATION REVIEW

No other review items found for this agency.

Submit

Your application has been successfully recorded.

Submission Date: 2020-03-11 17:29:06

ORI: OH05702

Confirmation Number: 1012427_623281775

Program Type: Community Policing Development Micro Grants II

Thank you for submitting your agency's COPS Application. If you have any questions or concerns you may contact the COPS Office Response Center at 800.421.6770. When contacting the COPS Office concerning the submission of this application, please reference your agency's ORI number.

[Click here](#) to return to the COPS Application home page.

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to be up to 11.3 hours per response, depending upon the COPS program being applied for, which includes time for reviewing instructions. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspects of the collection of this information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, U.S. Department of Justice, 145 N Street NE, Washington, DC 20530; and to the Public Use Reports Project, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

You are not required to respond to this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The OMB control number for this application is 1103-0098 and the expiration date is 05/31/2020.

COPS CPD Micro grant (CFDA 16.710)

Greater Dayton, Ohio Safety Planning Council

COPS CPD Microgrant Application

(CFDA 16.710)

Subtopic 9: Violent Crime

Submitted by:
City of Dayton, Ohio
101 W. Third Street
Dayton, OH 45402

Greater Dayton, Ohio Safety Planning Commission (GDSPC)


Grant Proposal

Abstract

The Dayton Police Department (DPD) proposes a demonstration project for addressing and reducing violent gun crime in specific neighborhoods that allows for the identification and expansion of promising practices and produces knowledge products that follow the principles of good guidance through the integration of multiple technologies of crime analysis to determine specific geographic locations of gun violent crime. This demonstration project seeks a \$100,000 grant, in order to develop a process of integrated crime analysis and new technologies for enhancing crime analysis, with the implementation of evidence-based best practice strategies that reduce violent gun crime, engage the community and other partners, and are easily replicated in adjacent neighborhoods in Dayton, Ohio and adjacent communities.

Problem Statement

Dayton has experienced violent gun crime resulting in injury and death for a number of years.



The short-term consequences for the community are a potential loss of life for both violent offenders and innocent community members, including children. Longer-term consequences, however, include economic and social consequences such as costs for medical treatment, family and community disruption, and continued decrease in quality of life, which results in a downward spiral of deterioration of Dayton neighborhoods.

DPD has utilized technology in the past as a force multiplier. Recently, DPD has begun to add additional technology such as gunshot detection technology and National Integrated Ballistic Information (NIBN) to gain intelligence regarding where gun crime is occurring, and the possible relationship of specific guns used in multiple gun crimes. The GDSPC project proposes the coordination of multiple data collection sources with the utilization of a team of crime analysts, both sworn and civilian personnel, to quickly study and understand gun crime in Dayton, the perpetrators of gun crime in Dayton, and to be able to recommend the most appropriate evidence –based best practice strategies to combat gun crime in Dayton neighborhoods. Both DPD and community partners have grown to understand the symbiotic balance that is needed to achieve public safety. DPD and community partners need a coordinated, comprehensive mechanism for sharing, analyzing, and reporting data. The GDSPC will utilize the analysis and reporting of gun crime for DPD and develop processes for rapidly sharing this knowledge with partner agencies, and at the grassroots level of community in Dayton in order to apply the most appropriate evidence –based best practice strategies.

Goals for GDSPC

COPS CPD Micro grant (CFDA 16.710)

The goals for GDSPC are simple. First is to use new crime analysis software to integrate existing technology, combined with the Crime Analysis Team to accurately determine the precise location of gun fire and to assess the likely impetus of the nature of the gun crime. Secondly, the GDSPC, in partnership with DPD will determine the appropriate evidence – based and promising strategies as identified by the U. S. Attorney General’s summary of Key Factors, Promising Strategies and Suggested Steps and Interdisciplinary Approach to Addressing Violence published by the COPS Office to be implemented by both DPD and community partners. The GDSPC will be the facilitator for connecting community engagement and, where appropriate, community training for multiple best practice strategies to reduce gun violence. For example, after incident canvassing will be conducted by DPD personnel Community Problem Response Team (CPRT) and community volunteers, and will include conversation and a qualitative survey of neighborhood residents regarding gun violence. Additionally, periodic canvassing dates will maintain a continuous presence in the community.

Community Partners

Current partners include: Dayton Police Department the Human Relations Council, both of whom have extensive relationships with local social service providers, civic organizations, and faith-based organizations. These partnerships will work with affected communities to articulate norms and expectations and develop and implement a system that insures permanence and quality assurance. DPD anticipates that increased community engagement will also enhance relationships between the community and the police department.

Evaluation & Sustainability

Success of this pilot program will ultimately be measured by the reduction of homicides and gun violence. However, the truest measure of success is the increased community capacity and a

COPS CPD Micro grant (CFDA 16.710)

spirit of optimism that will breathe new life into neighborhoods affected by gun violence. This grant would also include funding to contract with an academic institution of higher education to evaluate the success of the application of strategies and the associated outcomes. There are techniques used in successful businesses that enable results to be sustained and continually improved. DPD will use a similar approach by partnering with a university to assess and evaluate program outcomes and GDSPC effectiveness. DPD anticipates recommendations for improvement and sustainability plans in order to continue best practices.

The GDSPC intends that individuals and neighborhood organizations will join with churches and synagogues, schools and civic groups, to carry the message that gun violence must stop. A peaceful community and public safety is often delegated to law enforcement agencies or the criminal justice system. The reality is that public safety is not the exclusive responsibility of law enforcement agencies or the courts, but rather, public safety is all of our responsibility. A safe community, one without gun crime, can only be achieved when all the individuals within the community work in concert. The GDSPC seeks additional partners and resources for creating a safer community.

Through the implementation of GDSCP, using proven methods, and the assistance of resources from DOJ the DPD will continue to see a significant decline in gun violence as this agency labors beside the community, the Human Relations Council, and social services organizations to make Dayton a safer place to live, work, and play.

COPS CPD Microgrant
 Subtopic: 9 Violent Crime
 City of Dayton, Ohio
 Time Line Deliverables

Date	Activity	Deliverable
Nov-Dec 2020	Dayton Police Department Develop a Crime Analysis Unit	Dayton Police Department assign officers and civilians to Crime Analysis Unit
	Dayton Police Department purchase crime analysis software	Crime analysis software package is purchased in accordance with City of Dayton policies
	Dayton Police Department begins training Crime Analysis Unit	Crime Analysis Unit completes training
Jan-Mar 2021	Dayton Police Department initiates information and analysis	Dayton Police Department presents violent crime analysis to HRC
	Dayton Police Department provides ongoing information and analysis sharing with HRC	Dayton Police Department presents violent crime analysis to HRC
	Dayton Police Department works with HRC to establish the Greater Dayton Safety Planning Commission (GDSPC), create administrative standard operating procedures	HRC completes SOP for GDSPC organization and relevant positions
Apr-Jul 2021	GDSPC works with Dayton Police Department to regularly	GDSPC works with Dayton Police Department to regularly review violent crime data
	HRC establishes GDSPC teams and engages Dayton Police Department and community to evaluate intervention techniques and strategies for implementation and outreach	GDSPC Teams are established and oriented
	Dayton Police Department and GDSPC use data analysis to determine best practice intervention techniques and strategies at specific locations	Community and Police Problem Oriented Policing strategies are used to educate community partners and implement DOJ recognized best practices
	HRC holds GDSPC/community meetings/trainings at least monthly for implementing best practice intervention techniques and strategies at specific locations	Regular meeting sand trainings are held in affected neighborhoods
	Dayton Police Department and GDSPC Implement strategies in selected areas	

COPS CPD Microgrant
Subtopic: 9 Violent Crime
City of Dayton, Ohio
Time Line Deliverables

Aug-Sept 2021	Contracted evaluator review and assess best practice strategy application to specific location and specific type of violent crime	Contractor is selected
	Dayton Police Department, HRC and GDSPC review evaluation report	Evaluation Report is reviewed by all partners
	Dayton Police Department and GDSPC adjust strategies based on evaluation of process by contracted evaluator	Strategies are adjusted
	Dayton Police Department and GDSPC establish process to repeat process at various locations determined by crime data analysis	Process is developed and procedure is recorded.
	GDSPC create final report for agency and submit with evaluation report	Final Report is completed and approved by partners

FY20 CPD - Budget Narrative

CPD Microgrants Program

Subtopic 9: Violent Crime

Budget Narrative

3-11-2020

Budget Narrative

Civilian or nonsworn personnel (base salary and fringe benefits)

Salaries of personnel are costs based on the percentage of time spent (full time equivalent [FTE]) working directly on the project. The total salary percentage should be comparable and consistent with organizational policy. The total amount paid is comparable to industry standards and the type of work being performed. Fringe benefits are allowances and services provided by the organization to its employees as compensation in addition to regular salary. Fringe benefits should be based on actual known costs or an established formula.

There are no City personnel costs requested under the grant application.

Equipment/Technology

Necessary equipment must be specifically purchased to implement or enhance the proposed project. Equipment is tangible personal property (including information technology systems) having a useful life of more than one year and a per-unit acquisition cost that equals or exceeds \$5,000. Applicants should analyze the cost benefits of purchasing versus leasing equipment, especially high-cost items and those subject to rapid technical advances. Rented or leased equipment costs should be listed in section 14.F "Contracts/Consultants."

Crime analysis software will be purchased to upgrade/replace existing system to allow more efficient gathering of data and increased reporting capacity. With the grant, the cost is 1 new crime software upgrade/replacement to include software, existing hardware improvements and training of City personnel. Cost is estimated to be \$30,000 for all time, materials and training to implement new software package.

Supplies

Supplies means all tangible personal property other than those described under section 14.C "Equipment/Technology." Supplies costs consist of those incurred for purchased goods and fabricated parts directly related to an award proposal. Supplies differ from equipment in that they are consumable, expendable, and of a relatively low unit cost, defined as less than \$5,000 per unit. Such costs may include paper, printer ink, pens, pencils, laptops, etc. A computing device is a supply if the acquisition cost is less than \$5,000, regardless of the length of its useful life.

For broad category requests (such as "office supplies"), explanation for project amounts should be provided with calculations. Broad grouping of items under supplies will be limited to \$40 per month; otherwise items must be individually captured and justified in the budget request.

No supplies are being requested as part of the funding request.

Budget Narrative

Travel/Training/Conferences

Travel costs include the costs of transportation, lodging, meals, temporary dependent care, and incidental expenses incurred by personnel while on official business, such as attendance at an award-related meeting or conference when travel is further than 50 miles from program location. Travel and subsistence estimates are based on the contemplated number of trips, places to be visited, length of stay, transportation costs, subsistence allowances, and the recipient's own travel policies. For additional guidance, please see the Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. § 200.474.

There are no separate costs for training being requested as part of the grant application.

Contracts/Consultants

Contracts

Contracts include goods or services that directly contribute to the implementation or enhancement of the project. The applicant should distinguish clearly between subawards and contracts in allocating any funds to other entities. Pursuant to 2 C.F.R. § 200.330, a subaward is for the purpose of carrying out a portion of the federal award, and a contract is for the purpose of obtaining goods and services for the recipient's own use. The substance of the relationship is more important than the form of the agreement in determining whether the recipient of the pass-through funds is a subrecipient or a contractor. Keep in mind that the awarding and monitoring of contracts must follow documented procurement procedures, including full and open competition, pursuant to the procurement standards in 2 C.F.R. §§ 200.317–200.326, and the issuance of subawards must meet the requirements of 2 C.F.R. § 200.331.

All sole source procurements of goods and services (those not awarded competitively) in excess of \$250,000 require prior approval from the COPS Office.

DPD is requesting \$10,000 to hire a university or private firm to review the effectiveness of the GDSPC to establish evidence-based findings on the effectiveness of the effort and to suggest improvements, if necessary. The Evaluator contract will be time and materials to include travel, research, evidence gathering and report creation for a total estimate of \$10,000.

1 Evaluation Entity X \$25-60 per hour X 300-500 hours = Total cost of \$10,000

Consultant fees

Consultant expenses include goods or services that directly contribute to the implementation or enhancement of the project. The use of a consultant should be more economical than direct employment. Compensation for individual consultant services procured under a COPS Office award must be reasonable and allocable in accordance with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) cost principles and consistent with that paid for similar services in the marketplace. The services should be commensurate with the rate or salary paid by the primary employer.

Budget Narrative

Unless otherwise approved by the COPS Office, independent consultant rates will be approved based on the salary a consultant receives from his or her primary employer, as applicable, up to \$650 per day (or \$81.25 per hour). Please note that this does not mean that the rate can or should be as high as \$650 for all consultants. If individuals receive fringe benefits from their primary employer, such fringe benefit costs should not be included in the calculation of consultant rates. A consultant rate justification will need to be submitted for review and approval to the COPS Office for any consultants hired through a noncompetitive bidding process and paid more than \$650 per day prior to incurring any costs. Determinations of approval will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Project Coordinator/Director Contract – The HRC will hire an independent contractor to assist the GDSPC with creating processes that will allow the community to develop effective strategies to address socio-economic issues that lead to violent gun crime. Costs for this position do not include benefits but do include travel expenses to/from meeting sites. The estimated cost for the position is:

1 Position at \$25-\$40/hour X 1,000-1,250 hours = Total Cost of \$30,000

Community Outreach Specialists – The HRC will hire independent contractors to organize and canvass targeted communities to collect qualitative data about community concerns, summarize findings and report to the GDSPC. Costs for this position do not include benefits but do include travel expenses to/from meeting sites. The estimated cost for the position is:

2 Positions at \$12-\$20/hour X 1,000-1,250 hours = Total Cost of \$25,000

Consultant travel

Consultant travel costs follow the same guidelines as “Travel/Training/Conferences” but should be costs associated with consultant travel. These costs should not be reflected in the “Personnel” or “Travel” categories.

No travel/training costs are being requested under the grant application.

Consultant expenses

All other consultant-related expenses should be included in this section such as supply and equipment requests. The same guidelines as previously stated in the above sections will apply.

Each consultant expense request should include name of the consultant-related expense, base cost, quantity, and description and justification of cost.

Other Costs

Items not included in the previous categories but that have a direct correlation to the overall success of a recipient’s project objectives and are necessary for the project to reach full implementation will be considered on a case-by-case basis by the COPS Office. Requests that may fall under the “other” category include officer overtime, rent, or software purchases.

Budget Narrative

There are no Other Costs being requested as part of the grant application.

Indirect Costs

Indirect costs means those costs incurred for a common or joint purpose benefiting more than one cost objective and not readily assignable to a particular project but necessary to the operation of the organization and the performance of the project. Examples of costs usually treated as indirect include those incurred for facility operation and maintenance, depreciation, and administrative salaries.

No Indirect Costs are being requested under the grant application.



Background

Recipients' financial management systems and internal controls must meet certain requirements, including those set out in the "Part 200 Uniform Requirements" (2.C.F.R. Part 2800).

Including at a minimum, the financial management system of each OJP award recipient must provide for the following:

- (1) Identification, in its accounts, of all Federal awards received and expended and the Federal programs under which they were received. Federal program and Federal award identification must include, as applicable, the CFDA title and number, Federal award identification number and year, and the name of the Federal agency.
- (2) Accurate, current, and complete disclosure of the financial results of each Federal award or program.
- (3) Records that identify adequately the source and application of funds for Federally-funded activities. These records must contain information pertaining to Federal awards, authorizations, obligations, unobligated balances, assets, expenditures, income, and interest, and be supported by source documentation.
- (4) Effective control over, and accountability for, all funds, property, and other assets. The recipient must adequately safeguard all assets and assure that they are used solely for authorized purposes.
- (5) Comparison of expenditures with budget amounts for each Federal award.
- (6) Written procedures to document the receipt and disbursement of Federal funds including procedures to minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the United States Treasury and the disbursement by the OJP recipient.
- (7) Written procedures for determining the allowability of costs in accordance with both the terms and conditions of the Federal award and the cost principles to apply to the Federal award.
- (8) Other important requirements related to retention requirements for records, use of open and machine readable formats in records, and certain Federal rights of access to award-related records and recipient personnel.

1. Name of Organization and Address:

Organization Name: City of Dayton, Ohio
 Street1: 101 W Third St.
 Street2:
 City: Dayton
 State: OHIO
 Zip Code: 45402

2. Authorized Representative's Name and Title:

Prefix: Ms First Name: Shelley Middle Name:
 Last Name: Dickstein Suffix:
 Title: City Manager

3. Phone: (937) 333-3611 4. Fax:

5. Email: shelly.dickstein@daytonohio.gov

6. Year Established: 1805	7. Employer Identification Number (EIN): 316000175	8. DUNS Number: 44781940000
------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

9. a) Is the applicant entity a nonprofit organization (including a nonprofit institution of higher education) as described in 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(3) and exempt from taxation under 26 U.S.C. 501(a)? Yes No

If "No" skip to Question 10.

If "Yes", complete Questions 9. b) and 9. c).



AUDIT INFORMATION

<p>9. b) Does the applicant nonprofit organization maintain offshore accounts for the purpose of avoiding paying the tax described in 26 U.S.C. 511(a)?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>9. c) With respect to the most recent year in which the applicant nonprofit organization was required to file a tax return, does the applicant nonprofit organization believe (or assert) that it satisfies the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 (which relate to the reasonableness of compensation of certain individuals)?</p> <p>If "Yes", refer to "Additional Attachments" under "What An Application Should Include" in the OJP solicitation (or application guidance) under which the applicant is submitting its application. If the solicitation/guidance describes the "Disclosure of Process related to Executive Compensation," the applicant nonprofit organization must provide -- as an attachment to its application -- a disclosure that satisfies the minimum requirements as described by OJP.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>
<p>For purposes of this questionnaire, an "audit" is conducted by an independent, external auditor using generally accepted auditing standards (GAAS) or Generally Governmental Auditing Standards (GAGAS), and results in an audit report with an opinion.</p>	
<p>10. Has the applicant entity undergone any of the following types of audit(s) (Please check all that apply):</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> "Single Audit" under OMB A-133 or Subpart F of 2 C.F.R. Part 200</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Statement Audit</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Defense Contract Agency Audit (DCAA)</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Audit & Agency (list type of audit):</p> <p style="padding-left: 20px;">ODSA and OCJS</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> None (if none, skip to question 13)</p>	
<p>11. Most Recent Audit Report Issued: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Within the last 12 months <input type="checkbox"/> Within the last 2 years <input type="checkbox"/> Over 2 years ago <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>	
<p>Name of Audit Agency/Firm: Auditor, State of Ohio</p>	
<p>AUDITOR'S OPINION</p>	
<p>12. On the most recent audit, what was the auditor's opinion?</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unqualified Opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified Opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Disclaimer, Going Concern or Adverse Opinions <input type="checkbox"/> N/A: No audits as described above</p>	
<p>Enter the number of findings (if none, enter "0"): 5</p>	
<p>Enter the dollar amount of questioned costs (if none, enter "\$0"): \$ 5,101</p>	
<p>Were material weaknesses noted in the report or opinion? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p>	
<p> </p>	
<p>13. Which of the following best describes the applicant entity's accounting system:</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Manual <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automated <input type="checkbox"/> Combination of manual and automated</p>	
<p>14. Does the applicant entity's accounting system have the capability to identify the receipt and expenditure of award funds separately for each Federal award?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure</p>
<p>15. Does the applicant entity's accounting system have the capability to record expenditures for each Federal award by the budget cost categories shown in the approved budget?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure</p>
<p>16. Does the applicant entity's accounting system have the capability to record cost sharing ("match") separately for each Federal award, and maintain documentation to support recorded match or cost share?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure</p>



17. Does the applicant entity's accounting system have the capability to accurately track employees actual time spent performing work for each federal award, and to accurately allocate charges for employee salaries and wages for each federal award, and maintain records to support the actual time spent and specific allocation of charges associated with each applicant employee?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure
18. Does the applicant entity's accounting system include budgetary controls to preclude the applicant entity from incurring obligations or costs that exceed the amount of funds available under a federal award (the total amount of the award, as well as the amount available in each budget cost category)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure
19. Is applicant entity familiar with the "cost principles" that apply to recent and future federal awards, including the general and specific principles set out in 2 C.F.R. Part 200?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure

PROPERTY STANDARDS AND PROCUREMENT STANDARDS

20. Does the applicant entity's property management system(s) maintain the following information on property purchased with federal award funds (1) a description of the property; (2) an identification number; (3) the source of funding for the property, including the award number; (4) who holds title; (5) acquisition date; (6) acquisition cost; (7) federal share of the acquisition cost; (8) location and condition of the property; (9) ultimate disposition information?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure
21. Does the applicant entity maintain written policies and procedures for procurement transactions that -- (1) are designed to avoid unnecessary or duplicative purchases; (2) provide for analysis of lease versus purchase alternatives; (3) set out a process for soliciting goods and services, and (4) include standards of conduct that address conflicts of interest?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure
22. a) Are the applicant entity's procurement policies and procedures designed to ensure that procurements are conducted in a manner that provides full and open competition to the extent practicable, and to avoid practices that restrict competition?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure
22. b) Do the applicant entity's procurement policies and procedures require documentation of the history of a procurement, including the rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, selection or rejection of contractors, and basis for the contract price?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure
23. Does the applicant entity have written policies and procedures designed to prevent the applicant entity from entering into a procurement contract under a federal award with any entity or individual that is suspended or debarred from such contracts, including provisions for checking the "Excluded Parties List" system (www.sam.gov) for suspended or debarred sub-grantees and contractors, prior to award?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure

TRAVEL POLICY

24. Does the applicant entity: (a) maintain a standard travel policy? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No (b) adhere to the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
--	--

SUBRECIPIENT MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING

25. Does the applicant entity have written policies, procedures, and/or guidance designed to ensure that any subawards made by the applicant entity under a federal award -- (1) clearly document applicable federal requirements, (2) are appropriately monitored by the applicant, and (3) comply with the requirements in 2 CFR Part 200 (see 2 CFR 200.331)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure <input type="checkbox"/> N/A - Applicant does not make subawards under any OJP awards
--	--



<p>26. Is the applicant entity aware of the differences between subawards under federal awards and procurement contracts under federal awards, including the different roles and responsibilities associated with each?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure <input type="checkbox"/> N/A - Applicant does not make subawards under any OJP awards</p>
<p>27. Does the applicant entity have written policies and procedures designed to prevent the applicant entity from making a subaward under a federal award to any entity or individual is suspended or debarred from such subawards?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure <input type="checkbox"/> N/A - Applicant does not make subawards under any OJP awards</p>

DESIGNATION AS 'HIGH-RISK' BY OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES

<p>28. Is the applicant entity designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ? (High risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the applicant's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the applicant.)</p> <p>If "Yes", provide the following:</p> <p>(a) Name(s) of the federal awarding agency: HUD - Department of Housing and Urban Development</p> <p>(b) Date(s) the agency notified the applicant entity of the "high risk" designation: June 27, 2019</p> <p>(c) Contact information for the "high risk" point of contact at the federal agency: Name: Gretchen Garland Phone: (614) 280-6099 Email: GRETCHEN.GARLAND@hud.gov</p> <p>(d) Reason for "high risk" status, as set out by the federal agency: Material weakness identified as noted in the 2018 audit from the Auditor of State of Ohio.</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Sure</p>
---	--

CERTIFICATION ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT ENTITY

(Must be made by the chief executive, executive director, chief financial officer, designated authorized representative ("AOR"), or other official with the requisite knowledge and authority)

On behalf of the applicant entity, I certify to the U.S. Department of Justice that the information provided above is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge. I have the requisite authority and information to make this certification on behalf of the applicant entity.

Name: **Shelley Dickstein** Date: **2019-08-15**

Title: Executive Director Chief Financial Officer Chairman
 Other: **City Manager**

Phone: **(937) 333-3611**






CITY OF DAYTON, OHIO
HUMAN RELATIONS COUNCIL

371 West Second Street, Suite 100, Dayton, OH 45402-1417
(937) 333-1403 • FAX 222-4589

www.daytonhrc.org



Erica Fields
Executive Director

Board of Directors

Paul Bradley
Chair

Vice-Chair

Pamela Cone
Yvette Kelly-Fields
Brian LaDuca
Wanda Sloan
Tom Wahlrab

March 11, 2020

Richard S. Biehl
Chief, Dayton Police Department
335 West Third St.
Dayton, OH 45402

Dear Chief Biehl,


The City of Dayton Human Relations Council (HRC) is pleased to partner with the Dayton Police Department (DPD) with the Greater Dayton Safety Planning Council (GDSPC) to address violent crime in Dayton neighborhoods as a part of our commitment to create safer communities. The HRC has a long and storied history of working with and convening residents, community organizations, faith-based leadership, social service agencies, neighborhood schools, the Dayton legal community and other City of Dayton Departments including the DPD to address issues of violence and civil unrest in our community. The HRC has established and maintained a coalition of organizations ready and willing to mobilize and implement best practices as detailed in the U.S. Attorney General's Summit on Violent Crime.

The HRC is eager to use DPD's refined specific data obtained through the use of the latest technologies, including The National Integrated Ballistic Information Network, ShotSpotter and others, to implement best practices that have been proven to be effective in combatting the prevalence and effects of violent crime. The HRC is more than willing to mobilize our coalition to assist DPD in methods to collect and share data on illegal gun possession; legal strategies around the arrest; classification and prosecution of illegal gun possession; evidence-based programmatic interventions targeted at gangs and young people; and intensive strategic training and technical assistance on increasing community and police engagement.

The HRC is committed to dedicating its resources to work with the DPD to implement the identified proven strategies. Specifically, Jared Grandy, HRC's Community-Police Relations Coordinator, will oversee project activities. Jared was hired in October of 2016 to provide staff support to the Community Police Council, Citizens Appeals Board and oversee the Community Initiative to Reduce Gun Violence. He holds a B.S. in Criminal Justice from the University of Cincinnati and a JD from Northern Kentucky University's College of Law. Prior to his work with the HRC, he worked for the Miami Valley Urban League managing programs for at-risk youth including restorative justice projects and job readiness training and job placement for youth between the ages of 14-24.

Please feel free to contact me should you have additional questions regarding the work we are doing with the DPD. I can be reached at (937) 333-1407 or via email at Erica.Fields@daytonohio.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Erica Fields", written in a cursive style.

Erica Fields
Executive Director
Dayton Human Relations Council

1st Reading
6525-20

3.

By

No.

A RESOLUTION

Concurring with the Adoption of the
Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision
Plan.

WHEREAS, The City Commission adopted the Jane Reece Neighborhood Strategic Plan on November 7, 1990, the Riverdale Neighborhood Improvement Plan on November 24, 1993, the Five Oaks Neighborhood Strategic Plan on December 29, 1993, its comprehensive plan ("CitiPlan 20/20") on May 5, 1999, the Phoenix Project Strategic Plan on January 30, 2008, the Dayton Livable Streets Policy on February 3, 2010, the City of Dayton 2025 Bicycle Action Plan on September 7, 2011, the Dayton Transportation Plan 2040 on September 6, 2017, the Dayton Riverfront Plan on October 8, 2018, the North Main Street Corridor Plan on December 12, 2018, and other plans for the greater northwest Dayton geography; and

WHEREAS, The Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision ("Plan") builds on the directions, policies, and recommendations established in the aforementioned documents; and,

WHEREAS, The City Plan Board, on May 12, 2020 in Case PLN2020-00075, reviewed the Plan, found it to be consistent with CitiPlan 20/20 and other plans and policies, and considered the Plan to be a component of an updated comprehensive plan for the City of Dayton; now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF DAYTON:

Section 1. The City supports the recommendations in the Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision plan and in partnership with various organizations, government bodies, and residents, shall use the Plan to guide investments in the geography encompassed by it.

Section 2. The City Plan Board may, from time to time, interpret and modify the Plan by notifying the Clerk of the City Commission of such action. The Plan, including any modifications, will be on file with the Secretary to the City Plan Board.

Adopted by the Commission, 2020


Signed by the Mayor, 2020

Mayor of the City of Dayton, Ohio

Attest:

Clerk of the Commission

Approved as to form:



City Attorney

July 31, 2020

TO: Rashella Lavender, Clerk of Commission

FROM: Ann Schenking, Secretary, City Plan Board 

**SUBJECT: Adoption of Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision Plan
on August 19, 2020 City Commission Calendar
(Plan Board Case PLN2020-00075)**

I am requesting that a resolution be placed on the August 19, 2020 City Commission calendar to adopt the Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision plan. A second reading and vote on the resolution will be required at the following City Commission meeting.

On May 12, 2020 the Plan Board adopted the plan by a 7-0 vote because it is consistent with our comprehensive plan and other applicable plans and policies. The Plan Board considers the plan to be an updated component of the city's comprehensive plan, and recommends that the City Commission also adopt the plan.

No City Commission public hearing is required on the plan so a public hearing will not be held. **However, staff is prepared to make a brief presentation on the plan at the August 19 meeting if desired by the City Manager or the City Commission.**

The primary purpose of the Plan was to synthesize previously adopted plans, and take a closer look at those projects and areas that would be especially impactful and catalyze additional investment. Through this plan, a number of common themes emerged, such as connectivity, corridors, open space, and placemaking. Key geographic focus areas include: the Salem Avenue corridor, North Main Street corridor, river corridors and open space.

Attached for distribution to the City Commission is the Plan Board minute record, the Plan Board case report, the resolution, and a copy of the plan. A copy of the plan will also be emailed to the Clerk of Commission for ease of distribution.

If you have any questions, please contact Tony Kroeger at ext. 3673.

c: Ms. Dickstein, Mr. Parlette, Ms. Lofton, Ms. Walker, Mr. Kinskey, Mr. Kroeger



City of Dayton

City Plan Board

Summary Minute Record May 12, 2020

5. PLN2020-00075 – Plan Review and Approval – Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision

Applicant: Mr. Tony Kroeger
City of Dayton
Department of Planning and Community Development
101 West Third Street
Dayton, OH 45402

Priority Land Use Board: North Central Planning District: Various
Decision: Adopted; Recommended City Commission Adoption

Staff Comments

Tony Kroeger presented the staff report. He gave a brief overview of the Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision plan, its focus areas and reviewed the comments from Plan Board members that will be incorporated into the Plan. This is a request for approval of the Plan. The Plan has been shared with the Board at two previous Plan Board meetings.

The primary purpose of the effort was to synthesize previously adopted plans, and take a closer look at those projects and areas that would be especially impactful and catalize additional investment.

This plan was completed in December 2020 through a collaboration between Urban Design Associates (UDA), Department of Planning and Community Development staff, CityWide staff, and many stakeholders, residents, and business owners. The public involvement is indicated below:

Phase 1: Kick-off & Understanding

- In-depth download from city and CityWide staff about planning work-to-date and presentations from key project teams
- Sharing of data, base-mapping, and diagramming from past work
- Tour of Northwest Dayton neighborhoods
- Meetings with any key stakeholders or investors who have been active in the neighborhood or will be central to executing the vision in the future

Step 2: Building the Vision

Residents, stakeholders, and city staff helped develop the vision in a four-day workshop that included:

- Coordination of existing plans for catalytic projects
- Live illustration of the vision
- Discussions about prioritization of projects and sequencing for implementation
- Community Feedback: Community members were encouraged to make suggestions during the vision workshop.
- Open House: Community members and UDA discuss the Lower Salem Corridor.
- Final Workshop Presentation: Early designs are presented for community members' feedback.
- Open houses for the community to provide feedback and build support

Step 3: Documenting the Vision

Incorporation of input from the workshop

Further refinement of catalytic housing and development strategies

The planning process connected stakeholders and helped to leverage potential partnerships. The stakeholders and partners who participated are active neighborhood leaders who will be engaged in the implementation of projects in the Northwest and FROC neighborhoods.

- City of Dayton
- CityWide
- Dayton Regional Transit Authority
- Five Rivers MetroParks
- Dayton Metro Library
- Grace United Methodist Church
- Gem City Market
- Grandview Medical Center
- Dayton Early College Academy
- Dayton Public Schools
- Salem Avenue Peace Corridor
- Jane Reece Neighborhood Association
- Santa Clara Business Owners
- Philanthropic Community

Through this plan, a number of common themes emerged, such as connectivity, corridors, open space, and placemaking.

Key geographic focus areas include: the Salem Avenue corridor, North Main Street corridor, and river corridors and open space.

The document concludes with an implementation strategy, including a list of projects, lead agency, time frames, and potential funding sources.

Public Comments

Kegan Sickels, President, Dayton View Triangle Federation, 1571 Burroughs Drive, Dayton, OH, said the renderings in the plan were great. He shared a side-by-side comparison of the Plan's vision for Salem Avenue with the road's proposed reconstruction design and noted the lack of trees in the reconstruction design. He asked what impact the Plan will have on the design of the roadway reconstruction project. Ann Schenking, Todd Kinskey and Tony Kroeger said that the Salem Avenue reconstruction project budget was determined several years before this Plan was created and the reconstruction project is working within the budget and right-of-way available. Regardless, the reconstructed Salem Avenue will be a dramatic improvement. To provide trees along the reconstructed Salem Avenue, the City could make trees available for planting on private property in front yards. This was done in the past along Salem Avenue and provided a better environment for the trees to thrive in.

Board Discussion

The Plan Board discussed the plan.

Board Action

A motion was made by Ms. Pendergast, seconded by Mr. Payne and carried to adopt the Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision plan, find it to be consistent with the city's comprehensive plan and other plans and policies that have applicability to the greater Northwest Dayton geography, consider the plan to be an updated component of the city's comprehensive plan, and recommend City Commission adoption of the plan.

Ms. Beverly Pendergast	Yes	Mr. Paul Bradley	Yes
Mr. Richard Wright	Yes	Mr. Matt Sauer	Yes
Ms. Geraldine Pegues	Yes	Mr. Greg Scott	Yes
Mr. Jeff Payne	Yes		

Minutes approved by the City Plan Board on June 9, 2020.



Ann Schenking, Secretary
City Plan Board

May 12, 2020
CITY PLAN BOARD REPORT
Case PLN2020-00075
Northwest Dayton Neighborhood Vision Plan

BACKGROUND:

Applicant:

Todd Kinskey, Planning Director
City of Dayton
101 West Third Street
Dayton, OH 45402

REQUEST/DESCRIPTION:

This is a request for approval of the Northwest Dayton Neighborhood Vision. The Plan has been shared with the Board before two previous Plan Board meetings.

The primary purpose of the effort was to synthesize previously adopted plans, and take a closer look at those projects and areas that would be especially impactful and catalize additional investment.

This plan was completed in December 2020 through a collaboration between Urban Design Associates (UDA), Department of Planning and Community Development staff, CityWide staff, and many stakeholders, residents, and business owners. The public involvement is indicated below:

Phase 1: Kick-off & Understanding

- In-depth download from city and CityWide staff about planning work-to-date and presentations from key project teams
- Sharing of data, base-mapping, and diagramming from past work
- Tour of Northwest Dayton neighborhoods
- Meetings with any key stakeholders or investors who have been active in the neighborhood or will be central to executing the vision in the future

Step 2: Building the Vision

Residents, stakeholders, and city staff helped develop the vision in a four-day workshop that included:

- Coordination of existing plans for catalytic Projects
- Live illustration of the vision
- Discussions about prioritization of projects and sequencing for implementation
- Community Feedback: Community members were encouraged to make suggestions during the vision workshop.
- Open House: Community members and UDA discuss the Lower Salem Corridor.
- Final Workshop Presentation: Early designs are presented for community members' feedback.
- Open houses for the community to provide feedback and build support

Step 3: Documenting the Vision

Incorporation of input from the workshop

Further refinement of catalytic housing and development strategies

STAKEHOLDERS & PARTNERS

The planning process connected stakeholders and helped to leverage potential partnerships. The stakeholders and partners who participated are active neighborhood leaders who will be engaged in the implementation of projects in the Northwest and FROC neighborhoods.

- City of Dayton
- CityWide
- Dayton Regional Transit Authority
- Five Rivers MetroParks
- Dayton Metro Library
- Grace United Methodist Church
- Gem City Market
- Grandview Medical Center
- Dayton Early College Academy
- Dayton Public Schools
- Salem Avenue Peace Corridor
- Jane Reece Neighborhood Association
- Santa Clara Business Owners
- Philanthropic Community

Through this plan, a number of common themes emerged, such as connectivity, corridors, open space, and placemaking.

Key geographic focus areas include: the Salem Avenue corridor, North Main Street corridor, and river corridors and open space.

The document concludes with an implementation strategy, including a list of projects, lead agency, time frames, and potential funding sources.

AGENCIES AND GROUPS TO BE CONTACTED PRIOR TO PLAN BOARD PUBLIC HEARING:

Stakeholders and neighborhood leaders

INCORPORATION OF PREVIOUS COMMENTS RECEIVED:

To be incorporated into the plan are sections that will address comments already received from the Plan Board, as well as any others that emerge at the May 12, 2020 Plan Board Meeting. Among those that will be addressed are:

Maintenance of Landscaping and Trees: Where appropriate in the document, we will note the need for the maintenance of these features. On City property, the City will be responsible, and therefore only trees or very low-maintenance vegetation shall be installed. Private property owners who have the ability to maintain, such as institutions, are ideal partners. The City shall also look to more creative solutions for maintenance partners, such as organizations who have experience in maintaining open space areas.

Traffic Implications of Reducing Vehicular Capacity on North Main Street and Riverside Drive: Where appropriate in the document, we will describe that North Main Street safety enhancements are the priority of the two. It is essential for the future viability of businesses of the corridor, and there is an immediate safety problem that must be addressed. Subsequently, if the desire still exists, decisions regarding Riverside Drive will be informed by the amount of traffic it accommodates after the vehicular narrowing of North Main Street. Included in both of these potential projects shall be a design that allows for easy crossing of the streets.

Miami Valley Golf Club reference on Page 35: There is a general note about how the facility interacts with the public realm. We will clarify what this means: There is often a substantial amount of invasive, unkempt vegetation around the periphery of the site, which also accumulates trash and debris. This is not an ideal boundary. It would be of interest to both the City and the facility to work on a solution that creates a cleaner, more formal boundary.

ALTERNATIVES:

The Plan Board may choose to:

1. Adopt the Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision Plan, find it to be consistent with the city's comprehensive plan and other plans and policies that have applicability to the greater Northwest Dayton geography, consider the plan to be an updated component of the city's comprehensive plan, and recommend City Commission adoption of the plan.
2. Adopt the Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision Plan with modifications, find the modified plan to be consistent with the city's comprehensive plan and other plans and policies that have applicability to the greater Northwest Dayton geography, consider the plan to be an updated component of the city's comprehensive plan, and recommend City Commission adoption of the plan.
3. Not adopt the plan because it is not consistent with the City's comprehensive plan, CitiPlan 20/20, and other plans and policies that have applicability to the greater Northwest Dayton geography.

FUTURE ACTIONS:

Upon Plan Board approval, the Plan will go to City Commission for their approval.

Prepared by: Tony Kroeger

By

No.

A RESOLUTION

Concurring with the Adoption of the
Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision
Plan.

WHEREAS, The City Commission adopted the Jane Reece Neighborhood Strategic Plan on November 7, 1990, the Riverdale Neighborhood Improvement Plan on November 24, 1993, the Five Oaks Neighborhood Strategic Plan on December 29, 1993, its comprehensive plan ("CitiPlan 20/20") on May 5, 1999, the Phoenix Project Strategic Plan on January 30, 2008, the Dayton Livable Streets Policy on February 3, 2010, the City of Dayton 2025 Bicycle Action Plan on September 7, 2011, the Dayton Transportation Plan 2040 on September 6, 2017, the Dayton Riverfront Plan on October 8, 2018, the North Main Street Corridor Plan on December 12, 2018, and other plans for the greater northwest Dayton geography; and

WHEREAS, The Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision ("Plan") builds on the directions, policies, and recommendations established in the aforementioned documents; and,

WHEREAS, The City Plan Board, on May 12, 2020 in Case PLN2020-00075, reviewed the Plan, found it to be consistent with CitiPlan 20/20 and other plans and policies, and considered the Plan to be a component of an updated comprehensive plan for the City of Dayton; now therefore,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF DAYTON:

Section 1. The City supports the recommendations in the Northwest Dayton Neighborhoods Vision plan and in partnership with various organizations, government bodies, and residents, shall use the Plan to guide investments in the geography encompassed by it.

Section 2. The City Plan Board may, from time to time, interpret and modify the Plan by notifying the Clerk of the City Commission of such action. The Plan, including any modifications, will be on file with the Secretary to the City Plan Board.

Adopted by the Commission, 2020


Signed by the Mayor, 2020

Mayor of the City of Dayton, Ohio


Attest:

Clerk of the Commission

Approved as to form:



City Attorney



NORTHWEST DAYTON NEIGHBORHOODS VISION

PREPARED FOR
The City of Dayton

CONSULTANT TEAM
Urban Design Associates

STEERING COMMITTEE
Shelley Dickstein
City of Dayton

Verletta Jackson
City of Dayton

Todd Kinskey
City of Dayton

Tony Kroeger
City of Dayton

Ken Marcellus
City of Dayton

Veronica Morris
City of Dayton

Tom Richie
City of Dayton

Ann Schenking
City of Dayton

Keith Steeber
City of Dayton

Fred Stovall
City of Dayton

Joe Weinel
City of Dayton

John Gower
City of Dayton / CityWide

Karen DeMasi
CityWide

Caitlin Jacob
CityWide

Katie Lunne
CityWide

Nicole Steele
CityWide

Fred Holley
Dayton View Neighborhood Association

Ellen Rice
Dayton View Triangle

David Taylor
DECA

Carrie Scarf
Five Rivers MetroParks

Leia Klein
Gem City Market

Sherry Gale
Grace UMC

Steve Makovec
Jane Reece Neighborhood Association

Michael Grauwelman
Montgomery County Landbank

Larry Ramey
Salem Avenue Business Association




TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1	Grace United Methodist Church	22
Northwest Dayton and FROC History	2	Lower Salem Avenue	24
Planning Process	3		
Relevant Plans and Projects	4	RIVER AND OPEN SPACE CORRIDOR	28
Common Themes	5	Stillwater River Corridor and Riverside Drive	29
Place- and Asset-Based Development Framework	6	Wolf Creek Corridor	32
		IMPLEMENTATION	33
15-YEAR VISION FOR NORTHWEST DAYTON AND FROC	7	North Main Street Corridor	35
		Philadelphia Woods	36
		Salem Avenue Peace Corridor	37
NORTH MAIN STREET CORRIDOR	8	River and Open Space Corridors	38
Riverdale Infill	10		
North Main and Forest & Five Oaks Neighborhood	11		
North Main Neighborhood Center	13		
PHILADELPHIA WOODS NEIGHBORHOOD	17		
Northwest Plaza	18		
Dayton Metro Library Northwest Branch	19		
SALEM AVENUE PEACE CORRIDOR	20		



Lower Salem Avenue Corridor A vision of the reactivated commercial node for the Grafton Hill and Old Dayton View neighborhoods

INTRODUCTION

UDA worked with the City of Dayton to organize and unite many different pieces of an emerging vision for Northwest Dayton, and recommend strategic refinements where appropriate, into an overall unified urban design vision for the community.

SUMMARY

With years' worth of plans, concepts, and proposals generated for various areas within Northwest Dayton, it is difficult for many residents and involved organizations to visualize a long-term, holistic vision of the area. The strategy for revitalization mirrors that of a place- and asset-based investment strategy: build on assets, right-size the city, focus on highest and best use, apply placemaking principles, and prioritize nodes. The purpose of this document is to integrate all planning efforts in Northwest Dayton and FROC and set forward a path toward redevelopment.


Applying Dayton's place- and asset-based investment approach to Northwest Dayton broadly culminates in a few main imperatives: to strengthen commercial corridors and reestablish a network of multi-use urban nodes. Identifying primary arteries like the North Main Street Corridor and Salem Avenue Peace Corridor helps to determine historical and well-connected anchor points for revitalization. Green corridors such as Wolf Creek, Stillwater River, and Miami River provide linear and neighborhood parks that make up a network of open spaces, amenities for

adjacent neighborhoods as well as the entire City. Strategizing connections between these identified economic veins, neighborhoods, and open space assets becomes an essential component of catalyzing redevelopment and uniting all the plans and proposals that have been generated over the last decade.

The Study Area Boundary

The edges of the Northwest Dayton study area are equally important as the interior and deserve the same amount of attention. The Miami River, Wolf Creek, Northwest Plaza, and more are all major landmarks and therefore have roles to play in shaping the edges.

- Stillwater and Miami River to the East
- Wolf Creek to the South
- The City limits to the West
- Siebenthaler Avenue, Philadelphia Drive, and Free Pike to the North




Collecting and uniting the many existing plans and proposals is an important first step.



Located across the Miami River from downtown, Northwest Dayton is well-connected to major economic centers and recreational assets.

NORTHWEST DAYTON NEIGHBORHOODS

- Northern Hills
- Greenwich Village
- Wesleyan Hill
- College Hill
- Cornell Heights
- Princeton Heights
- Dayton View Triangle
- University Row
- Southern Dayton View
- Fairview
- Mount Vernon
- Philadelphia Woods
- Hillcrest
- North Riverdale
- Santa Clara
- Five Oaks
- Grafton Hill
- Riverdale
- McPherson
- Old Dayton View



The Northwest Dayton study area is comprised of 20 neighborhoods

Northwest Dayton and FROC History

INTRODUCTION

The Northwest Dayton and the FROC Priority Board are comprised of twenty diverse neighborhoods situated northwest of the Miami River, with Wolf Creek to the west, Stillwater River to the east, and the city boundary to the north. The historic development of these neighborhoods occurred as Dayton industrialized and grew in population during the mid-nineteenth century. Northwest Dayton has faced challenges since the mid-twentieth century as suburban flight and the loss of manufacturing in the city brought economic downturn. Efforts since the late twentieth century have been geared towards revitalizing these diverse neighborhoods, despite the challenges stemming from concentrations of poverty and a disadvantaged workforce.

HISTORY


While early Dayton settlers had platted and built their homes in neighborhoods close to the Miami River such as Dayton View, Northwest Dayton became a sought-after residential area only after 1871 when the Dayton View streetcar running along Salem Avenue connected to the city's commercial and industrial districts to the south, across the Miami River. The last quarter of the nineteenth century saw the development of heavy industries in Webster Station and other areas, prompting the city's wealthy professionals to build opulent homes in the pristine Dayton View and Grafton Hill neighborhoods for a convenient

commute. These neighborhoods were located on high ground which provided safety from floods that had historically devastated Dayton, thereby increasing its desirability.

Meanwhile, Dayton's working-class population grew to fill jobs in the large new factories. New neighborhoods like McPherson Town, also within an easy commute to Dayton's factories, developed near the riverbank to accommodate this growth. Unlike the wealthy Dayton View and Grafton Hill neighborhoods, these neighborhoods were situated on low-lying areas near the river. As a result, they suffered destruction from both the 1897 flood and the Great Flood of 1913 that devastated Dayton, while wealthier, higher-elevation neighborhoods were spared the worst damage.

The Great Flood catalyzed the development of neighborhoods further north, away from the banks of the rivers, including Dayton View Triangle, College Hill, and other communities collectively known as Upper Dayton View at the time. Like Dayton View, these northern communities featured close proximity to the newly-extended streetcar line that followed Salem Avenue. Neighborhoods such as Dayton View Triangle attracted diverse residents, accommodating the city's Jewish population at a time when other communities were more restrictive. To this day, these welcoming beginnings contribute to the broad diversity of Northwest Dayton.

The less-affluent residential neighborhoods in



Still standing today, Fire Station No. 14 at North Main Street and Forest Ave in 1957 is a historically-significant landmark in Northwest Dayton.

Northwest Dayton suffered from low occupancy and neglect during the Great Depression and the second world war. With the rise of automobile dependence in the decade following the war, Dayton's residential neighborhoods began to expand outward to unbuilt land at the city's periphery. New commuter neighborhoods such as Greenwich Village and Northern Hills and new development in existing areas like College Hill were harbingers of the impending suburban growth. Suburban flight and the relocation and closing of industrial plants such as NCR and the General Motors Delphi division in the last quarter of the twen-

tieth century posed significant challenges to the vitality and development of Northwest Dayton, with each neighborhood grappling with varying degrees of economic stagnation and downtown.

Today, Northwest Dayton and FROC neighborhoods present opportunities for development despite these manifold challenges. Local advocacy and initiatives have resulted in the listing of six historic districts to the National Register of Historic Places, providing incentive to rehabilitate deteriorating but salvageable historic residential and commer-

cial buildings. Northwest Dayton is home to cultural institutions including the Dayton Art Institute, the Dayton Masonic Center, and the recently-constructed Dayton Metro branch library, the largest in the system. The historic Salem Avenue, the area's spine, reveals the story of its development through the nineteenth and twentieth centuries with high-style residential, civic, and religious buildings showcased alongside later commercial development. It continues to connect the Northwest Dayton and FROC neighborhoods to business, culture, entertainment, and sport in the increasingly vibrant downtown.

Planning Process

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

A three-step planning process was applied for the neighborhoods of Northwest Dayton and FROC. The foundation of this process was authentically listening to stakeholders and residents with multiple feedback loops and inviting all partners to participate in the decision-making process. At each stage, we listen, repeat back, and invite input to be incorporated into the urban design and policy recommendations.

Step 1: Kick-off & Understanding

- In-depth download from city and CityWide staff about planning work-to-date
- Sharing of data, base-mapping, and drawings of proposed ideas
- Tour of Northwest Dayton and FROC neighborhoods
- Meetings with any key stakeholders or investors who have been active in the neighborhood or will be central to executing the vision in the future

Step 2: Building the Vision

Residents, stakeholders, and city staff helped develop the vision in a four-day workshop that included:

- Coordination of existing plans for catalytic projects
- Live illustration of the vision
- Discussions about prioritization of projects and sequencing for implementation

- Open houses for the community to provide feedback and build support

Step 3: Documenting the Vision

- Incorporation of input from the workshop
- Further refinement of catalytic housing and development strategies

STAKEHOLDERS & PARTNERS

The planning process connected stakeholders and helped to leverage potential partnerships. The stakeholders and partners who participated are active neighborhood leaders who will be engaged in the implementation of projects in the Northwest and FROC neighborhoods.

- City of Dayton
- CityWide
- Dayton Regional Transit Authority
- Miami Valley Trails
- Five Rivers MetroParks
- Dayton Metro Library
- Grace United Methodist Church
- Gem City Market
- Grandview Medical Center
- Dayton Early College Academy
- Dayton Public Schools
- Salem Avenue Peace Corridor
- Jane Reece Neighborhood Association
- Santa Clara Business Owners
- Philanthropic Community



Community Feedback Community members were encouraged to make suggestions during the vision workshop.

ASSEMBLING PREVIOUS PLANS

The plans, projects, and other relevant information were consolidated into a single drawing that served as the base to begin to think about how the neighborhoods could be strategically stitched and linked together. The drawing that resulted from this work is shown on the following page.

MEETING DATES


- Step 1: May 2, 2019
 - May 2: Focused Stakeholder Meetings, Team Kick-Off Meetings, and Tour
- Step 2: June 24–27, 2019
 - June 24: Team Kick-Off Meeting, Focused Stakeholder Meetings
 - June 25: Community Progress Pin-Up
 - June 26: Preview of Community Presentation with city staff and stakeholders
 - June 27: Community Presentation and Open House



Open House Community members and UDA discuss the Lower Salem Corridor.



Safety Residents and UDA discuss the experience of pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists on Riverside Drive.



Final Workshop Presentation Early designs are presented for community members' feedback.

Relevant Plans and Projects

MAIN STREET CORRIDOR


- 1 OPPORTUNITIES FOR MID-BLOCK PATHS
- 2 OPPORTUNITIES FOR PASSIVE AND NATURAL PARKS
- 3 CREATE PARK AT FORMER COLONEL WHITE HIGH SCHOOL
- 4 CONVERSION OF VACANT CORNER LOTS INTO POCKET PARKS
- 5 POTENTIAL TREE NURSERY OR ORCHARD
- 6 ADAPTIVE REUSE OF MIXED-USE BUILDINGS
- 7 CORNER PARK AND FARMER'S MARKET
- 8 ACQUISITION OF VACANT LOTS
- 9 OPPORTUNITIES FOR MID-BLOCK PATHS
- 10 NEW PARK
- 11 COMMUNITY GARDENS
- 12 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE CONNECTION
- 13 ADAPTIVE REUSE OPPORTUNITIES
- 14 MIXED-USE REDEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY

WOLF CREEK CORRIDOR

- 1 STRATEGIC LAND BANKING
- 2 WESLEYAN METROPARK EXPANSION
- 3 GATEWAY
- 4 DAYTON TIRE & RUBBER SITE (ROSEDALE MEADOW)
- 5 PEDESTRIAN PARK OF THE RIVER
- 6 RIVERSIDE TRAILS
- 7 STREET CLOSURES
- 8 VACANT LOTS AS PUBLIC OPEN SPACES
- 9 MIXED-USE INFILL HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT
- 10 NEW PARK AMENITIES AT WESLEYAN METROPARK EXPANSION
- 11 CORRIDOR REVITALIZATION

STILLWATER & GREAT MIAMI RIVERS CORRIDOR

- 1 DEWEES WEST PARK EXPANSION
- 2 THIN VEGETATION AND ENHANCED NATURAL HABITAT
- 3 CONNECTIONS UNDER I-75 BRIDGE
- 4 RIVERSIDE DRIVE ROAD DIET AND EXPANDED WEST SIDE TRAIL SYSTEM
- 5 HILLCREST AVENUE AND DRILL AVENUE PEDESTRIAN CONNECTION




SALEM AVENUE CORRIDOR

- 1 REDEVELOPMENT SITES FOR OFFICE, COMMERCIAL, OR HOUSING
- 2 IMPLEMENT CITIPLAN AND CONCENTRATE COMMERCIAL USES
- 3 PHOENIX NEXT
- 4 RECENT OPENING OF NEW SPLASH PAD AND NURSERY
- 5 DEMOLITION OF VACANT BUILDINGS, HOME IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
- 6 RECENTLY COMPLETED GATEWAY
- 7 OMEGA SENIOR LOFTS AND THE HOPE CENTER FOR FAMILIES
- 8 POTENTIAL STREETScape IMPROVEMENTS AT GATEWAY
- 9 LOWER SALEM AVENUE INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS (PHASE 3)
- 10 GEM CITY MARKET AND ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT SITE
- 11 LOWER SALEM AVENUE INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS (PHASE 1)
- 12 LOWER SALEM AVENUE INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS (PHASE 2)

Common Themes

7.09.20



HOUSING NEAR DOWNTOWN

Build on Momentum

The revitalization of downtown, and the associated demand for residential units, should be captured in the neighborhoods immediately adjacent to downtown. The neighborhoods of Riverdale, Five Oaks, Old Dayton View, and Southern Dayton View have several vacant parcels that are large, contiguous, and located along the major corridors of Salem Avenue and North Main Street, making these lots attractive to potential developers.

Pair Housing with Commercial

The community expressed a strong desire to have access to fresh produce and groceries. With the opening of the Gem City Market in late 2020, this asset for the community will become a reality. Having residents within walking distance of such a critical community asset as well as other commercial activity is a vital aspect of a well-functioning community.



REINVIGORATED COMMERCIAL NODES

Broaden typical commercial uses

As the nature and physical form of retail continues to evolve, commercial centers must expand to a wider variety of uses and become destinations. Activation of commercial spaces can no longer rely on restaurants and stores, but should be more diverse to include other uses which bring neighborhoods together. For example, buildings with larger footprints formerly used for retail can be revitalized as large recreation centers, community centers, and event spaces.

Harness Public-Private Partnerships

There is an abundance of historic building stock within Northwest Dayton but much of it requires substantial investment from business owners, developers, and private institutions to rehabilitate. Alone, many of these entities do not have the resources to reinvigorate the commercial nodes, but with the help of the public sector from thoroughfare improvements to facade improvements, the reactivation of these corridors is possible.




OPEN SPACE

Build on Rivers and Trails

The Miami Valley Trail Network, one of the most robust trail systems in the nation boasts the opportunity to access the Great Miami River, Stillwater River, and Wolf Creek waterways. These interconnected corridors are a strong asset for the neighborhoods to expand upon in the future.

Connect MetroParks

The MetroPark system and the associated cultural institutions within these parks, such as the Wegerzyn Gardens and Boonshoft Museum of Discovery, are not easily accessible to the FROC neighborhoods despite close proximity. Creating an improved open space system will help both link these institutions to residents and improve an already strong asset.



HISTORICAL FABRIC

Balance Renovation and Development

The abundance of historical residential building stock in Northwest Dayton that lies vacant is certainly an opportunity for housing. However, assessing and renovating unkempt properties is often costly and time-consuming for the owner. Identifying swathes of empty lots to be built out by developers versus spot renovations is an important step in providing a wide range of residential options.

Build on Traditional Forms

Northwest Dayton boasts a rich history that is manifest in iconic buildings of various architectural styles still standing today, including Victorian, Georgian, and Prairie Style homes and commercial buildings, among others. Building on these strong architectural foundations reinforces the historical character and scale of Dayton's neighborhoods.

Place- and Asset-Based Development Framework

THE NEIGHBORHOODS

The Northwest geography contains a wide variety of neighborhoods, featuring diverse architecture and form. It includes several historic districts, potential historic districts, and contemporary neighborhoods. While it contains quiet residential neighborhoods, it also contains some of the city's busiest roads. Despite these contradictions, this plan unifies the area with an urban-design-centric vision.

Corridors

Northwest Dayton, in many ways, is defined by corridors – North Main, Salem, the Wolf Creek, and the Stillwater, for example. These corridors form the framework of this plan. North Main Street has an opportunity to greatly enhance safety and make it a corridor that better accommodates all forms of transportation, and supports development. This is particularly the case in the Santa Clara business district, which currently has occupancy issues, contains a great deal of potential. Salem Avenue is poised for change. This includes a total street rebuild. Additionally, new development such as the Gem City Market will catalyze future development. Here, again, we see the potential to change the nature of the street to a more human scale and experience.

The Wolf Creek Corridor has the opportunity to become a more natural environment. In many places along Wolf Creek, there is not a tremendous market for neighborhood development. However, this brings the opportunity of greening the corridor and connecting it with paths and bikeways, creating a complete greenway.


The Stillwater River has a complete greenway on the eastern side of the River. This prompts the potential for strengthening connections to the west, such as in the Riverdale neighborhood. There is also the potential for a re-imagined of Riverside Drive, where new space for a shared pathway could be constructed.

Architecture

This geography has a strength in its architecture. It includes historic districts, such as Grafton Hill, McPherson Town, Dayton View, and three smaller historic districts in Five Oaks. Some of the most prominent architecture is in the Dayton View Triangle area. This architecture helps separate this geography from the rest of the city and is the foundation for future development efforts. Additional areas for the National Register or local historic nomination should be considered.

Opportunity Sites

There are also numerous potential locations for future development that could meet market needs, such as the former Good Samaritan Hospital site, vacant land just north of Interstate-75 in the Riverdale neighborhood, former school sites, and underutilized sites on Salem Avenue. These sites should be evaluated for potential uses, site strengths, and proper zoning.



Opportunity Sites Opportunities sites, shown in red, are linked with the open space network

CRITICAL SITES IN NORTHWEST DAYTON

- Riverdale Infill
- North Main and Forest & Five Oaks Neighborhood
- North Main Neighborhood Center
- Northwest Plaza
- Hillcrest Ave. and Philadelphia Dr.
- Grace United Methodist Church
- Lower Salem Ave.

15-YEAR VISION FOR NORTHWEST DAYTON AND FROC

Cultivating vibrant commercial nodes and corridors, combating extensive vacancy, and connecting to greenways and anchor institutions will help to move neighborhoods forward.

CONNECTING THE VISION — THROUGH AN URBAN DESIGN LENS

Previous plans, studies, and projects for Northwest Dayton and the FROC neighborhoods provided the framework for the coordinated vision. The two street corridors — North Main Street and Salem Avenue — and the river corridors form the armature for the initiatives that make up the vision plan. Opportunity sites were identified by stakeholders and the City. The opportunity sites represent available land with market potential that could help to reconnect neighborhoods.

North Main Street Corridor

Historically significant and a logical connection to downtown, the North Main Street Corridor is a critical economic artery serving Northwest Dayton and FROC. The following sites demonstrate potential for infill and catalytic development:

- Riverdale Infill
- Five Oaks Neighborhood
- North Main and Forest
- North Main Neighborhood Center

Salem Avenue Peace Corridor

Much of the planning in Northwest Dayton has focused on the Salem Avenue corridor.

Public street improvements will serve as the catalyst for private redevelopment. The following sites present opportunities for market viable development building on strengths:

- Grace United Methodist Church
- Lower Salem Avenue

River and Open Space Corridors


The Stillwater River, Miami River, and Wolf Creek, adjacent linear parks, and neighborhood parks comprise the open space network surrounding these neighborhoods. With enhancements, this open space network can begin to connect to and add value to the adjacent neighborhoods. Initiatives are organized under the:

- Stillwater River Corridor
- Wolf Creek Corridor

IMPLEMENTATION

This section refocuses on the sequencing necessary to achieve the desired result. Each project is categorized as a public initiative or an initiative to be implemented by another entity, typically private. For each project, the Implementation section outlines:

- Lead Agency
- Time Frame
- Funding Source



NORTH MAIN STREET CORRIDOR

Reinvigorated storefront uses and an enhanced pedestrian realm restore this historic commercial corridor to its vibrant, mixed-use past.

INTRODUCTION

The North Main Street corridor has historically served as an important state and regional transportation route and as one of the primary entryways into the city from the north. The last century of development saw the transformation of large tracts of agricultural land lining the corridor into residential neighborhoods, eventually evolving to the mixed-use residential and commercial corridor it is today.


In the early nineteenth century, much of the Corridor was rural and unplatted. Due to its proximity to the Miami and Stillwater Rivers, the Corridor was home to several thriving nurseries. Newly subdivided parcels and land transportation improvements in the mid- to

late-nineteenth century—such as the opening of the Main Street Bridge in 1836 and the electrification of the streetcar in 1888—significantly contributed to the Corridor's growth and development.

As residents moved farther north out of downtown, sewer systems were installed, streets were paved, sidewalks were laid, and lawns were planted. The area between Locust and Helena Streets, Riverside Drive, and North Main Street was platted primarily with single-family homes and duplexes.

North Main Street was officially designated State Route 48 in the 1920's. As a result, the corridor became increasingly commercial, attracting retail to the busier corridor and driving out residents. A wide assortment of businesses including drugstores, groceries, hardware stores, other small family-owned businesses, and eventually car dealerships began lining the corridor; meanwhile, larger homes were abandoned in favor of quieter areas and were often converted into other uses.

By the 1950's, North Main Street was a fully-developed, heavily-trafficked, commercial arterial centered around the Santa Clara Avenue intersection. This densely-developed business and entertainment district featured auto-related businesses, a post office, gas stations, undertakers, offices, banks, restaurants,




Location Map



Northwest Dayton and FROC Plans and Projects For the last few decades, the North Main Street Corridor has faced many diverse challenges: adjacent neighborhoods are nearly empty of residents, many buildings have fallen into severe disrepair, criminal activity is rampant, and more. Despite this lack of investment in the Corridor, bursts of community energy have produced multiple plans and projects that have established visions for the future. Connecting these existing plans and projects helps unify these visions and build momentum for growth.



Renovated buildings at the North Main Neighborhood Center



North Main Street Neighborhood Center




North Main Street Neighborhood Center




Riverside Drive



Riverside Drive



An abandoned building along North Main Street




Former Julienne Site in the Five Oaks neighborhood

local mom-and-pop shops, department stores, a movie theater, furniture stores, paint stores, and more, all supported by adjacent residential development in addition to the vehicular traffic. But North Main Street's vibrant commercial center reached its peak in the 1960's; as shopping malls and suburban flight drew residents out of the city to live and shop, the corridor began a decades-long downward spiral, losing residents, businesses, and services.

The 1990's saw a burst of energy to revitalize the Santa Clara business district. Buildings were renovated, parking was built, and small specialty shops, restaurants, and art galleries opened, fostering an artsy image for the district. The city poured money and muscle into reviving the business district through its Town Centers Program, part of a citywide strategy that targeted at least six neighborhood business districts for revitalization.

Despite the city's efforts to revitalize the corridor, many of the homes and businesses



Location Map

along North Main Street and in surrounding neighborhoods were left vacant by 2010 and in varying states of disrepair.

Today, the North Main Street corridor is still largely commercial in character, with a fair number of successful businesses clustered primarily around the Santa Clara Avenue intersection. Small businesses and other commercial uses including convenience stores, restaurants, banks, gas stations, auto shops, daycares, and more continue the corridor's mom-and-pop tradition. The Santa Clara business district in particular has recently experienced some momentum, sparked by the opening of the Santa Clara Juicery and other public and private investments.

NORTHWEST DAYTON AND FROC PLANS AND PROJECTS

The North Main Street Corridor Plan, adopted in December of 2018, was the result of a two-year community planning and engagement process to develop strategies for enhancing the quality of life in the North Main Street Corridor. The plan calls out eight strategic areas of focus to address the opportunities and challenges identified by the surrounding communities' residents.

Challenges

- Economic disinvestment along North Main Street
- Vacant, abandoned, and blighted properties along the corridor and in surrounding neighborhoods
- Increased crime in surrounding neighborhoods, especially in blighted areas


- Increase in high-speed traffic and pedestrian accidents along North Main Street

Prominent Features

- Grandview Hospital
- Santa Clara Business District
- Gary Haines Children Services Center
- Edwin Joel Brown Pre-K-8 School

Previously Planned Concepts and Improvements

- The 1993 Riverdale Neighborhood Improvement Plan was developed by the City of Dayton in conjunction with the Riverdale Neighborhood Association, the Development Corporation, and the Business Association to develop a comprehensive neighborhood improvement plan for the area.
- The 1994 Evaluation of the Five Oaks Neighborhood Stabilization Plan examined the history, implementation, and effects of the Neighborhood Stabilization Plan that was implemented in the Five Oaks neighborhood in the fall of 1992. At the request of the Dayton Police Department, nationally-recognized urban planner Oscar Newman was brought to Dayton in 1992 to discuss neighborhood stabilization issues and implement the "Defensible Spaces" design technique in Five Oaks.
- In 1995, the North Main Street Strategic Plan was developed and adopted by the City of Dayton. The plan was a collaboration between various community and neighborhood groups including the FROC Priority Board, the Riverdale Business Association, the Santa Clara Business



Concept sketch of Community Commons and a new park along N Main St from 2018 Corridor Plan

Association, and the neighborhood associations from Riverdale, Sandalwood Park, Santa Clara, McPherson Town, Hillview, Northern Redcrest River, and Upper Riverdale.

- In 2003, the North Main Street Revitalization Framework report was drafted. This summary report was the result of a formal planning process conducted by the North Main Street Steering Committee, an ad hoc committee of FROC Priority Board members, neighborhood and business representatives, among others. Though the plan was never formally adopted by the City Planning Board or the City Commission, it outlined a comprehensive and widely-approved vision for the future of the corridor.


- In December of 2018, the North Main Street Corridor Plan was developed and adopted by the City of Dayton. The plan was the result of a two-year long planning process with residents and business owners from the five planning districts that touch North Main Street on the north side of I-70. The plan serves as a strategic "road map" to guide the community's decision-making and investment priorities as priorities by the surrounding community: Crime and Safety, Housing and Blight, Traffic and Pedestrian Safety, Land Use and Zoning, Economic Development, Citizen Engagement and Neighborhood Development, Historic Preservation, and Social Services, Education, and Recreation.

Riverdale Infill

OVERVIEW

The area bound by North Main Street, Riverside Drive, Interstate-75, and Great Miami Boulevard was previously identified in the Re-Imaging Riverdale document as a mixed-use redevelopment opportunity. This infill area has a strong location, close to multiple RTA bus routes and a robust trail network. The area also boasts a significant amount of existing street infrastructure.

While there are many vacant lots in the immediate area, this provides a potential developer the opportunity to replat lots to fit a multitude of development programs. This vision shows mostly single-family residential housing but proposes two new open spaces; a park along Riverside Drive which saves a handful of viable existing trees and a plaza along N. Main Street. Part of the CSL plasma site be sold for the development of single-family attached units, which park underneath the unit and take advantage of the views of the Miami River on upper stories.



Location Map

Challenges


- Access to Riverside Drive along the eastern edge of the focus area is dangerous and unpleasant with a median that prevents left turns to travel north and the high traffic speed on Riverside Drive
- Vacant properties and lots discourage community and private investment
- Interstate-75 physically and physiologically separates Riverdale from the downtown area with a large overpass

Opportunities


- Located just north of downtown with visibility from Interstate-75, Riverside Drive, and N Main Street the neighborhood is within walking and biking distance of downtown
- The Great Miami River Recreational Trail, and therefore the entire trail network, is geographically close (but difficult to access)
- A mostly existing street pattern, appropriately scaled blocks, and a limited number of well-maintained historical buildings provide a strong canvas for development

Proposed Interventions

- A variation of housing types and lot sizes that fit into the existing street network
- A new park abutting Riverside Drive provides improved access to the trail network and other amenities along the Miami River
- A plaza at the end of southwestern end of Lawn Street could be used as the focal point for new units
- A traffic light at the intersection of Great Miami Boulevard and Riverside Drive
- The successes of downtown should be used as a catalyst to enact successful revitalization in this area



Aerial of existing conditions



View of existing conditions looking south towards I-75 overpass, downtown



Plan of proposed development

STUDY AREA


- SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED RESIDENTIAL
- SINGLE-FAMILY ATTACHED RESIDENTIAL
- MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
- OFFICE
- PLAZA
- PARK
- EXISTING BUILDINGS

North Main and Forest & Five Oaks Neighborhood

OVERVIEW

The Five Oaks and Riverdale neighborhoods, separated by N Main Street, represent an opportunity for adaptive reuse, new open spaces, and the conversion of former school sites into locations for new housing. The intersection of Forest Avenue and N Main Street presents an opportunity to reactivate a mixed-use node that has high visibility and placemaking potential. Here, three streets awkwardly meet, resulting in strangely-shaped parcels with little building frontage. Several of the beautiful historic buildings are vacant but in salvageable condition. The former firehouse and the corner flatiron building should be a primary pieces in the redevelopment strategy.

Adjacent, large empty parcels in Five Oaks provide opportunities for new development. Three large parcels to the west and south of this intersection are strong candidates for either residential development or park space. These include the former Julienne High School site, former Van Cleve Elementary



Location Map

School site, and the site west of Forest Avenue which was a former multifamily housing site.

Challenges


- High-speed, dangerous vehicular traffic along N Main Street, particularly around crosswalks and complex intersections
- The area has vacant, vandalized storefronts and crime and safety issues
- Property owners are often not local

Opportunities

- Historic buildings with important community history and placemaking potential could be renovated
- Large, wooded vacant sites present developers with a viable opportunity for new residential development
- The former Julienne site provides good views to the downtown skyline
- Adjacency to DECA Prep is an amenity for residents and prospective home buyers

Proposed Interventions


- Development of the former Julienne site into smaller lots for families, tiny houses, or senior housing would provide housing options that are lacking in the area, particularly for those who can not or do not want to repair vacant houses
- Enhancement of the former Van Cleve School site into a community park
- Reactivating the commercial node at Forest Avenue. Adaptive reuse of the historic firehouse and flatiron building with arts-based or dining uses could spur further investment in this highly-visible area
- Development of the parcel west of Forest Avenue with residential housing options such as townhouses or small mansion apartments with common green space



View of existing conditions looking south along N Main Street



View of proposed improvements looking south along N Main Street



Plan of proposed development






View of proposed improvements at N Main Street and Forest Avenue looking south

North Main Neighborhood Center

OVERVIEW

The North Main Street Neighborhood Center is located at the intersection of N Main Street, Victor Avenue, and Santa Clara Avenue. For much of the early and mid-20th century, this area was the epicenter of community activity, with retail, offices, restaurants, and even a movie theater concentrated in a small walkable core. But as mom-and-pop businesses left the area due to economic decline, vacancy rates soared in both the commercial structures and residences surrounding the former commercial center. Although the buildings are in various states of disrepair, many are salvageable and could be restored and through partnerships could again house local businesses and services to reinvigorate N Main Street.

Street improvements must be paired with private investment to improve the safety and walkability – many pedestrian fatalities have occurred near this intersection. The speed of the traffic must be slowed for the sake of safety, encourage on-street parking, and for the



Location Map

comfort of pedestrians so that businesses may have the opportunity to thrive.

North Main Street safety enhancements are the highest priority. Not only are they essential for the future viability of businesses in the corridor, but more importantly they are an immediate safety problem that must be addressed. Improved crosswalks that allowing for easy pedestrian crossing of North Main Street are critical.

Challenges

- High-speed, dangerous vehicular traffic which has led to fatalities, which residents call “Dead Man’s Curve”
- The area has vacant, vandalized storefronts and crime and safety issues
- Property owners are often not local
- Buildings are generally in disrepair

Opportunities

- Historical buildings with important community history and placemaking potential are strong candidates for adaptive reuse
- Funding for street improvements is available and in the early design stages
- Entrepreneurs have expressed interest in developing the area

Proposed Interventions


- Reduce the number of travel lanes to allow for wider, safer sidewalks to encourage pedestrian activity
- Recruit businesses oriented towards community health and empowerment, such as a fresh produce market, gym, yoga or dance studio, city resource center, restaurant, or coffee shop to strengthen the local economy and community bonds



View of existing conditions looking northwest



View of proposed improvements looking northwest



Plan of proposed development

COMMUNITY SUGGESTED USES


- Stores selling fresh produce
- Restaurants, coffee shop
- Clothing boutiques, shoe store
- Youth-friendly uses, arcade, games
- Event space
- Splash pad, recreational uses
- Gym, dance, or yoga studio
- Art studio, lessons
- Police substation, city resource center
- Internet cafe
- Pop-up stores (3-6 month leases)




- Create a reactivated space at Santa Clara Park to allow space for community events and recreational activities
- Concentrate investment in commercial buildings and street improvements while investigating investment in nearby housing
- Reduce the width of Santa Clara Avenue at the intersection to two narrow 10-foot lanes to slow vehicular speeds, and create a plaza for trees, tables, and chairs for restaurants

Proposed Improvements

- Remove one north-bound travel lane and provide on-street parking to create a barrier between travel lanes and pedestrians. On-street parking provides easy access for businesses along the corridor and creates a barrier between moving traffic and pedestrians on the sidewalks
- At the curve of N. Main Street expand the pedestrian zone by removing a travel lane to create a new curb edge with a generous tree verge
- Remove the inner south-bound travel lane and replace with a median or left turn lane where appropriate
- Add a verge for street trees and/or large planters along sidewalks and in the median where possible to further protect pedestrians and enhance the area's aesthetics
- Ensure the street is attractive to pedestrians by adding pedestrian-scaled lighting, awnings, tables, and chairs for restaurants, street furniture, street trees where appropriate, and pedestrian-scaled signage for businesses




Existing typical section of North Main Street




Proposed typical section of North Main Street



Existing condition of North Main Street



Existing condition of North Main Street



Section Location


FACADE IMPROVEMENTS

The existing buildings along N Main Street offer an opportunity for businesses to attract tenants and patrons by locating in revitalized storefronts in beautiful historical buildings. Although some residents in the community have expressed concern for the viability of adaptive reuse along N Main Street, many of the buildings have the potential to be rehabilitated, keeping the street facade along N Main Street intact. The value of these historical storefronts for creating a neighborhood place can be seen in these elevation sketches. Well-executed storefronts provide large windows, clear signage, and have tight setbacks producing a vibrant commercial corridor.


Retaining existing businesses in this area including the Steps Daycare, the Santa Clara Juicery, and Aspire Property Management is key. An equally high priority to incentivize the revitalization of this commercial corridor is to provide support for the acquisition and rehabilitation of existing storefronts and the marketing strategy to attract new, local businesses to the corridor.

Proposed Improvements

- Identify tax-delinquent properties and provide clear pathways for local developers or business owners to purchase buildings
- Provide incentives for adaptive reuse of buildings instead of demolition
- Provide on-street parking and other street improvements to enhance the pedestrian experience and to make the building more viable for businesses
- Add an alley at 1924 N Main Street, connect to the existing rear alley, and consolidate parking lots behind the historic buildings to provide a coordinated parking opportunity to the greatest extent possible
- Reactivate Santa Clara Park as a flexible gathering space instead of parking



Elevation of existing buildings on the east side of North Main Street with addresses



Elevation of proposed improvements and adaptive reuse on the east side of North Main Street

URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES



View of proposed improvements at N Main Street and Santa Clara Avenue looking north

PHILADELPHIA WOODS NEIGHBORHOOD

The Philadelphia Woods neighborhood and immediate context is extremely varied, but contains many opportunities.

OVERVIEW

The Philadelphia Woods Planning Area is characterized by large and unique assets and opportunities. These include the Northwest Plaza shopping area, the Hook Estates subdivision, Miami Valley Golf Club, and the Dayton Metro Library Northwest Branch. With these assets comes additional opportunity. There is vacant land in strategic locations, such as the southwest corner of Philadelphia Drive and Hillcrest Avenue, outparcels and reimagined use in parts of Northwest Plaza, and, potentially, on the library site as well.

Challenges

- Residential market demand
- Changes to the retail marketplace
- Loss of Good Samaritan Hospital
- Infrastructure condition and age

Prominent Features

- Miami Valley Golf Club
- Dayton Metro Library, Northwest Branch
- Hook Estates subdivision
- Northwest Plaza

Relevant Plans and Investments

- Future Salem Avenue rebuild
- Pedestrian safety enhancements at Philadelphia and Siebenthaler
- Northwest Plaza private improvements




Northwest Plaza



Northwest Plaza



A view looking down Hook Estate Dr.




Location Map

Northwest Plaza

OVERVIEW

The Northwest Plaza shopping center is located along the northern boundary of Northwest Dayton between Klepinger Road, Philadelphia Drive, and W Siebenthaler Avenue. While Northwest Plaza continues to have a handful of viable retail uses, schools, and churches, much of the plaza remains outdated or vacant.

The large, underutilized parking field in front of the buildings presents an opportunity for additional shade trees and beautification within the parking lot, as well as the opportunity for additional leasable retail space along W Siebenthaler Avenue. The current lack of sidewalk around Northwest Plaza makes access dangerous and unpleasant. To encourage walking or taking public transportation to Northwest Plaza, the continuous sidewalk should be constructed around the site.



Location Map

Challenges


- Large surface parking lots with no trees create unfriendly experiences
- Lack of sidewalks along Siebenthaler Avenue makes pedestrian travel dangerous
- Vacant and underutilized buildings

Opportunities


- Leverage resources of adjacent Mt. Calvary Missionary Baptist Church
- Improved walking and biking connections around the site to improve the safety of residents walking to these uses
- Additional retail buildings could be developed on-site to add leasable square footage and bring new, more desirable uses to the community

Proposed Interventions


- New and improved sidewalks to improve pedestrian connections to surrounding neighborhoods
- Partner with the owners and landlord at Northwest Plaza to attract tenants that complement the new community uses
- Parking lot improvements including shade trees and more rational layout of parking spaces and drive aisles



Aerial of existing conditions



View of existing conditions looking northwest



Plan of proposed improvements

Dayton Metro Library Northwest Branch

OVERVIEW

The new Northwest Branch Library is the largest and busiest branch of the Dayton Metro System. Located on the corner of Philadelphia Drive and W Hillcrest Avenue, the library offers many useful amenities to the community, including programs for children and teens, test proctoring, and a small outdoor amphitheater. Northwest Branch Library, which opened mid-year 2016, is a major community asset. Currently however, there are several vacant lots immediately adjacent to the library. The addition of diverse housing types and small retail uses on these currently vacant lots would create a mixed-use node around the library.

Challenges

- Vacant lots adjacent to library contribute to a lack of vitality in the area
- Hillcrest Avenue east of Philadelphia Drive


is lacking a sidewalk on the south side of the street

Opportunities


- The surrounding vacant lots can be redeveloped to create an activated area adjacent to Northwest Branch Library

Proposed Interventions


- Townhouses on the southeast corner of Philadelphia Drive and W Hillcrest Avenue that front the streets
- Single story retail space along Philadelphia Drive (terminating Bertram Avenue)
- Mixed-use residential/retail building on the southwest corner of W Hillcrest Avenue and Philadelphia Drive
- Improved streetscape, sidewalks, and street crossings to improve pedestrian experience and provide safe connections to the library




Aerial of existing conditions




Dayton Metro Library - Northwest Branch



Location Map



Plan of proposed development




SALEM AVENUE PEACE CORRIDOR

Long associated with prominent Dayton institutions, this corridor is re-branding itself as a mixed-use connector of historic neighborhoods.

INTRODUCTION

Salem Avenue is an long corridor, stretching from the Great Miami River at West River-view Avenue in downtown and extending beyond the city's limits near West Hillcrest Avenue in a northwesterly direction. This major transportation corridor and arterial was also designated as SR 49 until the North-west Connector was completed in 1999 and assumed the SR 49 designation. Public transit has also been important to Salem Avenue's story. In decades past, streetcars and then trolley buses ferried passengers between downtown, the city's fringe neighborhoods, employment centers, entertainment venues, restaurants, and shops along its length. Even



Location Map

today, the Greater Dayton Regional Transit Authority's (RTA) Route 8, which partially follows Salem Avenue, is one of the RTA's busiest routes and Salem is considered one of RTA's major corridors.

Within the city, Salem Avenue has been home to a variety of land uses – single-family houses, duplexes, low rise apartment buildings, office and retail uses, one of the region's largest hospitals, and many institutional uses – places of worship such as churches and synagogues and the Dayton View Branch Library. Salem Avenue never became a continuous strip of commercial uses. Retail uses have previously been concentrated on Salem between West Riverview and North Avenues, at the Salem Avenue and Catalpa Drive intersection, and on Salem between Philadelphia Drive and West Hillcrest Avenue. Places of worship, many of them in substantial buildings, dot Salem from Harvard Boulevard to Rugby Road.

Salem Avenue was a busy street that served the downtown core, an employment, retail, and entertainment center, Good Samaritan Hospital at Salem and Philadelphia, and one of the region's largest shopping malls – the aptly named Salem Mall. It was incrementally widened to accommodate increasing traffic volumes and created an auto-oriented environment. This unfortunately diminished

Salem's pedestrian experience and reduced its desirability as a street on which to live.

As major traffic generators along Salem closed and amid shifting employment, retail, institutional, educational, and demographic changes, the vitality that was once evident along Salem also declined. In 1976 average daily traffic volume on some parts of Salem in the city was as high as 30,000 vehicles. By 2019, the highest volume was 20,500 vehicles.

Several blighted buildings that dot the corridor have been removed although a number still remain. Some institutional buildings have found new caretakers while others are in need of reinvention. For example, the former Longfellow School, portions of which were built in 1882, is awaiting a new future.

From these changes a new vision for Salem Avenue is being created. In 2009 the Salem Avenue Business Association led the re-branding of Salem Avenue as a Peace Corridor that recognizes Dayton's works of peace, including Dayton's international role in the 1995 Dayton Peace Accords that paved the way toward ending years of ethnic warfare in Serbia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, and Croatia.

The Gem City Market, a cooperative grocery store and deli, is scheduled to be under construction at Salem and Superior Avenues in 2020. The Phoenix Project, funded by the city and the Premier Health/Good Samaritan Hospital partnership, has invested heavily in the corridor and neighborhoods adjacent to the hospital. One of project's major initiatives turned the blighted intersection at Salem and Catalpa into a landscaped gateway and park




Historic Building Stock This beautiful triplex, located less than a block of Lower Salem, is within a 5-minute walk of the proposed Gem City Market



Entry to the Grafton Hill neighborhood



Entry to the University Row neighborhood




Lower Salem near Superior Avenue

replete with a sound sculpture that celebrates the musical legacy of Roger Troutman who, along with his talented family, once had sound studios at this intersection.

The site of the former Good Samaritan Hospital and its immediate context were the focus of a re-visioning strategy prepared with the community called Phoenix Next Dayton which is the successor initiative to the Phoenix Project. In December 2019, a funding commitment of \$30 million to implement the Phoenix Next Dayton vision was announced by the city and Premier Health – which the former Good Samaritan Hospital was a network member. It is anticipated that other partners will assist with this work.

Salem's adjacent neighborhoods offer a wealth of attractive housing stock and committed residents. The \$13 million Salem Avenue reconstruction project, funded as of 2019 from West Riverview Avenue to Cornell Drive, is set to begin construction in 2021. This will make



Location Map

Salem Avenue a more inviting street for the community and future mixed residential and commercial uses on lower Salem that are catalyzed by the Gem City Market, growth from downtown, and abutting neighborhoods.

Challenges

- Adapt the corridor to current and emerging market realities and trends
- Continue efforts to improve how Salem Avenue functions for pedestrians, bicyclists, transit users, vehicles, residents and businesses

Prominent Features

- Large institutional buildings and uses
- Proximity to downtown and I-75
- Availability of large redevelopment parcels

Previously Planned Concepts and Improvements

- The Phoenix Next initiative was launched in 2018 to create a vision for the reuse of the 13-acre former Good Samaritan Hospital site and immediate neighborhoods. The community-supported vision builds on the Phoenix Project, which included investments of \$20 million and leveraged an additional \$45 million.
- In 2009 the Salem Avenue Business Association led the re-branding of the corridor as the Peace Corridor and the initiative is now led by a stand-alone organization dedicated to promoting and unifying communities, residents, businesses, and institutions along the corridor.
- The 1990 Jane Reece Neighborhood Strategic Plan outlines four goals for the

future of the neighborhood – provide a sense of place, provide an attractive environment, provide a climate for investment, and provide a harbor of civility.

- The 2017 University Row Neighborhood Study, completed by students at the University of Dayton, analyzed the current conditions within the neighborhood, distilled a shared vision for the residents, and recommended implementation projects.

Additional Planned Improvements

- The proposed Hope Center for Families, an initiative of the Omega Community Development Cooperation, will transform the 30-acre Harvard Omega Baptist Church Campus into a community center with services for low-income residents such as workforce development, health services, and other educational programs.
- Infrastructure improvements of Salem Avenue between Cornell Drive and the Great Miami River are expected to take place in three phases with phase one, which has secured funding, slated to begin in 2022 between North and Manhattan Avenues.
- The Gem City Market, a worker- and community-owned grocery store, broke ground in the fall of 2019 and will provide a vital asset to residents.



The future location of the Gem City Market



A historic photograph of the intersection of Salem and Grand Avenue

Grace United Methodist Church

OVERVIEW

Salem Avenue is lined with several prominent institutions, both religious and civic in nature. One of the landmarks along this corridor, Grace United Methodist Church, sits at the prominent intersection of Harvard Boulevard and Salem Avenue. The Church is seeking an opportunity to fully utilize its land and enhance the role it already plays as the gateway to the University Row neighborhood.

Challenges

- The Church is financially unable to maintain its existing building and property, so partnerships are being sought to subdivide the site and reduce operational costs


Opportunities

- The existing surface parking lot on the north side of the site is not necessary for the church's activities and can be developed


- The site's location along the Salem Avenue corridor provides high visibility and ease of accessibility for vehicular traffic to and from downtown
- The former Dartmouth Hospital property just north of the site, now vacant, presents an opportunity to extend development across Dartmouth Drive when acquired

Proposed Interventions


- Church amenities can be shared with residents of a new 2-3 story multi-family residential building that occupies the northern end of the site
- Partial closure of Dartmouth Street creates space for a pedestrian connection and garden that acts as an amenity for new and existing residents as well as parishioners
- Surface parking lots can be shared and absorb both the parking requirements of large church events and the adjacent multi-family




Aerial of existing conditions looking northwest



View of proposed improvements looking northwest




Location Map



Plan of proposed development





View of proposed improvements to the Grace United Methodist Church site looking northwest


URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES

Lower Salem Avenue

OVERVIEW

The Lower Salem Avenue corridor, stretching from North Avenue to West Riverview Avenue, is an important connection to downtown and presents many opportunities for development. The stretch of Salem Avenue from Cornell Drive to the Miami River is slated to undergo a 3-phase, street improvement project over the next 5 years, and the opening of the community owned Gem City Market, will help bring a much-needed grocery to a food desert.

However despite its high visibility, proximity to downtown, and renewed interest, the area still suffers from disinvestment. A mixture of large, vacant buildings and well-maintained historical buildings are common in the neighborhood. This section of Lower Salem currently has more vehicular capacity than demand and must be redesigned with commercial viability and pedestrian safety as the priority.



Location Map

Challenges

- Salem Avenue currently accommodates high speed vehicular traffic which is detrimental to pedestrian and bicycle safety
- Buildings facing Salem Avenue are typically set back too far to create a consistent street wall, which in turn creates a vibrant urban street environment

Opportunities


- The Gem City Market, set to open in 2020 is a well-liked and strongly supported community asset from which other development will likely be spurred
- Lower Salem's proximity to downtown provides an opportunity to encourage pedestrians, bicyclists, and others to live close to downtown
- The diverse historical building stock in the area is apt for adaptive reuse
- Several vacant lots provide an opportunity for new mixed-use, denser development

Proposed Interventions


- A mixed-use corridor should be established here to capitalize on the proximity to downtown
- Adaptive reuse of the Longfellow School site as multi-family, as well as a plaza acts as a central node along the corridor and a place for restaurants and retail
- Where the existing W Riverview Avenue becomes the N Edwin C Moses Boulevard heading southwest, a realignment eliminates a merge lane, creates a typical 3-way intersection, and provides for more park space
- Small, underutilized parcels along Riverview Avenue are excellent candidates for residential units, either for-sale or rent, with captivating views of downtown



Aerial of existing conditions looking south



View of proposed improvements looking south




Plan of proposed development

STUDY AREA


- SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
- MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL
- COMMERCIAL
- INSTITUTIONAL
- PLAZA
- PARK
- EXISTING BUILDINGS

Proposed Improvements


- Reduce the number of travel lanes from 6 to 4, and provide a central median with a street trees and strategic left turn lanes
- Expand the pedestrian zone by restricting the overall amount of pavement dedicated to automobiles from 60 to 52 feet
- Provide a 2-way cycle track along the western side
- Improve the pedestrian realm, with street trees, pedestrian scaled lighting, street furniture, and vibrant storefronts
- Wider sidewalks allows for restaurants to have outdoor seating



View of existing street conditions on Salem Avenue between Grand and Superior Avenues



Section of proposed improvements on Salem Avenue between Grand and Superior Avenues




Section Location



View of the proposed Lower Salem Avenue Mixed-Use Corridor looking south towards Gem City Market

URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES



Aerial of proposed improvements to the Lower Salem Avenue Mixed-Use Corridor looking south

RIVER AND OPEN SPACE CORRIDOR

As major recreational and environmental assets to the City and region, Dayton's rivers and open spaces reach out to adjacent neighborhoods to form a network of green spaces.

RIVERFRONT PLAN IN NORTHWEST DAYTON

In 2018, the City of Dayton [in partnership with Five Rivers MetroParks, Miami Conservancy District, Downtown Dayton Partnership, Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission, Montgomery County, and Greater Dayton RTA] adopted the Dayton Riverfront Plan, a comprehensive vision for the City's four systems of waterways. The Dayton Riverfront Plan recognizes the potential of the City's waterways to enhance regional vibrancy, livability, and economic vitality over the next twenty years. The City continues to build on the research, planning, and visioning of the Riverfront Plan as it looks to better connect residents with the rich cultural and recreational opportunities and activities that the riverfronts offer.

Surrounded by Assets

The Northwest Dayton study area is surrounded on three sides by Dayton's waterways. To the east, Stillwater River connects DeWeese, Triangle, and Island parks. To the southwest, Wolf Creek connects Wesleyan MetroPark with the WS McIntosh Memorial Park. There are plans to connect the Wolf Creek Corridor more deliberately with Sunrise Park and Sunset Park and into a downtown hub, which would provide a concentration of commercial and recreational amenities.

Connecting the Community

The Riverfront Master Plan provided a high-level vision to determine how Dayton might celebrate the diversity of its many riverfronts. The Northwest Neighborhood Vision dives deeper into implementation strategies to incorporate these recreational amenities into their community through more direct pedestrian connections, improved roadways, and connected trails.


NORTHWEST CORRIDORS

Stillwater River

As part of the Cultural Corridor, the Stillwater River connects some of the City's largest parks, including DeWeese Park, Triangle Park, Island MetroPark, and Kettering Fields. Established neighborhoods run along both sides of the river but often do not identify with the river. Missing links between the western neighborhoods prevent easy access to the river, parks, and institutions.

Wolf Creek

Wolf Creek runs through a series of historic neighborhoods, connecting the expanding Wesleyan MetroParks to Sunrise Park. As part of the Community Corridor, Wolf Creek has the potential to become a rich greenway that connects residents to open spaces and to a trail network that extends to other parts of the city, including downtown.



Recreational Asset: Generous sidewalks and trails connect Dayton's parks and waterways.

Stillwater River Corridor and Riverside Drive

OVERVIEW

Riverside Drive runs along the Miami and Stillwater Rivers from Downtown to beyond the city limits, terminating at Shoup Mill Road. Northwest and FROC residents perceive it as a barrier to the extensive trail network and open space system that exists primarily on the eastern side of the Stillwater River. As Northwest Dayton's access point to the Stillwater River and the park system, Riverside Drive should be as equally accessible to pedestrians and cyclists as it is to motorists. A wealth of community assets including Triangle Park, Stillwater River Recreation Trail, Island Metro Park, Kettering Fields, and Boonshoft Museum of Discovery lie just across the two rivers.

A narrowed Riverside Drive is closely tied to the improvements at North Main Street. A traffic impact study will inform the volume of automobiles Riverside Drive will gain after the vehicular narrowing of North Main Street. Regardless of this traffic impact study, River-

side Drive needs to more thoughtfully consider crossings for pedestrians and cyclists.

Challenges


- High-speed traffic along Riverside Drive, as well as a limited number of intersections, which prohibit crossings for pedestrians and cyclists
- Visibility of the rivers and access from the western banks is severely limited

Opportunities


- Slower traffic and a pedestrian trail system could spark investment in existing houses and encourage new development along Riverside Drive
- Riverside Drive currently has excess traffic capacity; however, if Main Street is narrowed, studies would need to be done to analyze the impact on Riverside Drive
- Large existing streets and lush vegetation would provide a natural setting for a future continuous trail

Proposed Interventions

- A reduced number of travel lanes and an increased number of intersections along Riverside Drive would reduce vehicular speed, making the street safer.
- Space gained by eliminating one travel lane in each direction where possible would allow a multi-use trail to be added on the west side of the Stillwater River
- Planting strips and street trees would create a more pleasant and safe experience for pedestrians and slows down car traffic
- East-west pedestrian connections from Riverside Drive to different areas of Northwest Dayton would encourage movement between Northwest Dayton and the open space system




Location Map




Proposed Improvements


- Reduce the number of travel lanes from 4 to 2
- Plant more consistent street trees within the central median
- Widen the sidewalk on the western side of the street and widen the planting strip
- Create space for a multi-modal trail and wider planting strip by removing the north- and south-bound travel lane
- Increase the number of intersections with crosswalks to provide safe opportunities for pedestrians and cyclists to access the proposed trail




Existing condition of Riverside Drive



Section Location



Existing street section of Riverside Drive between Hudson and Norman Avenues



Proposed street section of Riverside Drive between Hudson and Norman Avenues




View of proposed improvements to Riverside Drive and the trail system

Wolf Creek Corridor

OVERVIEW

Wolf Creek is an important green corridor that connects the northwest neighborhoods with the west neighborhoods of the city. The continued emphasis of the expansion of Wesleyan MetroPark and the creation of the new Sunset Park, as outlined in the City's Parks/ Trails Master Plan, strengthens the eastern and western anchors of this system. Between these two ends of the corridor, opportunities should be explored to expand the trail network along both sides of the creek and to acquire strategic vacant properties to create a passive park system.

Between the Wolf Creek corridor and the Stillwater River corridor, smaller neighborhood parks can be linked to the two systems by either bike trails, or bike lanes. Plans to expand senior housing at the Omega Baptist Church site and the creation of the Hope Center for Families will add valuable amenities and services for residents.



Location Map

Challenges


- The Wolf Creek corridor is heavily vegetated, limiting views to the water and potentially creating a safety concern
- A fragmented bicycle system currently exists along the corridor and in this part of the city

Opportunities

- Natural areas are within the bicycle shed of several neighborhoods
- Vacant properties pose opportunities to expand both passive and active park areas

Proposed Interventions

- Connect the trail system from Wesleyan MetroPark to the Miami River along both sides of Wolf Creek
- Complete the Wright Brothers Parkway Loop
- Transform Cornell Drive into an east-west bicycle and pedestrian connection with either wider sidewalks, the introduction of a bike lane, or shared spaces for cyclists and motorists
- Expand residential and community-oriented amenities at the Omega Baptist Church site



IMPLEMENTATION

Northwest projects locate around clear corridors, offer opportunities for strategic investments at visible nodes, and build on public infrastructure funding that has already been allocated.

POLICY OBJECTIVES

Invest in targeted areas along the Main Street and Salem Avenue corridors

Focus on 1-2 nodes along each of these corridors. On Main Street, the North Main Neighborhood Center should be a focus, supporting existing business owners who are making investments, and developing a grant process for early activation (pop-up parks, markets, temporary street installations, etc.) and a property acquisition strategy to support long-term change in concert with roadway narrowing and safety improvements. On Salem Avenue, build on momentum around Gem City Market and street improvements and work from Downtown outward, where possible.

Connect the adjacent neighborhoods to Stillwater River corridor

The neighborhoods between Main Street and Riverside Drive are adjacent to the Stillwater River and greenway, but have not realized that economic benefit because of poor connectivity and a past bias towards getting vehicular traffic in and out of the city quickly. A policy change should prioritize the health, economic recovery, and livability of these neighborhoods over traffic flow priorities.

PRIORITIZATION


Main Street and Riverside Corridors

Safety for pedestrians, bicyclists, and motor-

ists is a major concern and priority along the Main Street and Riverside Drive corridors. There is a potential conflict between the recommendations to reduce the number of travel lanes on both Main Street and Riverside Corridor. The Main Street narrowing should and will move forward first because the state funding is allocated based on safety and loss of life. As soon as is feasible, a traffic study should be commissioned to study the total north- and south-bound traffic on the two roads and to determine whether an additional lane can also be removed from Riverside Drive. The open space connectivity benefits to the adjacent neighborhoods would balance a reasonable reductions in through speeds and traffic counts. The economic recovery benefit for the neighborhoods of connecting to a greenway must be emphasized in the decision-making process.

Salem Avenue

Lower Salem Avenue has investment momentum, with the Gem City market and street improvements that are underway, which should continue to be supported. For this reason and because of the proximity to downtown, the City should make the Lower Salem area a priority for further investment. Large, single-ownership parcels should be targeted for acquisition or partnership for new multi-family and infill housing early in the process.



The area around Grace United Methodist Church is a priority area for stabilization. The City should assist in the acquisition and demolition of the Dartmouth Hospital property, if possible, and facilitate vacating the Dartmouth Drive right-of-way to create a more viable development opportunity that would bolster Grace United.

Wolf Creek and Other Areas of the Plan

The Wolf Creek corridor and other areas of the plan have either longer-term projects, or projects that can happen independently in less critical time sequencing. For instance, trail connections along Wolf Creek should be incrementally extended, but acquisitions (such as of the Dayton Tire & Rubber site) will require larger amount of City capital and will likely occur later. Private development along Philadelphia Drive and Siebenthaler Avenue can progress as private owners have capital. The City should respond with pedestrian crosswalks and sidewalks, while putting pressure on Miami Valley Golf Club to better respect how it meets the public realm.

MAINTENANCE

On City property, the City will be responsible, and therefore only trees or very low-maintenance vegetation shall be installed. Private property owners who have the ability to maintain, such as institutions, are ideal partners. The City shall also look to more creative solutions for maintenance partners, such as organizations who have experience in maintaining open space areas.

NEXT STEPS

Match the zoning to the vision

Vibrant commercial nodes, which are defined by occupied storefronts, a mix of uses, and comfortable pedestrian realm, are not possible if zoning doesn't permit it. The permitted density for any given lot should be calibrated to anticipate what will be built there to be consistent with the envisioned active node. Invest-


mentors often can demand 3- or 4-story buildings with multi-family units over a commercial space. For example, a district that caps density at 14-units to the acre or requires 1.5 parking spaces per unit often makes this impossible.

Marrying the community vision to the zoning requires either an overhaul of the elements that inhibit dense, varied development such as high parking ratios, wide setbacks, or low building height requirements or the creation of a new district that anticipates a dense neighborhood core. Tethering this new district or overlay to the identified opportunity sites in this document may help further encourage the development desired.

Ensure commitment

The detailed plan should be shared with additional community members, stakeholders, special interest groups, and elected and appointed officials. Once it has broad-based support and the four quadrants' plans also do, they will be combined into a single city-wide document. The document should be taken through the Planning Board and City Commission approval process and formally adopted.


There has been a good amount of planning activity in the Northwest and Froc neighborhoods, however, past plans outlined goals and priorities, but did not reach the level of detail of physical initiatives described in this vision plan. It will largely be up to City staff to ensure that as redevelopment occurs, that it both meets the neighborhood plan intent, as well as accomplishes the placemaking and strategic goals laid out in this vision. This includes road improvements, new development, and blight removal. This area of the city is fortunate enough to have state funding for several infrastructure projects to jump-start private investment. Special care should be paid to reviewing streetscape designs early enough to have an impact to ensure that the pedestrian realm best serves development and activation of mixed-use nodes.



The future of Dayton's northwest neighborhoods, once these plans are fully implemented, is imagined with commercial, residential, and green connections.

North Main Street Corridor

PROJECT	INITIATIVE	LEAD AGENCY	TIME FRAME	FUNDING SOURCE
RIVERDALE INFILL	1 Connection to the Great Miami Recreational Trail	MetroParks	0-3 Years	—
	2 Private acquisition of part of the CSL Plasma Site	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	5 Construction of a new street parallel to Interstate 75	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	6 Traffic light and realignment of Great Miami Blvd. intersection at Riverside Dr.	City	4-10 Years	—
	8 Creation of a small park along the river	MetroParks	4-10 Years	—
	9 Residential streetscape infrastructure improvements	City	4-10 Years	—
	7 Development of infill single-family and multi-family housing	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	8 Adaptive reuse and renovation of the historic firehouse (city to acquire and provide incentives)	Developer TBD	0-3 Years	—
	9 Adaptive reuse of 1411 Main Street (the "flatiron building") (city to acquire and provide incentives)	Developer TBD	0-3 Years	—
	10 Streetscape improvements along Helena St (sidewalk repairs, curb repairs, street trees).	City	0-3 Years	—
NORTH MAIN AND FOREST & FIVE OAKS NEIGHBORHOOD	11 Streetscape improvements at N Main St. and Forest Ave.	City	0-3 Years	—
	12 Slip lane to facilitate right turn movement onto Helena St. and better connect Homewood Ave. and Helena St.	City	4-10 Years	—
	13 Facade improvements/redevelopment of buildings at the intersection of N. Main St. and Forest Ave.	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	14 Improvements to park at Forest Ave. and Helena St including walking trail and expanded community garden	MetroParks	0-3 Years	—
	15 Development of former Julienne site into housing	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	16 Development of former Hampton Apartments site into multi-family housing	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	17 Construction of infrastructure to support development of former Julienne and Hampton Apartment sites	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	18 Infrastructure improvements to N Main St. (lane reduction, sidewalk expansion)	ODOT	0-3 Years	—
	19 Street trees, tree pits, and pedestrian scaled lighting improvements to N Main St.	ODOT	0-3 Years	—
	20 City acquisition and reactivation of former Santa Clara Park	City	0-3 Years	—
NORTH MAIN NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER	21 City acquisition and demolition of vacant parcel	City	0-3 Years	—
	22 Construct alley to improve street access to Oakley Pl.	City	0-3 Years	—
	23 Private development and facade improvements to existing commercial building stock	Business owner	4-10 Years	—
	24 Private development and building, street access, and facade improvements to Marathon gas station	Business owner	11-25 Years	—




*REFER TO FULL FRAMEWORK PLAN (P. 7) OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECT PLANS FOR DETAILED IMAGES OF PROJECTS

- INITIATIVES TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY OTHER PARTNERS
- INITIATIVES TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY THE CITY

Philadelphia Woods

PROJECT	INITIATIVE	LEAD AGENCY	TIME FRAME	FUNDING SOURCE
NORTHWEST PLAZA	1 Improve pedestrian mobility by incorporating crosswalks within the ROW	Property owners	0-3 Years	—
	2 Reorganize surface lots to include an improved layout for vehicular traffic, landscaping, lighting, and walkways	Property owners	0-3 Years	—
	3 B.J. Winston Community Center including: offices, classrooms, a kitchen, and a large multi-purpose room	Mt. Calvary Church	0-3 Years	—
	4 Redevelopment of east side of Northwest Plaza into youth recreation facility including indoor/outdoor sports fields	Mt. Calvary Church	0-3 Years	—
	5 Streetscape improvements to W Siebenthaler Ave.	City	0-3 Years	—
	6 Private development of outparcel buildings along W Siebenthaler Ave.	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
HILLCREST AVENUE AT PHILADELPHIA DRIVE	7 New street trees, sidewalks, lighting, and pedestrian crosswalks at intersection	City	0-3 Years	—
	8 Residential development and acquisition of sites	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	9 City and facility work together to create a cleaner, more formal boundary with less invasive and unkempt vegetation	Golf Course Owners	0-3 Years	—




*REFER TO FULL FRAMEWORK PLAN (P. 7) OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECT PLANS FOR DETAILED IMAGES OF PROJECTS

- INITIATIVES TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY OTHER PARTNERS
- INITIATIVES TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY THE CITY

Salem Avenue Peace Corridor

PROJECT	INITIATIVE	LEAD AGENCY	TIME FRAME	FUNDING SOURCE
GRACE UNITED METHODIST CHURCH	1 Acquire vacant Dartmouth Hospital property	Development Partner	0-3 Years	—
	2 Vacate Dartmouth Dr. ROW from Salem Ave. to Amherst Pl.	City	0-3 Years	—
	3 Residential Development and amenities developed in partnership with Grace United Methodist Church	Development Partner	0-3 Years	—
	4 Restoration of historic landscaping at Harvard Blvd.	University Row Neighborhood Association	4-10 Years	—
	5 Streetscape improvements at Salem Ave and Harvard Blvd.	City	4-10 Years	—
LOWER SALEM AVENUE	6 Reduction of travel lanes from 6 to 4 lanes with an intermittent turn lane	ODOT	0-3 Years	—
	7 Streetscape improvements including lighting, cycle track, street trees, landscaped planters, and crosswalks	City	0-3 Years	—
	8 Private development of retail buildings with rear surface parking lots	Developer TBD	4-10 Years	—
	9 Eliminate merge lane from W Riverview Ave to N Edwin C Moses Blvd	ODOT	4-10 Years	—
	10 Replace junction at W Riverview Ave and N Edwin C Moses Blvd with three-way intersection	ODOT	4-10 Years	—
	11 Private development and facade improvements to existing commercial building stock	Business owners	4-10 Years	Facade improvement grant by city
	12 Adaptive reuse of Longfellow School as multi-family residential units	Developer TBD	11-25 Years	—
	13 Private development of mixed-use retail and multi-family housing	Developer TBD	11-25 Years	—
	14 Private development of infill attached single-family housing	Developer TBD	11-25 Years	—
	15 Private development of infill attached single-family housing	Developer TBD	11-25 Years	—




*REFER TO FULL FRAMEWORK PLAN (P. 7) OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECT PLANS FOR DETAILED IMAGES OF PROJECTS

- INITIATIVES TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY OTHER PARTNERS
- INITIATIVES TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY THE CITY

URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES

River and Open Space Corridors

PROJECT	INITIATIVE	LEAD AGENCY	TIME FRAME	FUNDING SOURCE
STILLWATER RIVER CORRIDOR AND RIVERSIDE DRIVE	1 Thin vegetation to improve views while preserving and enhancing natural habitats	City	0-3 Years	—
	2 Mirror DeWeese Park to west side of river	City	0-3 Years	—
	3 Create pedestrian connection between E Hillcrest Ave and Drill Ave	City	0-3 Years	—
	4 Create interpretive, branded cultural trail system incorporating historic and educational storytelling aspects	City	4-10 Years	—
	5 Connect cultural trail to destinations along the corridor and in downtown	City	4-10 Years	—
	6 Realign entry to Boonshoft Museum of Discovery to improve pedestrian connections	Dayton Society of Natural History	4-10 Years	—
	7 Streetscape adjustments to Riverside Dr to decrease traffic speeds and increase pedestrian connections	ODOT	4-10 Years	—
	8 Improve connections to N Main St mixed-use development	Business owners	11-25 Years	—
	9 Expand trails and neighborhood access to Wesleyan MetroPark on either side of the creek	City	0-3 Years	—
	10 Expand Wesleyan MetroPark greenway	City	4-10 Years	—
WOLF CREEK CORRIDOR	11 Create new facility and park amenities at Wesleyan MetroPark expansion	City	4-10 Years	—
	12 Create safe pedestrian routes for better access to schools, parks, and other institutions along Wolf Creek Greenway	City	4-10 Years	—
	13 Connect Wolf Creek trail to Trotwood from Wesleyan MetroPark	City	4-10 Years	—
	14 Create gateway to Wolf Creek trail along Gettysburg Ave	City	4-10 Years	—
	15 Create "Rosedale Meadow" open space at Dayton Tire & Rubber site (requires acquisition)	City	4-10 Years	—
	16 Identify clusters of open spaces and vacant lots along creek to expand a continuous Wolf Creek Greenway	City	4-10 Years	—
	17 Private development of mixed-use corridor along Wolf Creek Greenway	Developer TBD	11-25 Years	—
	18 Private development of infill housing along Wolf Creek Greenway	Developer TBD	11-25 Years	—
	19 Create pedestrian park bridge crossing Stillwater River	City	11-25 Years	—



*REFER TO FULL FRAMEWORK PLAN (P. 7) OR INDIVIDUAL PROJECT PLANS FOR DETAILED IMAGES OF PROJECTS

- INITIATIVES TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY OTHER PARTNERS
- INITIATIVES TO BE IMPLEMENTED BY THE CITY

URBAN DESIGN ASSOCIATES